

# Sketch of simple Siegel-Weil formula

*Paul Garrett*

in T.H. Chen's *Geometric methods in Langlands Program Seminar*, March 28, 2022

Will also be posted at the top of  
<http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/v/>  
with filename Siegel-Weil.pdf

---

C.L. Siegel, *Über die analytische Theorie der quadratische Formen, I, II, III*, Ann. of Math. **36** (1935), 527-606; **37** (1936), 230-263; **38** (1937), 212-291.

C.L. Siegel, *Indefinite quadratische Formen und Funktionentheorie, I, II*, Math. Ann. **124** (1952), 17-54; (1952), 364-387.

A. Weil, *Sur la formule de Siegel dans la théorie des groupes classiques*, Acta Math. **113** (1965), 1-87.

Vague (classic) Siegel-Weil: *Certain* linear combinations of holomorphic *theta series* are (exactly) holomorphic *Eisenstein series*.

Example, and arithmetic content: As modular forms for the congruence subgroup

$\Gamma_\theta$  of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  generated by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,

$$\sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^8} e^{-\pi i |v|^2 z} = E_4^{(i\infty)}(z)$$

where  $E_4^{(i\infty)}$  is the weight-four Eisenstein series taking value 1 at  $i\infty$  and 0 at the other cusp.

$\theta_8(z) = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^8} e^{-\pi i |v|^2 z}$  is a *theta series*.

The Fourier expansion of the Eisenstein series is

$$1 + \frac{(2\pi)^4}{3! \zeta(4) (2^4 - 1)} \sum_{N \geq 1} \left( \sum_{0 < c | N} c^3 \cdot (-1)^{N+c} \right) e^{\pi i N z}$$

Theta series and Eisenstein series are *opposites*, in construction and in Fourier expansions.

The  $N^{\text{th}}$  Fourier coefficient of the theta series is the *representation number*  $\nu_8(N)$ , the number of ways to express  $N$  as a sum of 8 squares of integers. In particular, an integer.

The  $N^{\text{th}}$  Fourier coefficient of the Eisenstein series involves  $\zeta(4)/\pi^4$  and sums-of-divisors.

For example, with  $N = 1$ ,

$$16 = \nu_8(1) = \frac{(2\pi)^4}{3!\zeta(4)(2^4 - 1)}$$

Thus,

$$\zeta(4) = \frac{(2\pi)^4}{3!(2^4 - 1) \cdot 16} = \frac{\pi^4}{90}$$

Oppositely, for  $p$  an odd prime,

$$\nu_8(p) = 16 \cdot \sum_{0 < c|p} c^3 \cdot (-1)^{p+c} = 16 \cdot (1 + p^3)$$

And, for another odd prime  $q \neq p$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\nu_8(pq)}{16} &= \sum_{0 < c|pq} c^3 \cdot (-1)^{pq+c} \\ &= 1 + p^3 + q^3 + (pq)^3 = (1 + p^3)(1 + q^3) \\ &= \frac{\nu_8(p)}{16} \cdot \frac{\nu_8(q)}{16} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for relatively prime, odd  $m, n$ ,

$$\frac{\nu_8(mn)}{16} = \frac{\nu_8(m)}{16} \cdot \frac{\nu_8(n)}{16}$$

None of these facts is obvious.

Another example: There do exist 8-by-8 symmetric integer matrices with determinant 1 and *even* diagonal entries:

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The associated theta series

$$\theta_Q(z) = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^8} e^{-\pi i(v^t Q v)z}$$

is a weight-four level-one holomorphic modular form. Thus,

$$\theta_Q(z) = E_4(z) = 1 + \frac{(2\pi)^4}{3!\zeta(4)} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_3(n) e^{2\pi i n z}$$

As with  $\theta_8$ , the Fourier coefficients of  $\theta_Q$  are *representation numbers*

$$\nu_Q(n) = \text{card}\{v \in \mathbb{Z}^n : v^t Q v = n\}$$

Cor From the coefficient of  $e^{2\pi iz}$ ,

$$\nu_Q(1) = \frac{(2\pi)^4}{3!\zeta(4)} = \frac{2^4}{3!} \cdot 90 = 240$$

Thus,

$$\nu_Q(n) = \frac{(2\pi)^4}{3!\zeta(4)} \sigma_3(n) = 240\sigma_3(n)$$

And, again,  $\nu_Q(n)/240$  is *weakly multiplicative*:  
for relatively prime  $0 < m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\frac{\nu_Q(mn)}{240} = \frac{\nu_Q(m)}{240} \cdot \frac{\nu_Q(n)}{240}$$

