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## Outline

## Rule of Three

## Schur Q-functions

Results and Approach

## Notation

$e_{k} \mathbf{s}$ are elementary symmetric polynomials:

$$
e_{k}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{1 \leq j_{1}<\cdots<j_{k} \leq n} x_{j_{k}} \cdots x_{j_{1}}
$$

$h_{k} \mathrm{~s}$ are homogeneous symmetric polynomials:

$$
h_{k}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{1 \leq j_{1} \leq \cdots \leq j_{k} \leq n} x_{j_{1}} \cdots x_{j_{k}}
$$

For $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and $S \subset[n]$ with $S=\left\{s_{1}<s_{2}<\cdots<s_{k}\right\}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[x, y] } & =x y-y x \\
e_{i}\left(x_{S}\right) & =e_{i}\left(x_{s_{1}}, \ldots, x_{s_{k}}\right) \\
x_{S} & =x_{S}^{\downarrow}=x_{s_{k}} x_{s_{k-1}} \cdots x_{s_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## What is a Rule of Three?

Kirillov 2016:

## Theorem (1.1)

For $u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ and $v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ tuples of elements in a ring $R$, the following are equivalent:

- $\left[e_{k}\left(u_{S}\right), e_{\ell}\left(v_{S}\right)\right]=0$ for any $k, l, S \subset[n]$,
- the above holds for $|S| \leq 3$ and $k l \leq 3$; that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[e_{1}\left(u_{S}\right), e_{1}\left(v_{S}\right)\right]=0} \\
& {\left[e_{1}\left(u_{S}\right), e_{2}\left(v_{S}\right)\right]=0} \\
& {\left[e_{2}\left(u_{S}\right), e_{1}\left(v_{S}\right)\right]=0} \\
& {\left[e_{1}\left(u_{S}\right), e_{3}\left(v_{S}\right)\right]=0} \\
& {\left[e_{3}\left(u_{S}\right), e_{1}\left(v_{S}\right)\right]=0}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example

Consider $u=v=(a, b, c)$. Then we have the following relations for $S=\{a\},\{b\},\{c\},\{a, b\},\{a, c\},\{b, c\},\{a, b, c\}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[e_{1}\left(u_{S}\right), e_{1}\left(v_{S}\right)\right]=0} \\
& {\left[e_{1}\left(u_{S}\right), e_{2}\left(v_{S}\right)\right]=0} \\
& {\left[e_{2}\left(u_{S}\right), e_{1}\left(v_{S}\right)\right]=0} \\
& {\left[e_{1}\left(u_{S}\right), e_{3}\left(v_{S}\right)\right]=0} \\
& {\left[e_{3}\left(u_{S}\right), e_{1}\left(v_{S}\right)\right]=0}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example (cont.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a+b)(b a) & -(b a)(a+b) \\
(a+c)(c a) & -(c a)(a+c) \\
(b+c)(c b) & -(c b)(b+c) \\
(a+b+c)(c b+c a+b a) & -(c b+c a+b a)(a+b+c) \\
(a+b+c)(c b a) & -(c b a)(a+b+c)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example (cont.)

$$
\begin{gathered}
a b a+b b a-b a a-b a b \\
a c a+c c a-c a a-c a c \\
b c b+c c b-c b b-c b c \\
a c b+b c a-c a b-b a c \\
a c b a+c a b a-c b c a-c b a c
\end{gathered}
$$

These must generate all of $\left[e_{k}\left(u_{S}\right), e_{\ell}\left(u_{S}\right)\right]$.

## Motivation

Fomin-Greene 2006:

## Theorem

If nonadjacent variables commute (or satisfy the non-local Knuth relations) and adjacent variables $a<b$ satisfy

$$
\left[e_{1}(a, b), e_{2}(a, b)\right]=0
$$

then noncommutative Schur functions behave as if they were ordinary Schur functions.

## More Rules of Three

Blasiak and Fomin 2016 generalized to:

- Super elementary symmetric polynomials
- Generating functions over rings
- Sums and products


## Research Problem

## Problem

Can we use this theory to give rules of three in other settings?

