

Continuing the pre/review of the simple (!?) case...

Examples: Riemann's formula

$$\sum_{p^m < X} \log p = X - (b+1) - \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|\operatorname{Im}(\rho)| < T} \frac{X^\rho}{\rho} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{X^{-2n}}{2n}$$

Gauss' *Quadratic Reciprocity*:

$$\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)_2 \cdot \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)_2 = (-1)^{\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{4}}$$

Continuing: *factorization* of Dedekind zeta-functions into Dirichlet L -functions. Equivalently, *behavior of primes in extensions*.

Example: behavior of primes in the extension $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ of \mathbb{Z}

Prime numbers p in \mathbb{Z} , which we'll call *rational primes* to distinguish them, do not usually *stay prime* in larger rings: for example

$$5 = (2 + i) \cdot (2 - i)$$

Expanding on the two-squares theorem:

Theorem: A rational prime p stays prime in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ if and only if $p = 3 \pmod{4}$. A rational prime $p = 1 \pmod{4}$ factors as $p = p_1 p_2$ with distinct primes p_i . The rational prime 2 *ramifies*, in the sense that $2 = (1 + i)(1 - i)$ and $1 + i$ and $1 - i$ differ by a unit.

Terminology: Primes that *stay prime* are *inert*, and primes that *factor* (with no factor repeating) are *split*. A prime that factors and has *repeated factors* is *ramified*.

So far, for split p , and for ρ a $\sqrt{-1}$ in \mathbb{F}_p ,

$$\mathbb{Z}[i]/p \approx \mathbb{F}_p[x]/\langle x^2 + 1 \rangle \approx \mathbb{F}_p[x]/\langle x - \rho \rangle \oplus \mathbb{F}_p[x]/\langle x + \rho \rangle$$

By the cyclic-ness of \mathbb{F}_p^\times , p has a $\sqrt{-1}$ exactly when $p = 1 \pmod{4}$. That is, $p = 1 \pmod{4}$ is *split*, specifically, $p \cdot \mathbb{Z}[i]$ is of the form $p_1 p_2 \cdot \mathbb{Z}[i]$ for *distinct* (non-associate) prime elements p_i of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

Lemma For an ideal I in a PID R , suppose there is an isomorphism

$$\varphi : R \longrightarrow R/I \approx D_1 \times D_2$$

to a product of integral domains D_i (with $0 \neq 1$ in each). Then $I = \ker \varphi$ is generated by a product $p_1 p_2$ of two distinct (non-associate) prime elements p_i .

Proof: In a *principal* ideal domain, every non-zero prime ideal is *maximal*. Let φ_i be the further composition of φ with the projection to D_i . Then $\ker \varphi_i$ of $\varphi_i : R \rightarrow D_i$ is a prime ideal containing I , and

$$\ker \varphi = \ker \varphi_1 \cap \ker \varphi_2$$

$\ker \varphi_1 \neq \ker \varphi_2$, or else $I = \ker \varphi_1 = \ker \varphi_2$ would already be prime, and R/I would be an integral domain, not a product. Let $\ker \varphi_i = p_i \cdot R$ for non-associate prime elements p_1, p_2 of R . Then

$$I = p_1R \cap p_2R = \{r \in R : r = a_1p_1 = a_2p_2 \text{ for some } a_1, a_2 \in R\}$$

p_1 and p_2 are distinct, so $p_2|a_1$ and $p_1|a_2$, and $I = p_1p_2 \cdot R$. ///

Description of behaviors in an extension, in terms of behavior in the ground ring, is a *reciprocity law*.

