

(January 19, 2010)

Convergence of half-zeta integrals

Paul Garrett garrett@math.umn.edu http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/

The point is to genuinely prove convergence of the half-zeta integrals

$$\int_{|y| \geq 1} |y|^s f(y) dy$$

with f a Schwartz function on the adèles, for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$. [1]

Since f is at worst a finite sum of monomials $\otimes_v f_v$, without loss of generality we take it to be such a monomial, with f_v Schwartz on k_v . Since f is Schwartz, for all N there is a constant C_N (depending on f) such that

$$|f(x)| \leq C_N \cdot \prod_v \sup(|x_v|_v, 1)^{-2N} \quad (\text{for adèle } x = \{x_v\})$$

For an *idele* y define the **group norm** or **gauge** [2]

$$\nu(y) = \prod_v \sup\{|y_v|_v, \frac{1}{|y_v|_v}\}$$

Almost all factors on the right-hand side are 1, so there is no issue of convergence. Further, note that

$$\left(\sup\{a, 1\}\right)^2 = \sup\{a^2, 1\} = a \cdot \sup\{a, \frac{1}{a}\} \quad (\text{for } a > 0)$$

Applying the latter equality to every factor,

$$\prod_v \sup(|y_v|_v, 1)^{-2N} = |y|^{-N} \prod_v \sup(|y_v|_v, \frac{1}{|y_v|_v})^{-N} = |y|^{-N} \nu(y)^{-N}$$

Thus, on the set of ideles $\{|y| \geq 1\}$,

$$\prod_v \sup(|y_v|_v, 1)^{-2N} = |y|^{-N} \nu(y)^{-N} \leq \nu(y)^{-N} \quad (\text{when } |y| \geq 1, N \geq 0)$$

Thus, with $\sigma = \text{Re } s$, for every $N \geq 0$

$$\left| \int_{|y| \geq 1} |y|^s f(y) dy \right| \ll \int_{|y| \geq 1} |y|^\sigma \nu(y)^{-N} dy \ll \int_{\mathbb{J}} |y|^\sigma \nu(y)^{-N} dy = \prod_v \left(\int_{k_v^\times} |y|^\sigma \sup(|y|, \frac{1}{|y|})^{-N} dy \right)$$

For $N > |\sigma|$, the non-archimedean local integrals are absolutely convergent:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{k_v^\times} |y|^\sigma \sup(|y|, \frac{1}{|y|})^{-N} dy &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} q_v^{-\sigma-N} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} q_v^{\sigma-N} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - q_v^{-\sigma-N}} + \frac{q_v^{\sigma-N}}{1 - q_v^{\sigma-N}} = \frac{1 - q_v^{-2N}}{(1 - q_v^{-\sigma-N})(1 - q_v^{\sigma-N})} \end{aligned}$$

The archimedean integrals are convergent for similarly over-whelming reasons. For $2N > 1$ and $N > |\sigma| + 1$, the product over places is dominated by the Euler product for the completed zeta functions $\xi_k(N + \sigma)\xi_k(N - \sigma)/\xi_k(2N)$, which converges absolutely.

[1] In particular, we do not want to reduce to the classical viewpoint, as this would sacrifice the clarity and simplicity of the adelic set-up. Part of the point is that a genuine proof from an adelic viewpoint is clearer and easier than a classical one.

[2] The terminology *group norm* is mildly unfortunate, but standard. A group norm is *submultiplicative* and bounded below by 1, and is *not* in any sense *linear*. The better term *gauge* is also used.