## Definition by Analogy

Schur functions : semistandard Young tableaux :: Schur Q-functions : semistandard shifted Young tableaux

## Definition

A (semistandard) shifted Young tableau $T$ of shape $\lambda$ is a filling of a shifted diagram $\lambda$ with letters from the alphabet
$A=\left\{1^{\prime}<1<2^{\prime}<2<\cdots\right\}$ such that:

- Rows and columns are weakly increasing;
- Each column has at most one $k$ for $k \in\{1,2, \cdots\}$;
- Each row has at most one $k$ for $k \in\left\{1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, \cdots\right\}$.


## Example

For $\lambda=(5,4,2)$, a possible tableau:

| 1 | $2^{\prime}$ | $3^{\prime}$ | 3 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $2^{\prime}$ | 3 | $4^{\prime}$ | 4 |
|  |  | $4^{\prime}$ | 4 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Examples

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{(1)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x^{[1]}+x^{[\boxed{1]}}+x^{[2]}+x^{2^{2]}} \\
& =2 x_{1}+2 x_{2} \\
& Q_{(2)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x^{[1 \mid 1}+x^{[1 \mid 1]}+x^{[2 \mid 2]}+x^{\left[2^{2} \mid 2\right]} \\
& +x^{\boxed{1 \mid 2}}+x^{\sqrt{1^{\prime} \mid 2}}+x^{\boxed{1 \mid 2^{\prime}}}+x^{\sqrt{1^{\prime} 2^{\prime}}} \\
& =2 x_{1}^{2}+2 x_{2}^{2}+4 x_{1} x_{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} Q_{(1)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Properties

- $Q_{\lambda}$ are symmetric;
- $\mathbb{Q}\left[Q_{\lambda}\right]$ form the same subalgebra as $\mathbb{Q}\left[p_{2 k+1}\right]$, where $p_{a}=\sum x_{i}^{a}$;
- $\mathbb{Q}\left[Q_{\lambda}\right]=\mathbb{Q}\left[Q_{(2 k+1)}\right]$.


## Non-commutative Case

How do we generalize?

$$
\begin{aligned}
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 1^{\prime} & 1 & 2^{\prime} & 3 & 3 & 4^{\prime} \\
\hline
\end{array} & \longleftrightarrow 5^{\prime}
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& \longleftrightarrow x_{4} x_{4} x_{3} x_{3} x_{2} x_{1} x_{1} \text { (descending) } \\
& \longleftrightarrow x_{5} x_{4} x_{2} x_{1} x_{1} x_{3} x_{3} x_{4} \text { (hook) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Non-commutative Case

How do we generalize?

$$
\begin{aligned}
1^{\prime}|1| 2^{\prime}|3| 3\left|4^{\prime}\right| 4\left|5^{\prime}\right| & \longleftrightarrow x_{5} x_{4} x_{4} x_{3} x_{3} x_{2} x_{1} x_{1} \text { (descending) } \\
& \longleftrightarrow x_{5} x_{4} x_{2} x_{1} x_{1} x_{3} x_{3} x_{4} \text { (hook) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{(2)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)= & x^{\sqrt{1 \mid 1}}+x^{\sqrt{1^{\prime} \mid 1}}+x^{\sqrt{2 \mid 2}}+x^{\sqrt{2^{\prime} 2}} \\
& +x^{\sqrt{1 \mid 2}}+x^{\frac{1^{\prime} \mid 2}{2}}+x^{\sqrt{12^{\prime}}}+x^{\sqrt{1^{\prime} \mid 2^{\prime}}} \\
= & 2 x_{1}^{2}+2 x_{2}^{2}+4 x_{2} x_{1} \text { (descending) } \\
= & 2 x_{1}^{2}+2 x_{2}^{2}+2 x_{2} x_{1}+2 x_{1} x_{2} \text { (hook) } \\
= & \frac{1}{2} Q_{(1)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hook reading is the more natural.

## Proposed Rule of Three

## Conjecture

Let $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{N}$ be elements of a ring $A$. The following are equivalent:

- $Q_{(k)}\left(u_{S}\right)$ and $Q_{(\ell)}\left(v_{S}\right)$ commute for all $S, k, \ell$.
- the above holds when $k=1$ or $\ell=1$ (for all $S$ )

Computation suggests this is optimal.