Likewise, because the only weight-eight level-one holomorphic elliptic modular form is (the Eisenstein series)  $E_8$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} \nu_{Q \oplus Q}(n) e^{\pi i n z} &= \theta_{Q \oplus Q}(z) \\ &= \theta_Q(z) \cdot \theta_Q(z) \\ &= E_8(z) = 1 + \frac{(2\pi)^8}{7! \zeta(8)} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_7(n) e^{2\pi i n z} \end{aligned}$$

entailing more non-obvious identities, for example,

$$\zeta(8) = \frac{2^8 \pi^8}{7! \cdot 480} = \frac{\pi^8}{9450}$$

Patterns of easy equality of theta series and Eisenstein series cannot continue simply, because there *are* holomorphic cuspforms of higher weights.

The futility of a naive hope that *all* theta series are Eisenstein series reflects the non-triviality of the precise Siegel-Weil relation.

## Why are theta series modular forms?

The classical argument mirrors proofs that *local* Weil representations are representations, and that for *k-rational* quadratic forms, the *global* Weil representation has properties reflecting *global* arithmetic.

Gunning 1962 echoes the most classical argument. My *Holomorphic Hilbert Modular Forms* 1990 modernizes that argument to a degree (and might suggest revising the whole approach to *overtly* use the Weil representation).

Of course, this is an anachronistic and causality-reversing description.

To be clear, for holomorphic Siegel-Weil, the first substantive issue is that such a theta series *is* a modular form. *And* this is essentially equivalent to construction (and details) of the local and global Weil representation. The subtler, second issue is about arranging linear combinations to obtain *exactly* Eisenstein series.



## A more general set-up

To simplify, consider quadratic spaces of the form  $Q = Q_1 \oplus Q_1$ , so that the Weil representation descends from a two-fold cover to the symplectic groups  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{A})$ .

Suppose  $Q$  is *positive-definite* at archimedean places (which then must be *real*). This entails that all the theta series and Eisenstein series correspond to *holomorphic* modular forms, for *local* Weil-representation reasons.

The essential issues already arise for  $SL_2 \times O(Q)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The same ideas apply to  $Sp_{2n} \times O(Q)$ , over totally real number fields  $k$ .

The global Weil representation restricted to  $Sp_{2n} \times O(Q)$  acts on the Schwartz functions  $\varphi$  on  $Q_{\mathbb{A}} \times \mathbb{A}^n$ . View the latter as  $\dim Q \times n$  rectangular matrices.

The action of  $h \in O(Q)_{\mathbb{A}}$  is elementary, induced from the natural linear action on  $Q_{\mathbb{A}}$ , on functions by  $(h \cdot \varphi)(v) = \varphi(h^{-1} \cdot v)$ .

$g \in Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{A})$  acts via the Weil representation, defined in pieces: using the simplifying assumptions on  $Q$ , with standard additive character  $\psi$  on  $\mathbb{A}/k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & {}^t a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \varphi(v) \\ &= \chi_Q(\det a) \cdot |\det a|^{\frac{1}{2} \dim Q} \cdot \varphi(v \cdot a) \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \varphi(v) = \psi\left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(Q(v) \cdot x)\right) \cdot \varphi(v) \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \varphi(v) \\ &= \chi_Q(-1) \cdot \widehat{\varphi}(v) \quad (\text{locally everywhere}) \end{aligned}$$

For present purposes, a *theta kernel*  $\Phi_\varphi$  is a function of  $g \in Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{A})$ :

$$\Phi_\varphi(g) = \sum_{v \in Q_k \otimes k^n} (g \cdot \varphi)(v)$$

The *theta series* in the Siegel-Weil formula is

$$\theta_\varphi(g) = \int_{O(Q)_k \backslash O(Q)_\mathbb{A}} \left( \sum_{v \in Q_k \otimes k^n} (g \cdot \varphi)(h^{-1}v) \right) dh$$

