

- **Intrinsic-ness of  $SO(n, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant Laplacian  $\Delta^S$**

Calculus on spheres is the simplest non-Euclidean example.

Euclidean calculus on products of circles and lines, and the corresponding harmonic analysis, is the *archimedean* part of much basic number theory.

Calculus on non-Euclidean spaces is even more useful, but requires more preparation.

The *spherical*-geometry case is significantly easier than *hyperbolic*-geometry examples, and simpler than more general situations.

Hecke's identity on Fourier transforms of harmonic-polynomial multiples of Gaussians is a good excuse to introduce a bit of representation theory, especially of compact groups.

What remains is giving an *intrinsic* meaning to  $\Delta^S$ .

Let  $G$  be a subgroup of the group  $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$  of multiplicatively-invertible  $n$ -by- $n$  real matrices.

We are only concerned with *very nice* subgroups  $G$ , probably requiring that  $G$  is defined by *polynomial conditions* on the entries of the matrices.

For example, the rotation group (special orthogonal group)  $SO(n, \mathbb{R})$  is defined by the collection of quadratic equations arising from the defining condition  $g^\top g = 1_n$ .

Another example is  $SL_n(\mathbb{R}) = \{g \in GL_n(\mathbb{R}) : \det g = 1\}$ .

These conditions are topologically *closed*, so such groups are closed subgroups of  $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

The rotation group  $SO(n, \mathbb{R})$  is *compact*.

For non-abelian groups, the distinction between *position* and *direction* that can be overlooked in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  becomes enormous. Specifically, specifying *direction* (and *directional derivatives*) requires more, as follows.

The *matrix exponential* is given by the expected series

$$e^A = \exp(A) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{A^i}{i!} \quad (\text{for } n\text{-by-}n \text{ matrix } A)$$

The  $n$ -by- $n$  real or complex matrices form a finite-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , so have a unique (reasonable) *topology*, although the topology can be described by several different *norms*. The exponential series converges absolutely for any such norm.

When  $AB = BA$ , we do have  $e^{A+B} = e^A \cdot e^B$  by the usual argument, but when  $A$  and  $B$  do not commute this identity *fails*.

For a nice  $G \subset GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ , the *Lie algebra* of  $G$  will give *directional derivatives* (=tangent vectors) on  $G$ . One definition is

$$\text{Lie } G = \mathfrak{g} = \{n\text{-by-}n \text{ } A : e^{tA} \in G \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

*Claim:*

$$\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{R}) = \text{Lie } GL_n(\mathbb{R}) = \{\text{all } n\text{-by-}n \text{ } A\}$$

$$\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R}) = \text{Lie } SL_n(\mathbb{R}) = \{n\text{-by-}n \text{ } A \text{ with } \text{tr}A = 0\}$$

$$\mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{R}) = \text{Lie } SO(n, \mathbb{R}) = \{A + A^\top = 0 \text{ and } \text{tr}A = 0\}$$

*Proof:* Using Jordan form,  $\det e^A = e^{\text{tr}A}$ . Thus,  $\det e^A \neq 0$ , so is invertible for all  $A$ . For  $\text{tr}A = 0$ ,  $\det e^A = e^0 = 1$ . For the orthogonal group, ...

... first observe that  $(e^A)^\top = e^{A^\top}$ . Thus, the condition  $(e^{tA})^\top e^{tA} = 1_n$  is

$$(1_n + tA^\top + \dots) \cdot (1_n + tA + \dots) = 1_n$$

The linear-in- $t$  term is  $A^\top + A = 0_n$ , so this condition is *necessary*.

With  $A^\top = -A$ ,

$$(e^{tA})^\top e^{tA} = e^{tA^\top} \cdot e^{tA} = e^{-tA} \cdot e^{tA} = e^0 = 1_n$$

proving *sufficiency*.

///

The derivative  $X_A f$  of a smooth function  $f$  on  $G$  in direction  $A \in \mathfrak{g}$  is

$$(X_A f)(g) = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} f(ge^{tA})$$

These differential operators do not commute: the commutator turns out to be

$$[X_A, X_B] = X_A \circ X_B - X_B \circ X_A = X_{AB-BA} = X_{[A,B]}$$

for  $A, B \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Always  $[A, B] \in \mathfrak{g}$  for  $A, B \in \mathfrak{g}$ . This is *suggested* by

$$\begin{aligned} e^{tA} e^{tB} e^{-tA} e^{-tB} &= \left(1 + tA + \frac{t^2 A^2}{2} + \dots\right) \left(1 + tB + \frac{t^2 B^2}{2} + \dots\right) \\ &\quad \times \left(1 - tA + \frac{t^2 A^2}{2} + \dots\right) \left(1 - tB + \frac{t^2 B^2}{2} + \dots\right) \\ &= 1 + t^2(AB - BA) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

While commutators  $[X_A, X_B]$  *do* arise from  $[A, B] \in \mathfrak{g}$ , simple *compositions*  $X_A \circ X_B$  do not come from anything in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . This awkwardness is remedied as follows.