Quadratic symbol as Dirichlet character: conductor The *quadratic symbol* that tells whether or not -1 is a square mod p is

$$\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)_2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & (p = 2) \\ +1 & (\text{when } -1 \text{ is a square mod } p) \\ -1 & (\text{when } -1 \text{ is not a square mod } p) \end{array} \right\} \quad (\text{prime } p)$$

This quadratic symbol is determined by $p \bmod 4$. That is, the *conductor* of this symbol is 4. That is, this quadratic symbol is a *Dirichlet character* mod 4:

$$\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)_2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & (p = 2) \\ +1 & (\text{when } p = 1 \bmod 4) \\ -1 & (\text{when } p = 3 \bmod 4) \end{array} \right.$$

Factoring $\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}[i]}(s)$ The zeta function of $\mathfrak{o} = \mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a sum over non-zero elements of \mathfrak{o} modulo units: (note that the *ideal* norm is expressible in terms of the *Galois* norm here)

$$\zeta_{\mathfrak{o}}(s) = \sum_{0 \neq \alpha \in \mathfrak{o} \bmod \mathfrak{o}^\times} \frac{1}{|N\alpha|^s} \quad (\text{Galois norm})$$

Since $|\mathfrak{o}^\times| = 4$, this is also

$$\zeta_{\mathfrak{o}}(s) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{0 \neq \alpha \in \mathfrak{o}} \frac{1}{(N\alpha)^s} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ not both } 0} \frac{1}{(m^2 + n^2)^s}$$

Easy estimates prove convergence for $\text{Re}(s) > 1$. As in the Euler factorization of $\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}}(s)$, unique factorization in $\mathfrak{o} = \mathbb{Z}[i]$ gives

$$\zeta_{\mathfrak{o}}(s) = \prod_{\text{primes } \pi \bmod \mathfrak{o}^\times} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{|N\pi|^s}} \quad (\text{for } \text{Re}(s) > 1)$$

With $\chi(p) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)_2$, we claim a factorization

$$\zeta_{\mathfrak{o}}(s) = \zeta_{\mathbb{Z}}(s) \cdot L(s, \chi)$$

To this end, group the Euler factors according to the rational primes the Gaussian prime divides:

$$\zeta_{\mathfrak{o}}(s) = \prod_{\text{rational } p} \prod_{\pi|p} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{|N\pi|^s}}$$

The prime $p = 2$ is *ramified*: $\pi = 1 + i$ is the unique prime dividing 2, and $2 = (1 + i)^2/i$. Since $\chi(2) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\pi|2} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{|N\pi|^s}} &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{|N(1+i)|^s}} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2^s}} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2^s}} \cdot 1 = 2^{\text{th}} \text{ factor of } \zeta_{\mathbb{Z}}(s) \times 2^{\text{th}} \text{ factor of } L(s, \chi) \end{aligned}$$

Primes $p = 3 \pmod{4}$ stay prime in \mathfrak{o} , and $\chi(p) = -1$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\pi|p} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{|N\pi|^s}} &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{|Np|^s}} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p^{2s}}} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p^s}} \times \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{p^s}} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p^s}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}} = p^{\text{th}} \text{ factor of } \zeta(s) \times p^{\text{th}} \text{ factor of } L(s, \chi) \end{aligned}$$

Primes $p = 1 \pmod{4}$ factor as $p = p_1 p_2$, and $\chi(p) = +1$. Note that $p^2 = Np = Np_1 \cdot Np_2$, so since the p_i are not units, $Np_i = p$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\pi|p} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{|N\pi|^s}} &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{|Np_1|^s}} \times \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{|Np_2|^s}} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p^s}} \times \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p^s}} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p^s}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}} = p^{\text{th}} \text{ factor of } \zeta_{\mathbb{Z}}(s) \times p^{\text{th}} \text{ factor of } L(s, \chi) \end{aligned}$$

Putting this together, $\zeta_{\mathfrak{o}}(s) = \zeta_{\mathbb{Z}}(s) \cdot L(s, \chi)$.

Example: extension $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ of \mathbb{Z}

A little work shows that the ring $\mathfrak{o} = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ is *Euclidean*, so a *PID*.

The group of units \mathfrak{o}^\times is highly non-trivial: has non-torsion element $1 + \sqrt{2}$. In fact, \mathfrak{o}^\times is generated by $1 + \sqrt{2}$ and -1 .