In fact, especially because  $O(Q)_k \backslash O(Q)_\mathbb{A}$  is *compact*, the integral easily passes inside the sum:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{O(Q)_k \backslash O(Q)_\mathbb{A}} \left( \sum_{v \in Q_k \otimes k^n} (g \cdot \varphi)(h^{-1}v) \right) hd \\
&= \sum_{v \in Q_k \otimes k^n} \int_{O(Q)_k \backslash O(Q)_\mathbb{A}} (g \cdot \varphi)(h^{-1}v) dh \\
&= \sum_{v \in Q_k \otimes k^n} (g \cdot \tilde{\varphi})(h^{-1}v) = \Phi_{\tilde{\varphi}}(g)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\varphi}(g) = \int_{O(Q)_k \backslash O(Q)_\mathbb{A}} h \cdot \varphi dh$$

That is, with compact  $O(Q)_k \backslash O(Q)_\mathbb{A}$ ,

$$\theta_\varphi = \Phi_{\tilde{\varphi}}$$

**Claim:** Every  $\Phi_\varphi$  is left  $Sp_{2n}(k)$ -invariant.

**Proof:** We prove that it is left-invariant by

$$N_k = \{n_x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & S \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : S^t = S, S \text{ over } k\}$$

by

$$M_k = \{m_a = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & {}^t a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} : a \in GL_n(k)\}$$

and by the Weyl element

$$w = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

A Bruhat decomposition shows that these generate  $Sp_{2n}(k)$ .

First, in fact, each summand  $(g \cdot \varphi)(v)$  for  $v$  in  $Q_k \otimes k^n$  is left  $N_k$ -invariant:

$$\begin{aligned} ((n_x g) \cdot \varphi)(v) &= (n_x \cdot (g \cdot \varphi))(v) \\ &= \psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(Q(v) \cdot x)\right) \cdot (g \cdot \varphi)(v) = 1 \cdot (g \cdot \varphi)(v) \end{aligned}$$

because  $\psi$  is trivial on  $k$ .

Second,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_v ((m_a g) \cdot \varphi)(v) &= \sum_v (m_a \cdot (g \cdot \varphi))(v) \\
&= \chi_Q(\det a) \cdot |\det a|^{\frac{1}{2} \dim Q} \sum_v (g \cdot \varphi)(vm) \\
&= 1 \cdot \sum_v (g \cdot \varphi)(v)
\end{aligned}$$

by the product formula, by the fact that  $GL_n(k)$  stabilizes  $Q_k \otimes k^n$ , and by the fact that  $\chi_Q$  is a Hecke character.

Last,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_v ((wg) \cdot \varphi)(v) &= \sum_v (w \cdot (g \cdot \varphi))(v) \\
&= \chi_Q(-1) \cdot \sum_v (\widehat{g \cdot \varphi})(v) = \sum_v (g \cdot \varphi)(v)
\end{aligned}$$

by Poisson summation, since  $\chi_Q$  is a Hecke character. ///

On the other hand, Siegel-parabolic Eisenstein series  $E_f$  on  $Sp_{2n}$ , holomorphic or not, are attached to functions  $f$  on  $Sp_{2n}$  left-invariant by

$$N_{\mathbb{A}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & S \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : S^t = S, S \text{ adelic} \right\}$$

and by

$$M_k = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & {}^t a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} : a \in GL_n(k) \right\}$$

Under various hypotheses assuring convergence,

$$E_f(g) = \sum_{\gamma \in N_k M_k \backslash Sp_{2n}(k)} f(\gamma \cdot g)$$

The relevant  $f = f_\varphi$  for Siegel-Weil is

$$f_\varphi(g) = (g \cdot \varphi)(0)$$

## Siegel-Weil (classical, holomorphic)

Given  $n$ , for  $\dim Q$  sufficiently large,

$$\theta_\varphi = E_{f_\varphi} \quad (\text{with } f_\varphi(g) = (g \cdot \varphi)(0))$$

Expanded:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{O(Q)_k \backslash O(Q)_\mathbb{A}} \sum_v (g \cdot \varphi)(h^{-1}v) dh \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in N_k M_k \backslash Sp_{2n}(k)} (\gamma g \cdot \varphi)(0) \end{aligned}$$

Cor Equality of  $0^{th}$  Fourier coefficients is the **Siegel Mass Formula**.

