

Last time we showed that the finite simple modules of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) := U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

q not a rt. of 1, $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$, are indexed by $\pm q^n$:
highest wts.

Called them $L(n, \pm) \cong M(\pm q^n) / M'$
 \nearrow Universal module w/ \leftarrow unique submodule;
 h.w. $\pm q^n : U_q / U_q E + U_q (K \neq q^n)$ spanned by m_i with $i > n$.
 with gens. $m_i = [F^i]$

in these modules, nice action: (basis m_0, \dots, m_n) F, E, K , in $L(n, \pm)$:

$$K \cdot m_i = \pm q^{n-2i} m_i$$

$$F \cdot m_i = \begin{cases} m_{i+1} & \text{if } i < n \\ 0 & \text{if } i = n \end{cases}$$

$$E \cdot m_i = \begin{cases} \pm [i]_q [n+1-i]_q m_{i-1} & \text{if } i > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \end{cases}$$

- Plan:
- Introduce quantum Casimir elt. in center of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.
 - show that when q not rt. of unity, these detect fr-dim'l simple modules.
(act on simple modules by distinct scalars)
 - use this to prove, when q is not a rt. of unity, that U_q -mods are semisimple.

What could go wrong?

If M not simple, then it contains, by def'n, a proper submodule N .
 have submodule N , quotient module $L = M/N$. Sit in exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$$

~ May not be able to conclude $M = N \oplus L$, sequence may not split!

What if L not simple? then find some N_1 with $N_1/N \cong L_1$, some L_1

What if N not simple? then find some submodule N_2 of N .

Might have chain of ~~of~~ the form $M \supset N_1 \supset N \supset N_2 \supset 0$.

Keep refining until we get all successive quotients simple. This

is "composition series" for module: $M = M_0 \supset M_1 \supset \dots \supset M_s$
 with M_i/M_{i+1} simple.

Jordan-Hölder theorem: IF $M = M_0 \supset M_1 \supset \dots \supset M_s$
 $= N_0 \supset N_1 \supset \dots \supset N_t$
 "chain of length s "

are two composition series,
 the lengths are equal ($s=t$) and factor mods in series are isomorphic.

mention that new notion if not semisimple:
 indecomposable modules: no direct sum decomp.
 classic non-splitting ex: $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\times 2} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$
 splitting: $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ s.t. comp. is identity on L

Introduce quantum Casimir elt.

$$C := FE + \frac{K\bar{b} + K^{-1}\bar{b}^{-1}}{(q - q^{-1})^2} = EF + \frac{K\bar{b}^{-1} + K^{-1}\bar{b}}{(q - q^{-1})^2}$$

Lemma: (1) C is central in U_q (check on generators, as usual)

(2) C acts on $M(\lambda)$ by scalar mult. by $(\lambda\bar{b} + \lambda^{-1}\bar{b}^{-1}) / (q - q^{-1})^2$

(recall basis $m_i = F^i m_0$, and C commutes with F ,
so C acts by common scalar on all basis vectors.
check on m_0 .)

(3) C acts on $M(\lambda)$ and $M(\mu)$ by the same scalar only if $\lambda = \mu$ or $\lambda = \mu^{-1}\bar{q}^2$
(Part (2) + algebra)

Corollary: If q not a root of unity, then

if L, L' fin. dim'l modules, C acts by scalar on them, and if same scalar for L, L' , then $L \cong L'$.

pf: C acts by scalar on homomorphic image of $M(\lambda)$
(i.e. those $L(n, \pm)$)

Plugging in $\pm q^a, \pm q^b$ into (2)

we find either e -values match or q is rt. of unity. //

Theorem: Suppose q not a rt. of unity. $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$. Then U_q is semisimple
any fin. dim'l U_q module M

pf: Let $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_r = M$ be a composition series.

Since M_i/M_{i-1} simple, C acts by a scalar μ_i . So $\prod_{i=1}^r (C - \mu_i)$

annihilates M . Thus M is direct sum of generalized eigenspaces for C :

$$M = \bigoplus_{\mu} M_{(\mu)} \quad \text{with} \quad M_{(\mu)} = \{ m \in M \mid (C - \mu)^s \cdot m = 0 \text{ for } s \gg 0 \}$$

and each $M_{(\mu_i)}$ is a submodule of M (since C is central in $U\mathfrak{g}$).

so suffices to prove each of $M_{(\mu_i)}$ are semisimple. Assume $M = M_{(\mu)}$ from now on.

start over with more specific M , redo composition series.
 C acts by multiplication by μ_i on M_i/M_{i-1} , but $(C-\mu)^s$ annihilates M for $s \gg 0$.
 so $\mu = \mu_i$ for all i , so $M_i/M_{i-1} \cong L(n, \epsilon)$ (ϵ : some ± 1)

Now divide M according to weight spaces. - action of K .

then $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_{\lambda}$ λ : weights (not in parentheses!)
 action of K !

if we have composition series of length r , so we bet that $M = \bigoplus^r L(n, \epsilon)$

and dimensions respect composition series: $\dim M_{\lambda} = \dim N_{\lambda} + \dim (M/N)_{\lambda}$

$$\dim M_{\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^r \dim (M_i/M_{i-1})_{\lambda} = r \cdot \underbrace{\dim L(n, \epsilon)_{\lambda}}_{=1}$$

combining with earlier results, $\dim M_{\lambda} = r$ for the h.w. space with $\lambda = \epsilon \cdot \rho^n$, $n \geq 0$

($E v = 0$ for $v \in M_{\lambda}$, so $U_{\mathfrak{g}} v \cong L(n, \epsilon)$)

so r -dim'l space of h.w. vectors with same wt. ~~compare dimensions to~~

~~see $M =$ direct sum of r copies of $L(n, \epsilon)$. Call them v_1, \dots, v_r .~~

$M = \sum_{i=1}^r U v_i$ because $(M / \sum_{i=1}^r U v_i)_{\lambda} = 0$ and each comp. factor

and then comparing dimensions, we see sum must be direct. \wedge

of this quotient module would still ~~have dimension~~ be $\cong L(n, \epsilon)$