## Naive Approach

$$
\begin{gathered}
a b a+b b a-b a a-b a b \\
a c a+c c a-c a a-c a c \\
b c b+c c b-c b b-c b c \\
a c b+b c a-c a b-b a c \\
a c b a+c a b a-c b c a-c b a c
\end{gathered}
$$

Can we get the next simplest commutation relation?

$$
\begin{aligned}
C & =\left[e_{2}(a, b, c), e_{3}(a, b, c)\right] \\
& =(c b+c a+b a)(c b a)-(c b a)(c b+c a+b a)
\end{aligned}
$$

Yes!

$$
\begin{aligned}
C= & (b c b+c c b-c b b-c b c)(a a+a b-b a) \\
& +(c c+a c+b c-c b-c a)(a b a+b b a-b a a-b a b) \\
& -(a c a+c c a-c a a-c a c) b a \\
& -(a c b+b c a-c a b-b a c) b a \\
& +(a c b a+c a b a-c b c a-c b a c)(a+b)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Generating Functions

In the commutative case:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{i} & =1+x u_{i} \\
b_{i} & =\left(1-x u_{i}\right)^{-1} \\
q_{i} & =a_{i} b_{i}=\left(1+x u_{i}\right)\left(1-x u_{i}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

And:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{[n]} & =\sum e_{k} x^{k} \\
b_{[n]} & =\sum h_{k} x^{k} \\
q_{[n]} & =\sum Q_{(k)} x^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Generating Functions (cont.)

In the non-commutative case:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{i} & =1+x u_{i} \\
b_{i} & =\left(1-x u_{i}\right)^{-1} \\
q_{i} & =a_{i} b_{i}=\left(1+x u_{i}\right)\left(1-x u_{i}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

And:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{[n]}^{\downarrow} & =\sum e_{k} x^{k} \\
b_{[n]}^{\uparrow} & =\sum h_{k} x^{k} \\
q_{[n]}^{\downarrow} & =\sum Q_{(k)} x^{k} \text { descending reading }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Generating Functions (cont.)

In the non-commutative case:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{i} & =1+x u_{i} \\
b_{i} & =\left(1-x u_{i}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

And:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{[n]}^{\downarrow} & =\sum e_{k} x^{k} \\
b_{[n]}^{\uparrow} & =\sum h_{k} x^{k} \\
a_{[n]}^{\downarrow} b_{[n]}^{\uparrow} & =\sum Q_{(k)} x^{k} \text { hook reading }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Rephrasing Rule of Three

## Theorem (Blasiak-Fomin 3.5)

Let $R$ be a ring, and let $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{N}, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{N} \in R$ be potentially invertible elements. Then the following are equivalent:

- $\left[\sum_{i \in S} g_{i}, \sum_{i \in S} h_{i}\right]=0$, $\left[\sum_{i \in S} g_{i}, h_{S}\right]=0$, $\left[g_{S}, \sum_{i \in S} h_{i}\right]=0$, [ $\left.g_{S}, h_{S}\right]=0$ for all subsets $S$.
- the above holds for $|S| \leq 3$.

Use $g_{i}=1+x u_{i}, h_{i}=1+y v_{i}$ to get rule of three for $e_{k} \mathbf{s}$.

## Conjecture

Let $A$ be a ring, and let $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i \in[N]},\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i \in[N]} \in A$. Then define

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{i}=1+x_{i} t & b_{i}=1-x_{i} t \\
\alpha_{i}=1+y_{i} s & \beta_{i}=1-y_{i} s
\end{array}
$$

Further, let the following be true.

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\sum_{i \in S} x_{i}, \alpha_{S}\left(\beta_{S}\right)^{-1}\right] } & =0 \\
{\left[\sum_{i \in S} y_{i}, a_{S}\left(b_{S}\right)^{-1}\right] } & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $a_{[N]}\left(b_{[N]}\right)^{-1} \alpha_{[N]}\left(\beta_{[N]}\right)^{-1}=\alpha_{[N]}\left(\beta_{[N]}\right)^{-1} a_{[N]}\left(b_{[N]}\right)^{-1}$.

## Proof Progress

We have been attempting to replicate Blasiak and Fomin's proof of Lemma 8.2, both in the standard case, and in the weakened case where nonadjacent variables commute.

$\square$ : proof for the conjecture;
$\triangle$ : proof for the conjecture for $|S|=2$.
Arrows represent dependencies.

## Further Questions

- Can we prove the conjecture? What about in a weaker setting?
- When does commutativity extend to all Schur Q-functions?
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