We want an associative  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $U\mathfrak{g}$  and a  $[\cdot, \cdot]$ -preserving map  $i : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow U\mathfrak{g}$  such that, for all  $[\cdot, \cdot]$ -preserving maps  $f : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \Theta$  to an associative  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $\Theta$ , there is a unique associative algebra map  $U\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \Theta$  through which  $f$  factors. That is, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 U\mathfrak{g} & & \\
 \uparrow i & \searrow \exists! F & \\
 \mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{\forall f} & \Theta
 \end{array}$$

This characterizes  $i : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow U\mathfrak{g}$  uniquely up to unique isomorphism, *if it exists*. In other words, the functor  $U$  that creates  $U\mathfrak{g}$  from  $\mathfrak{g}$  is *adjoint* to the (forgetful) functor  $Lie$  that creates  $[x, y] = xy - yx$  on an associative algebra  $A$ :

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{assoc}}(U\mathfrak{g}, A) \approx \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{Lie} A)$$

For existence, in fact,  $U\mathfrak{g}$  is a quotient of the *universal associative algebra*  $A\mathfrak{g}$  attached to the *vector space*  $\mathfrak{g}$ , forgetting the  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  structure, and requiring that all vector space maps  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow V$  factor through an associative algebra map  $A\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow V$ .

The universal associative algebra is often constructed as

$$A\mathfrak{g} = \bigotimes^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \left( \bigotimes^n \mathfrak{g} \right)$$

which has the boring/universal multiplication

$$(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_m) \cdot (y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes y_n) = x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_m \otimes y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes y_n$$

The lack of interesting or special features here is exactly the universality. The enveloping algebra  $U\mathfrak{g}$  is the quotient by the ideal generated by all  $x \otimes y - y \otimes x - [x, y]$ . The map  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow U\mathfrak{g}$  is induced from  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \bigotimes^1 \mathfrak{g} \subset \bigotimes^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}$ .

The image of the tensor  $x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_m$  in  $U\mathfrak{g}$  is simply written without the tensor symbols, namely,  $x_1 \dots x_m$ .



Whenever the action of  $G$  on a representation space  $\pi$  is *differentiable*, the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  acts by

$$Av = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} \pi(e^{tA})(v) \quad (\text{for } A \in \mathfrak{g} \text{ and } v \in \pi)$$

This map  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\pi)$  preserves brackets (!), so gives a unique corresponding associative-algebra map  $U\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\pi)$ .

All these actions are compatible with the action of  $G$ , since they are induced by it. For example,

$$\left( \pi(g) \circ A \circ \pi(g)^{-1} \right)(v) = (gAg^{-1})(v)$$

Here,  $gAg^{-1}$  is simply matrix conjugation, but/and it has an abstract sense in general, and is called the *Adjoint action* of  $G$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , denoted  $\text{Ad } g(A)$ . The lower-case *adjoint action* of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on itself is by  $\text{ad } x(y) = [x, y]$ . The Adjoint action of  $G$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  gives rise to a natural action on  $\otimes^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}$  and  $U\mathfrak{g}$ .

There is a possibly-unexpected advantage to considering the universal enveloping algebra, namely, that the  $G$ -fixed subalgebra  $\mathfrak{z} = (U\mathfrak{g})^G$  is quite non-trivial!!!

The simplest non-scalar element in  $\mathfrak{z}$  is the *Casimir* element  $\Omega$ , described as follows. The *trace form* is  $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{tr}(AB)$ . This is a non-degenerate, symmetric,  $\text{Ad}G$ -invariant pairing on the Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{R})$ , and many others.

Up to a normalizing constant,  $\langle, \rangle$  is the *Killing form*, not because it kills anything, but because of pioneering work by Wilhelm Killing.

For  $\mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{R})$ , the trace form is *positive definite*, as is clear from noting the orthogonal basis  $\theta_{ij} = e_{ij} - e_{ji}$  for  $i < j$ , where  $e_{ij}$  has non-zero entry only at the  $ij^{\text{th}}$  location, with a 1 there.