**Note** By the positive-definiteness of  $Q$  at archimedean places, the integral over  $O(Q)_k \backslash O(Q)_\mathbb{A}$  is actually a *finite sum*, weighted by various volumes:

**Proof:** Generally, when  $H_k$  is globally anisotropic,  $H_k \backslash H_\mathbb{A}$  is *compact*. For classical groups, this is Mahler's criterion: Godement's Sem. Bourbaki talk on Reduction Theory, 1963.

For orthogonal groups  $O(Q)$ , *global* anisotropy is non-solvability of  $Q(x) = 0$  for non-zero  $x \in Q_k$ . Certainly this is implied by *local* anisotropy at any completion  $k_v$ , meaning  $Q(x) = 0$  has no non-zero solutions  $x \in Q_{k_v}$ .

Hasse-Minkowski is the converse!

For  $\dim Q > 4$ , there are no  $p$ -adic anisotropic quadratic forms, so global anisotropy occurs exactly for anisotropy at some archimedean place. This does not happen at complex places, so there must be a *real* place where the form  $Q$  is positive-definite or negative-definite.



When the archimedean factors of  $H_{\mathbb{A}}$  are all compact,

$$H_k \backslash H_{\mathbb{A}} / K_{\mathbb{A}} \approx (H_k)_{\text{fin}} \backslash H_{\text{fin}} / K_{\text{fin}}$$

with the projection of  $H_k$  to non-archimedean factors, and with finite-prime adèle groups. The quotient  $(H_k)_{\text{fin}} \backslash H_{\text{fin}}$  inherits compactness.

Since  $K_{\text{fin}}$  is (compact and) *open*, that further quotient

$$(H_k)_{\text{fin}} \backslash H_{\text{fin}} / K_{\text{fin}} = \text{compact/open}$$

is *finite*.

///

## Sketch of Proof of Siegel-Weil:

Positive-definiteness at archimedean places *greatly* simplifies the argument, but is inessential.

*Local* computation shows that  $\theta_\varphi$  generates *holomorphic discrete series* at archimedean places (classical avatar a *holomorphic* modular form). This is worth some attention:

Up to  $\mathbb{R}$ -isomorphism, the positive-definite form is  $Q(v_1, \dots, v_{2\ell}) = \sum_j v_j^2 = |v|^2$ . We use the Lie algebra version of the representation, best referenced as Segal-Shale-Weil. In  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ , as usual, let

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(Historically backward) differentiating the Weil representation, these Lie algebra elements act on functions on  $\mathbb{R}^{2\ell}$  by

(up to normalizing constants)

$$X \rightarrow \text{multiplication by } \frac{|v|^2}{2}$$

$$Y \rightarrow \frac{\Delta}{2} \quad H \rightarrow \ell + \sum_{j=1}^{2\ell} v_j \frac{\partial}{\partial v_j}$$

To determine possible *principal series* representations  $I_\chi$  to which this has non-trivial maps, we'd compute its Jacquet module, namely,  $X$ -cofixed vectors, due to the Frobenius adjunction

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(V, I_s) \approx \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{m}}(V_{\mathfrak{n}}, |\cdot|^s)$$

with  $\mathfrak{n}$  the Lie algebra of  $N$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}$  that of  $M$ .

To simplify, dualize to consider *fixed* vectors rather than *co-fixed*: consider the *tempered distributions*  $\mathcal{S}^*$ . Those fixed/annihilated by multiplication by  $|v|^2$  are supported at 0. Thus,  $\delta$  and its derivatives  $\partial^\alpha \delta$  such that  $\widehat{\partial^\alpha \delta}$  is a harmonic polynomial.

By Euler's identity,

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \ell + \sum_{j=1}^{2\ell} v_j \frac{\partial}{\partial v_j} \right) \partial^\alpha \delta &= (\ell - (2\ell + |\alpha|)) \cdot \partial^\alpha \delta \\ &= -(\ell + |\alpha|) \cdot \partial^\alpha \delta \end{aligned}$$

Un-dualizing (at the level of characters, not principal series), vectors in the archimedean Weil representation map *at most* to principal series induced from

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow a^{\ell+|\alpha|} = a^{\frac{1}{2} \dim Q + |\alpha|} \quad (\text{for } a > 0)$$

These non-unitarizable principal series are the ones that contain holomorphic discrete series as subrepresentations. The  $(\ell + |\alpha|)^{th}$  holomorphic discrete series has lowest  $K_\infty$  type  $\ell + |\alpha|$ .

