

Earlier notation: A : integrally closed integral domain, K : field of fractions

B : integral closure of A in L/K : finite extension,

then

$$N_{L/K}(x) := \det(T_x) = \prod_{\sigma} \sigma(x)$$

$$Tr_{L/K}(x) := \text{trace}(T_x) = \sum_{\sigma} \sigma(x)$$

(for $x \in L$)

if L/K separable
(always true if K char 0, K finite)

σ ranges over K -embeddings of L in \bar{K} . (alg. closure)

if $x \in B$, then $N_{L/K}(x) \in K$ (from linear alg.) so $\in K \cap B = A$
 $\in B$ (from Galois def'n)

similarly for trace, and all coeff of char. poly of T_x .

Norms / traces behave well in towers of extensions:
 $K \subseteq L \subseteq M$

$$Tr_{M/K} = Tr_{L/K} \circ Tr_{M/L}$$

$$N_{M/K} = N_{L/K} \cdot N_{M/L}$$

(if extensions are separable, use Galois theoretic definition. If not, use fact that trace equal up to fixed constant to trace of max. sep. extension)

discriminant of a basis $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ for L/K , $d(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, given by

$$\det(\sigma_i(\alpha_j))^2 = \det(Tr_{L/K}(\alpha_i \alpha_j))$$

Example: $\mathbb{Q}(i) = \langle \begin{smallmatrix} 1, i \\ \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \end{smallmatrix} \rangle$ as v.s. over \mathbb{Q} . $\sigma \in \langle \begin{smallmatrix} 1, i \\ \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \end{smallmatrix} \rangle$ with $i \mapsto -i$

Matrix: $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ 1 & -i \end{pmatrix}$ with $\det = -2i$
so $\text{disc}(1, i) = -4$.

Last time, we argued this was a good basis since integral for $\mathbb{Z}[i] = \mathcal{O}_K / \mathbb{Z}$

Show such bases always exist for $B \supseteq A$ with A : P.I.D. int. closure

Want to use discriminant to show this. First check:

if L/K separable, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ basis for L/K , then

$$d(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \neq 0.$$

pf: follows from fact that $\langle x, y \rangle := \text{Tr}_{L/K}(xy)$ is non-deg. bilinear form

so choosing basis $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$, the matrix associated is

$$x^T M y \text{ with } M = (\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_i \alpha_j)) , \text{ so}$$

$$d(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \det(M) \neq 0$$

↑
earlier claim that
disc. is $\det(\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_i \alpha_j))$

↖
def'n of non-degeneracy.

To show $\langle x, y \rangle$ non-deg., we can pick any convenient basis.

e.g. $1, \theta, \theta^2, \dots, \theta^{n-1}$ if $L = K(\theta)$. Then associated matrix

$$M = \text{Tr}_{L/K}(\theta^{i-1} \theta^{j-1}) \text{ and } \det(M) = d(1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{n-1})$$

↙ separable

$$= \prod_{i < j} (\theta_i - \theta_j)^2 \neq 0.$$

where $\theta_i(\theta) = \theta_i$
//

Now to prove B is a free A -module of rank $n = [L:K]$,
(so has "integral basis" $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ s.t. for any $b = a_1 \omega_1 + \dots + a_n \omega_n$ $a_i \in A$)

need two facts:

Fact 1: Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be basis for L/K with $\alpha_i \in B$, then

$$d(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \cdot B \subseteq A\alpha_1 + A\alpha_2 + \dots + A\alpha_n$$

i.e. is an A -submodule of a free A -module.

① Note that a basis with $d_i \in B$ exists because, if

x_1, \dots, x_n are basis for L/K , then x_i satisfy polynomial $a_n x^n + \dots + a_0 = 0$

$\Rightarrow a_n^{n-1} \cdot x_i$ satisfies monic poly, so is in B (integral closure of A)
and doing this adjustment for all x_i gives new basis with $x_i \in B$.

② Note also that Fact 1 implies ~~rank(B) = n~~ B has rank n ,

since containment $\Rightarrow \text{rank}(dB) = \text{rank}(B) \leq n$, and gens. for B as A -module are gens for L as K -module.

To finish we use:

Fact 2: Over a P.I.D. A , every submodule M' of a free A -module M of rank n is free of rank $\in [0, n]$.

(Neukirch "Main thm. on modules over P.I.D.") \leftarrow cf. Jacobson p.179 "Basic Algebra I"

So $d(d_1, \dots, d_n)B$ is free A -module, hence B is a free A -module.

More generally one can show that any fin. gen. B -submodule $M \neq 0$ of L is free of rank $[L:K]$.

(see Neukirch)

easy corollary: structure thm. analogous to structure thm. for abelian gps. Analogy nicely described in Artin's algebra.

proof of Fact 1: Given $b \in B$, write

$$b = k_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + k_n \alpha_n \quad k_i \in K.$$

Then k_i give solution to the system of equations in x_j :

$$\text{Tr}_{K/K}(\alpha_i b) = \sum_j \text{Tr}_{K/K}(\alpha_i \alpha_j) x_j$$

$$\in B \cap K = A$$

so solve system by inverting matrix

with entries $\text{Tr}_{K/K}(\alpha_i \alpha_j)$

so the resulting entries are elts of

A divided by $\det(\text{Tr}_{K/K}(\alpha_i \alpha_j))$

"
disc($\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$)

i.e. $k_i \in \frac{1}{d} A$ so

$db \in A\alpha_1 + \dots + A\alpha_n$ as desired //

—
Finally if $A = \mathbb{Z}$, $B = \bar{\mathbb{Z}}$ in L , then given two bases $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ (integral)
 $\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_n$

they differ by change of basis matrix (a_{ij}) $a_{ij} \in A = \mathbb{Z}$

which is invertible, so must have $\det = \pm 1$.

$$\Rightarrow d(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = d(\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_n) \quad (\text{since def'n involved square of det.})$$

so can define disc. $(\mathcal{O}_K) = d(\mathcal{O}_K) := d(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$
for any integral basis of B/A .