The non-degenerate pairing on  $\mathfrak{g}$  gives a natural identification of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with its dual  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ , by  $\lambda_A(B) = \langle A, B \rangle$ .

Consider the natural,  $G$ -equivariant maps

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{g}) \approx \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \approx \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \subset \bigotimes^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow U\mathfrak{g}$$

At the left end, the identity endomorphism  $1_{\mathfrak{g}}$  certainly commutes with  $\mathrm{Ad} G$ . With a choice of basis  $x_i$  for  $\mathfrak{g}$  and dual basis  $x_i^*$ , the image of  $1_{\mathfrak{g}}$  in  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^*$  is  $\sum_i x_i \otimes x_i^*$ . Of course, noting that this is the image of  $1_{\mathfrak{g}}$ , it is *a-fortiori*  $G$ -invariant.

Let  $\Omega$  be the image of  $1_{\mathfrak{g}}$  in  $U\mathfrak{g}$ . By design,  $\Omega \in (U\mathfrak{g})^G$ , but it is not entirely clear that it is not accidentally 0.

For any choice of basis  $x_i$  and dual basis  $x_i^*$ ,  $\Omega = \sum_i x_i x_i^*$ .

**Remark:** Some sources perversely *define*  $\Omega$  by the formula in terms of a basis and dual basis, and then prove that the expression is invariant under change-of-basis. It is obviously better to give an intrinsic definition that does not refer to a basis.

Non-Euclidean geometries attached to  $G$  often have *Laplacian* given by the corresponding Casimir element.

By design, the action of  $\Omega$  on a representation space is *intrinsic*, depending only on the isomorphism class. Since  $\Omega$  commutes with  $G$ , by Schur's Lemma it acts by a *scalar* on an irreducible.

For  $G = SO(n, \mathbb{R})$ , let's compute the effect of  $\Omega$  on  $H_d$ , using the orthogonal basis  $\theta_{ij} = e_{ij} - e_{ji}$ . All these are length  $\sqrt{2}$ , so  $\Omega = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j} (e_{ij} - e_{ji})^2 \in \mathfrak{z} \subset U\mathfrak{g}$ .

Of course,  $\exp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ -t & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & \sin t \\ -\sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix}$ , so

$$\exp t\theta_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \cos t & \sin t & \\ & & 1 & \\ & -\sin t & \cos t & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

With  $G = SO(n, \mathbb{R})$  acting on functions  $f$  on  $S^{n-1}$  by  $g \cdot f(x) = f(xg)$ , the summand  $\theta_{ij}\theta_{ij}$  acts by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left. \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right|_{t=0} f(x \cdot e^{t\theta_{ij}}) \\ &= \left. \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right|_{t=0} f(\dots, x_i \cos t - x_j \sin t, \dots, x_i \sin t + x_j \cos t, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

with something non-trivial only at  $i^{\text{th}}$  and  $j^{\text{th}}$  arguments. This is

$$\begin{aligned} & \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} \left( (-x_i \sin t - x_j \cos t) f_i + (x_i \cos t - x_j \sin t) f_j \right) \\ &= -x_i f_i + x_j^2 f_{ii} + x_i^2 f_{jj} - x_j f_j - 2x_i x_j f_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

For *homogeneous*  $f$  of total degree  $d$ , Euler's  $\sum_i x_i f_i = d \cdot f$ , and  $\sum_{ij} x_i x_j f_{ij} = d(d-1)f$  help simplify:

for  $f$  homogeneous of total degree  $d$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\Omega f)(x) &= \sum_{i < j} \left( -x_i f_i + x_j^2 f_{ii} + x_i^2 f_{jj} - x_j f_j - 2x_i x_j f_{ij} \right) \\
 &= -(n-1)d \cdot f + \sum_{i < j} \left( x_j^2 f_{ii} + x_i^2 f_{jj} - 2x_i x_j f_{ij} \right) \\
 &= -(n-1)d \cdot f + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \left( x_j^2 f_{ii} + x_i^2 f_{jj} - 2x_i x_j f_{ij} \right) \\
 &= -(n-1)d \cdot f + r^2 \Delta f - d(d-1) \cdot f = -d(d+n-2) \cdot f + r^2 \Delta f
 \end{aligned}$$

Seemingly-miraculously, for *harmonic*  $f$  of total degree  $d$ , this recovers the eigenvalue for the *extrinsic*  $\Delta^S$ , essentially giving  $\Omega f = \Delta^S f$ .

That is, those eigenvalues are not mere artifacts! They are intrinsic, so depend only on the isomorphism class of the representation, and our argument for Hecke's identity is complete.

///