Theorem: A rational prime p stays prime in $\mathfrak{o} = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ if and only if $p = 3, 5 \pmod{8}$. A rational prime $p = \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ factors as $p = p_1 p_2$ with distinct primes p_i . The rational prime 2 *ramifies*, in the sense that $2 = (\sqrt{2})^2$.

Proof: The $p = 2$ case is clear. With $p > 2$,

$$\mathfrak{o}/p = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]/p \approx \mathbb{Z}[x]/\langle x^2 - 2, p \rangle \approx \mathbb{F}_p[x]/\langle x^2 - 2 \rangle$$

When 2 is a non-square mod p , $x^2 - 2$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$, and \mathfrak{o}/p is a field, so p is prime. When 2 is a square mod $p > 2$, there are two *distinct* square roots ρ_1, ρ_2 , and by Sun-Ze's theorem

$$\mathbb{F}_p[x]/\langle x^2 - 2 \rangle \approx \mathbb{F}_p[x]/\langle x - \rho_1 \rangle \oplus \mathbb{F}_p[x]/\langle x - \rho_2 \rangle$$

The earlier Lemma shows that p factors in \mathfrak{o} as a product of two distinct (non-associate) primes, so p *splits*. ///

In fact, taking any representatives ρ in \mathbb{Z} for a square root of 2 mod p , the isomorphism shows that the *pairs* $p, \rho - \sqrt{2}$ and $p, \rho + \sqrt{2}$ generate the two prime ideals into which $p \cdot \mathfrak{o}$ factors.

Group the Euler factors of the Dedekind zeta function for $\mathfrak{o} = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ by rational primes:

$$\zeta_{\mathfrak{o}}(s) = \prod_p \left(\prod_{\pi|p} \frac{1}{1 - |N\pi|^{-s}} \right) = (\text{ramified}) \cdot (\text{split}) \cdot (\text{inert})$$

The only ramified prime is 2. Split primes are $p = \pm 1 \pmod{8}$, and $p = \pi_1 \cdot \pi_2$ implies

$$p^2 = Np = N\pi_1 \cdot N\pi_2$$

so the norms of any two prime factors are p . Inert primes are $p = 3, 5 \pmod{8}$, they remain prime in \mathfrak{o} , and $Np = p^2$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\mathfrak{o}}(s) &= \prod_{\pi|2} \frac{1}{1 - |N\pi|^{-s}} \\ &\times \prod_{p=\pi_1\pi_2} \frac{1}{1 - |N\pi_1|^{-s}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - |N\pi_2|^{-s}} \\ &\times \prod_{p=3,5 \pmod{8}} \frac{1}{1 - |Np|^{-s}} \end{aligned}$$

With $\chi(p) = \binom{2}{p}_2$, this is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \zeta_0(s) &= \frac{1}{1-2^{-s}} \times \prod_{p=\pm 1 \pmod 8} \frac{1}{1-p^{-s}} \cdot \frac{1}{1-p^{-s}} \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{p=3,5 \pmod 8} \frac{1}{1-p^{-s}} \cdot \frac{1}{1+p^{-s}} \\
 &= \prod_p \frac{1}{1-p^{-s}} \cdot \frac{1}{1-\chi(p)p^{-s}} = \zeta(s) \cdot L(s, \chi)
 \end{aligned}$$

Example: eighth roots of unity

Let $\omega = \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}$ be a primitive eighth root of unity, and $\mathfrak{o} = \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$.

The non-trivial characters mod 8 are $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)_2$, $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)_2$, and $\left(\frac{-2}{p}\right)_2$. The ring $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$ is Euclidean. The same argument shows not only

$$\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]}(s) = \zeta(s) \cdot L\left(s, \left(\frac{-2}{p}\right)_2\right)$$

but also **Claim:**

$$\zeta_{\mathfrak{o}}(s) = \zeta(s) \cdot L\left(s, \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)_2\right) L\left(s, \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)_2\right) \cdot L\left(s, \left(\frac{-2}{p}\right)_2\right)$$

Without determining whether \mathfrak{o} is a PID, or what its units are, let's grant ourselves that it is a *Dedekind domain*, in that *every non-zero ideal factors uniquely into prime ideals*.