

Last time, we met our first non-comm, non-cocomm. Hopf algebra $\mathcal{U}(g)$ Lee ①
 $\text{if } g \neq 0 \in k.$

$$= \langle 1, x, K, K^{-1} \mid \underset{=} {KK^{-1} = K^{-1}K}, \underset{=} {Kx = g x K} \rangle$$

K, K^{-1} grouplike: Δ : diag., S : inv., ε : maps to 1

$$\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + K \otimes x, \quad S(x) = -K^{-1}x, \quad \varepsilon(x) = 0.$$

(indicated how to extend these maps, check compatibility w/ algebra relations)
 MORAL: this is possible if once make def'n on generators...

Also explained notion of dual Hopf algebra. H, H' are in duality if \exists
 (non-degenerate)
 pairing $\langle , \rangle : H' \otimes H \rightarrow k$ satisfying 5 axioms:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \langle \phi \cdot \psi, a \rangle = \langle \phi \otimes \psi, \Delta a \rangle$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \langle 1, a \rangle = \varepsilon(a)$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \langle \Delta \phi, a \otimes b \rangle = \langle \phi, ab \rangle$$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad \varepsilon(\phi) = \langle \phi, 1 \rangle$$

$$\textcircled{5} \quad \langle S\phi, a \rangle = \langle \phi, Sa \rangle$$

Think: H is given, and
 then axioms realize
 Hopf structure on H' .

(Takeuchi, '81)

Examples: ① kG : gp. algebra, $k(G)$: algebra of functions on G . \langle , \rangle :
 evaluation map
 $(G \text{ finite})$
 $\langle f, v \rangle := f(v).$

② $\mathcal{U}(g)$: univ env. alg. of g : finite dim'l cx-semisimple alg.
 is dually paired with $\mathbb{C}[G]$ G : Lie gp assoc. to g via exp. map.
 and $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is its coordinate algebra:

$G \subseteq \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C})$ for some n (e.g. $SL_n \subset \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C})$), cut out by polynomial
 equations $p(x)$ in the matrix coordinates x_{ij} . And $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is algebra
 $= \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n}{\{ p(x) \}}$

the coalgebra structure on $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is suggested by matrix mult.:

$$\Delta(x_{i,j}) = \sum_k x_{i,k} \otimes x_{k,j}, \quad \varepsilon(x_{i,j}) = \delta_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i=j \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}.$$

(all this works over \mathbb{k} , since structure const. defined over \mathbb{Z} , then $\otimes \mathbb{k}$.)
(char 0)

antipode map is more complicated in terms of cofactors of $\{x_{i,j}\}$ (remove row and column)

e.g. $\mathbb{k}[SL_2]$ has gens $\{a, b, c, d\}$ and use suggestive matrix

$$\text{notation and write } S \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{array} \right)$$

dual pairing : $\langle \alpha, x_{i,j} \rangle = \rho(\alpha)_{i,j}$ ρ : defining repn of $\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C})$
determined by values on $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}$

see Kassel, Quantum Groups, V.7, for a proof. (~5 pages)

(3) $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is self-dual. Before we explore this, why is duality important?

Why is duality important?

- sets up duality of modules for H and comodules for H' : $\beta: M \rightarrow M \otimes H'$
 $m \mapsto m^{(1)} \otimes m^{(2)}$ on H^*
- there are several constructions of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ (and more generally, $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$)

using duality.

- action of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ on quantum plane, $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is its dual.

- Quantum double construction of Drinfeld : $H, H' \rightsquigarrow D(H, H')$
which, for finite dim'l Hopf algebras, guarantees that
 $D(H, H')$ is a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra
has abstract QYBE.

Two facts about $U_g(b_+)$:

Fact 1: $U_g(b_+)$ has basis $\{x^m k^n\}_{\substack{m \geq 0 \\ n \in \mathbb{Z}}} / k$.

pf: show they span by checking that monomials are stable under mult.

by any elt. in $U_g(b_+)^{\perp}$, which we can check on generators. If so,

then $\text{Span } \{x^m k^n\}_{\substack{m \geq 0 \\ n \in \mathbb{Z}}} \supseteq U \cdot 1 = U$. This is short exercise in using relations:

$$\text{e.g. } k \cdot x^m k^n = g^m x^m k^{n+1}, \text{ etc.} \quad R$$

for linear independence, consider ring $k[A, B, B^{-1}]$ with basis $A^m B^n$ $m \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\text{with endoms: } f(A^m B^n) = A^{m+1} B^n$$

$$g(A^m B^n) = g^m A^m B^{n+1} \quad g^{-1}(A^m B^n) = g^{-m} A^m B^{n-1}$$

$$g \circ f(A^m B^n) = g(A^{m+1} B^n) = g^{m+1} A^{m+1} B^{n+1} \quad \text{so } g \circ f = g \cdot f \circ g$$

$$f \circ g(A^m B^n) = f(g^m A^m B^{n+1}) = g^m A^{m+1} B^{n+1} \quad \text{Supported on diff. mons. in } A, B$$

so have map $U \rightarrow \text{End}_k(R)$ and f, g linearly indep in $\text{End}_k(R)$
so $x, k^{\pm 1}$ must be too

$$x \mapsto f$$

$$k^{\pm 1} \mapsto g^{\pm 1}$$

$$\text{e.g. } f^m g^n(1) = A^m B^n$$

$$\text{Fact 2: } \Delta(x^m) = \sum_{r=0}^m \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q x^{m-r} k^r \otimes x^r$$

$$\text{where } \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[m]_q!}{[r]_q! [m-r]_q!} \quad \text{and } [r]_q! = [r]_q [r-1]_q \cdots [1]_q$$

$$\text{and } [r]_q = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{r-1} = \frac{1 - q^r}{1 - q}$$

(so that $[r]_q \rightarrow r$
as $q \rightarrow 1$)

$$\text{convention: } \begin{bmatrix} m \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{bmatrix} m \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_q = 1.$$

pf of Fact 2 : Δ defined by extending multiplicatively, and

$\Delta(X) = X \otimes 1 + K \otimes X$. Apply q -binomial formula to summands:

$$(A+B)^n = \sum_{m=0}^n \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ m \end{matrix} \right]_q A^m B^{n-m} \quad \text{if } qAB = BA.$$

(prove by induction)