The intertwining  $I_s \rightarrow I_{1-s}$  by (analytic continuation of)

$$f \longrightarrow \int_N f(w n_x g) dx$$

is generically an isomorphism. For  $s = \ell + |\alpha|$ , its kernel is the holomorphic discrete series of that weight. Since the Weil representation has no non-zero map to  $I_{1-(\ell+|\alpha|)}$ , its image in  $I_{\ell+|\alpha|}$  must be inside the holomorphic discrete series.

In fact, the Weil representation has images

$$H_d \otimes \pi_{d+\frac{1}{2} \dim Q}$$

as  $O(2\ell) \times SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  representation, for homogeneous harmonic polynomials  $H_d$  of degree  $d$ , and holomorphic discrete series  $\pi_{d+\frac{1}{2} \dim Q}$  with lowest weight  $d + \frac{1}{2} \dim Q$ , for all  $d$ .

This entails considerable simplification for the sequel.

A *global* idea is that  $f_\varphi(g) = (g \cdot \varphi)(0)$  is the *constant term*

$$c_P \theta_\varphi(g) = \int_{N_k \backslash N_{\mathbb{A}}} \theta_\varphi(ug) \, du = f_\varphi(g)$$

of  $\theta_\varphi$  along the Siegel parabolic  $P = NM$ . Since the summands in  $\theta_\varphi$  and  $\Phi_\varphi$  are  $N_k$ -invariant, we can compute this constant term summand-wise:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{N_k \backslash N_{\mathbb{A}}} (n_x g \cdot \varphi)(v) \, dx &= \int_{N_k \backslash N_{\mathbb{A}}} (n_x \cdot (g \cdot \varphi))(v) \, dx \\ &= \int_{N_k \backslash N_{\mathbb{A}}} \psi\left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(Q(v) \cdot x)\right) (g \cdot \varphi)(v) \, dx \\ &= (g \cdot \varphi)(v) \cdot \int_{N_k \backslash N_{\mathbb{A}}} \psi\left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(Q(v) \cdot x)\right) \, dx \end{aligned}$$

The integral is 0 unless the character on  $N_k \backslash N_{\mathbb{A}}$  is trivial, which is exactly for  $Q(v) = 0$ .

Since  $Q$  is globally anisotropic (positive-definite at least one real place), this is exactly for  $v = 0$ . Thus, the constant-term contribution of the  $v^{\text{th}}$  summand is 0 unless  $v = 0$ , in which case it is  $(g \cdot \varphi)(0) = f_\varphi(g)$ .

$O(Q)_\mathbb{A}$  stabilizes 0, so integration over  $O(Q)_k \backslash O(Q)_\mathbb{A}$  does not change the constant term. But this integration *does* assure, via local representation theory, that the resulting  $\theta_\varphi = \Phi_{\tilde{\varphi}}$  is the lowest- $K$ -type vector in a holomorphic discrete series.

In general, for an  $SL_2$  automorphic form  $F$  with constant term  $F_0$ , the difference  $F - E_{F_0}$  is *not* a cuspform. But in the holomorphic situation (for lowest- $K$ -type) this *does* hold. So  $\theta_\varphi - E_{f_\varphi}$  is a cuspform. We first sketch the rest of the proof for  $SL_2$ , then look at the complications for  $Sp_{2n}$ , still in the holomorphic case.

The second idea is that  $\theta_\varphi$  and  $E_{f_\varphi}$  generate the same *principal series* representation *locally* at almost all finite primes. Thus, their difference locally generates that representation.

For large  $\dim Q$ , the non-archimedean principal series are in a range where the principal series is *irreducible*, and *outside* the unitarizability range.

Holomorphic cuspforms are square-integrable, so local representations generated by them are unitary/unitarizable. Thus,  $\theta_\varphi - E_{f_\varphi} \neq 0$  would generate a principal series at almost all finite places, outside the unitarizable range, but required to be unitary by cuspidality.

Contradiction. So the difference is 0. This completes the sketch for  $SL_2$ . ///

**Note:** At archimedean places, the holomorphic discrete series (which are unitarizable) *do* occur as subrepresentations of principal series outside the unitarizable range. So high-weight holomorphic Eisenstein series *locally* generate unitarizable representations at archimedean places, but *not* at finite primes.



