

How do integrals behave with respect to limits?

Limits are key construction in real analysis. Explore their relation to Riemann integral. Allow us to determine when pull limit inside integral (and thus, for example, take a derivative inside integration)

Q : Under what conditions can we say

that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f_k = \int (\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k) ? (*)$

A. ok if  $\{f_k\}$  : bounded integrable functions converging uniformly to  $f$ , supported in fixed  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , ball. Then  $f$  integrable and  $(*)$  is valid.

Remember - uniform convergence means,

for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists N$  s.t.  $k \geq N$ , then for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (or supp. of functions  $f_k$ )

$$|f_k(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon.$$

"uniform" means same  $N$  works for every  $x$ . (In weaker definition of pointwise convergence,

Example: ①  $f_k(x) = \begin{cases} x^k & \text{on } [0, 1] \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$   $N$  can depend on choice of  $x$ .

$f_k$  converges pointwise to  $f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x=1 \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$

What about uniform convergence? Fails.  $f$  not continuous,

but if  $\{f_k\} \rightarrow f$  uniformly, know  $f$  is continuous.

More concretely, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , like  $\epsilon = 1/10$ ,

what should we choose for  $N$ ? if  $x = 1/10$ ,  $N \geq 2$ , if  $x = 1/2$ ,  $N = 4$   
if  $x = 9/10$ ,  $N = 22$ , not too hard to turn this into pf.

$$\textcircled{1} \quad f_k(x) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} = \frac{x}{k}. \quad \xrightarrow{\text{pointwise}} \quad f(x) = 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \\ (\text{pick } k > \frac{\epsilon}{x})$$

What about uniformly?

Pick  $\epsilon > 0$ , want  $|f_k(x) - f(x)| = \frac{x}{k} < \epsilon \quad \forall x \in [0, 1]$ .

find  
N s.t. if  $k \geq N$ :

But  $\frac{x}{k} < \frac{1}{k} < \frac{1}{N}$  if  $k \geq N$

so pick  $N > 1/\epsilon$ .

Indeed

$$0: \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \frac{x}{k} dx$$

$$= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{x^2}{2k} \right]_0^1 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2k} = 0 = \int_0^1 \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x}{k} dx. \checkmark$$

$$1: \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 x^k dx = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{x^{k+1}}{k+1} \right]_0^1 = 0$$

$$= \int_0^1 \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x^k dx. \quad \begin{matrix} \text{STILL} \\ \text{OK!} \end{matrix}$$

$\underbrace{\quad}_{0 \text{ everywhere}}$

SHOULD BE STRONGER CRITERION.

2: "moving bump" function

$$f_k(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in [k, k+1] \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

converges pointwise ~~for~~ to  $f = 0$ , but not uniformly. (can never beat  $\epsilon < 1$  for all  $x$ .)

this fails.

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 f_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 1 = 1 \\ \neq \int_0^1 \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k = 0.$$

pf of theorem (4.11.2 in book) Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , since convergence is uniform, we can

pick  $K$  so that  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |f(x) - f_k(x)| < \epsilon$  if  $k > K$

then  $L_N(f) > L_N(f_k) - \underbrace{\epsilon \cdot \text{vol}(B)}_{\text{supp. of all } f_k}$  for any  $N$

$U_N(f) < U_N(f_k) + \epsilon \cdot \text{vol}(B)$  for any  $N$

$\rightarrow U_N(f) - L_N(f) < \underbrace{U_N(f_k) - L_N(f_k)}_{\text{make this small}} + 2\epsilon \cdot \text{vol}(B)$  for any  $N$ .

by choosing  $N$  large

since all  $f_k$

assumed integrable.

can be made arbitrarily small.

Improvement: "Dominated convergence theorem" (Arzela, 1885)

$\{f_k\}$  integrable. Find  $R$  s.t.  $\text{supp}(f_k) \subset B_R(0) \forall k$   
and such that  $|f_k| \leq R$ .

If  $f$  integrable s.t.  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k = f$  except on set of measure 0,

then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k(x) |d^n x| &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k(x) |d^n x| \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) |d^n x| \end{aligned}$$

this includes case of example 1 from before.

Technical statement, but key to showing Lebesgue integral is well-defined...

Ready to define Lebesgue integral of more general class of functions.

Suppose  $f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k$  with  $f_k$  (Riemann) integrable.

and such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_k(x)| |d^n x| < \infty. \quad (*)$$

then define Lebesgue integral of  $f$

$$\text{ac } \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) |d^n x| \stackrel{\text{DEF}}{=} \text{this sum.}$$

this condition assures  
that  $\sum f_k$  converges,

but only up to set of  
measure 0.

Two results that guarantee Lebesgue integral  
is well-defined:

(1) Proposition 4.11.5: If  $(*)$  holds

then  $\sum f_k$  converges pointwise "almost everywhere"  
i.e. except on a  
set of measure 0.

(2) Thm 4.11.7 (uses dominated conv.  
theorem in proof)

if  $(*)$  holds for  $\sum f_k$ ,  $\sum g_k$  and

$\sum f_k \stackrel{L}{=} \sum g_k$ , then

Lebesgue integrals are equal. i.e.

$$\sum_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k(x) |d^n x| = \sum_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_k(x) |d^n x|$$

so can't really say

$\sum f_k = f$ , just  
that, in books' notation

$$\sum f_k \stackrel{L}{=} f$$

equal up to  
set of measure 0.

As long as we only care  
about integrals of  
these functions  
that's ok.