For *holomorphic* Siegel modular forms, *Klingen 1967* says: for large-enough weight, every holomorphic Siegel modular form  $F$  is

$$F = c \cdot E_{2k}^{Sp_{2n}} + E_{f_1}^{Sp_{2n}} + E_{f_2}^{Sp_{2n}} + \dots + E_{f_{n-1}}^{Sp_{2n}} + f_n$$

with  $f_m$ 's holomorphic cuspforms on  $Sp_{2m}$ , and Eisenstein series induced from cuspforms  $f$  (*Klingen-type* Eisenstein series).

In the more general case,  $\theta_\varphi - E_{f_\varphi}$  has leading term 0 in the Klingen expansion. If non-zero, it would generate a principal series at almost all finite places, outside the unitarizable range.

Klingen-type holomorphic Eisenstein series are made from holomorphic cuspforms, which are  $L^2$ , and therefore generate unitarizable representations locally. The Eisenstein series of large weight formed from cuspforms locally generate representations at finite places that are not only not-unitarizable, but also in a different parameter range from the Siegel-type Eisenstein series.

Thus,  $\theta_\varphi - E_{f_\varphi} = 0$ .

///

## Fancier examples of arithmetic content

It has been known for a long time (since 1979 at least) that Eisenstein series decompose under restriction as

$$\begin{aligned} E_{2k}^{Sp_4} \left( \begin{array}{cc} z & 0 \\ 0 & w \end{array} \right) \\ = E_{2k}(z) \cdot E_{2k}(w) + \sum_{\text{cfm } f} c_f \cdot f(z) f(w) \end{aligned}$$

summed over an orthogonal basis for cuspforms, where  $c_f$  is a ratio of an  $L$ -function value and a Petersson norm.

Expression of  $E_{2k}^{Sp_4}$  as a linear combination of theta series implies *at least* that the Fourier coefficients of  $E_{2k}^{Sp_4}$  are rational with bounded denominators.

Some linear algebra implies that holomorphic cuspforms  $f$  have Fourier coefficients and Petersson norms and  $L$ -function values with very nice rationality properties.

The extension of this restriction formula to  $Sp_{2m} \times Sp_{2n} \rightarrow Sp_{2m+2n}$ , with  $m \leq n$  is (for  $z \in \mathfrak{H}_m$ ,  $w \in \mathfrak{H}_n$ ), is

$$\begin{aligned}
E^{Sp_{2m+2n}} \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & w \end{pmatrix} &= E_{2k}^{Sp_{2m}}(z) \cdot E_{2k}^{Sp_{2n}}(w) \\
&+ \sum_{\text{cfm } f \text{ on } SL_2} c_f \cdot E_f^{Sp_{2m}}(z) E_f^{Sp_{2n}}(w) \\
&+ \sum_{\text{cfm } f \text{ on } Sp_4} c_f \cdot E_f^{Sp_{2m}}(z) E_f^{Sp_{2n}}(w) \\
&\dots + \sum_{\text{cfm } f \text{ on } Sp_{2m}} c_f \cdot f(z) E_f^{Sp_{2n}}(w)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with rationality properties of  $E_{2k}^{Sp_{2m+2n}}$  directly implies that Siegel modular varieties are defined over number fields, etc.

Shimura's 1970 proofs of field-of-definition required substantial algebraic geometry of moduli spaces (*canonical models*) of abelian varieties.

The combination of the restriction/pullback formula with holomorphic Siegel-Weil also implies that Klingen-type Eisenstein series have Fourier coefficients with good rationality and Galois properties. (Harris 1981 proved this in a different way, akin to part of the argument here for Siegel-Weil.)

Similarly, algebraicity/Galois properties of normalized values of certain  $L$ -functions on  $Sp_{2n}$ 's and related classical groups originally required substantial algebraic geometry.

Further, *integrality properties* of both definition of the Siegel modular varieties and special values of  $L$ -functions follow with the decomposition formula and Siegel-Weil, not just from the canonical models viewpoint.

The restriction formula and Siegel-Weil also resolve *the basis problem*, expressing holomorphic Siegel modular forms as theta series. (Böcherer, PiatetskiShapiro-Rallis, *et al*)