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## Definition

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define the polynomial $[n]_{q} \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ :

$$
[n]_{q}=1+q+q^{2}+\cdots+q^{n-1}
$$
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For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define the polynomial $[n]_{q} \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ :

$$
[n]_{q}=1+q+q^{2}+\cdots+q^{n-1}
$$

Remark: Substituting $q=1$ gives $n$.
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## Rational Numbers

Main object of study for us is $[x]_{q}$ when $x \in \mathbb{Q}$.

A first natural guess for the definition is

$$
\left[\frac{a}{b}\right]_{q}:=\frac{[a]_{q}}{[b]_{q}}=\frac{1+q+\cdots+q^{a-1}}{1+q+\cdots+q^{b-1}}
$$

We will use a different definition which uses continued fractions

## Continued Fractions

## Continued Fractions

A continued fraction is an expression consisting of nested fractions, like this:
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We use the notation $\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$ to denote the expression above.
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A continued fraction is an expression consisting of nested fractions, like this:

$$
a_{1}+\frac{1}{a_{2}+\frac{1}{a_{3}+\frac{1}{\cdots+\frac{1}{a_{n-1}+\frac{1}{a_{n}}}}}}
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We use the notation $\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$ to denote the expression above.

Example: $\frac{7}{4}=1+\frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{3}}$. So we'd write $\frac{7}{4}=[1,1,3]$.

## Continued Fractions

A continued fraction is an expression consisting of nested fractions, like this:

$$
a_{1}+\frac{1}{a_{2}+\frac{1}{a_{3}+\frac{1}{\cdots+\frac{1}{a_{n-1}+\frac{1}{a_{n}}}}}}
$$

We use the notation $\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$ to denote the expression above.

Example: $\frac{7}{4}=1+\frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{3}}$. So we'd write $\frac{7}{4}=[1,1,3]$.

Remark: These are not unique. For example, $\frac{7}{4}$ is also equal to $[1,1,2,1]$. Requiring an even number of coefficients makes it unique.
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## Definition

If $\frac{r}{s}=\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{2 n}\right]$, then define

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
r \\
s
\end{array}\right]_{q}:=\left[a_{1}\right]_{q}+\frac{q^{a_{1}}}{\left[a_{2}\right]_{q^{-1}}+\frac{q^{-a_{2}}}{\cdots+\frac{q^{a_{2 n-1}}}{\left[a_{2 n}\right]_{q^{-1}}}}}
$$
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If $\frac{r}{s}=\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{2 n}\right]$, then define

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
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s
\end{array}\right]_{q}:=\left[a_{1}\right]_{q}+\frac{q^{a_{1}}}{\left[a_{2}\right]_{q^{-1}}+\frac{q^{-a_{2}}}{\cdots+\frac{q^{a_{2 n-1}}}{\left[a_{2 n}\right]_{q^{-1}}}}}
$$

Example: $\frac{7}{3}=[2,3]$.

$$
\left[\frac{7}{3}\right]_{q}=(1+q)+\frac{q^{2}}{1+q^{-1}+q^{-2}}=\frac{1+2 q+2 q^{2}+q^{3}+q^{4}}{1+q+q^{2}}
$$

## Definition of $q$-Rationals

## Definition

If $\frac{r}{s}=\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{2 n}\right]$, then define

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
r \\
- \\
s
\end{array}\right]_{q}:=\left[a_{1}\right]_{q}+\frac{q^{a_{1}}}{\left[a_{2}\right]_{q^{-1}}+\frac{q^{-a_{2}}}{\cdots+\frac{q^{a_{2 n-1}}}{\left[a_{2 n}\right]^{-1}}}}
$$

Example: $\frac{7}{3}=[2,3]$.

$$
\left[\frac{7}{3}\right]_{q}=(1+q)+\frac{q^{2}}{1+q^{-1}+q^{-2}}=\frac{1+2 q+2 q^{2}+q^{3}+q^{4}}{1+q+q^{2}}
$$

Fact: The only time this agrees with the "naive guess" is for $\left[\frac{n+1}{n}\right]_{q}=\frac{[n+1]_{q}}{[n]_{q}}$.
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## The Desirable Properties

As we saw there are other possible definitions for $q$-rationals that "work"
The continued fraction definition is the "right one" because of the following:

## Theorem [Morier-Genoud, Ovsienko]

The continued fraction definition of $\left[\frac{a}{b}\right]_{q}$ satisfies the following order and convergence properties.

- Order: Define a relation on rational functions by $\frac{a(q)}{b(q)} \succeq \frac{c(q)}{d(q)}$ if $a(q) d(q)-b(q) c(q)$ has all non-negative coefficients.

$$
\text { If } \frac{a}{b} \geq \frac{c}{d} \text {, then }\left[\frac{a}{b}\right]_{q} \succeq\left[\frac{c}{d}\right]_{q}
$$

## The Desirable Properties

As we saw there are other possible definitions for $q$-rationals that "work"
The continued fraction definition is the "right one" because of the following:

## Theorem [Morier-Genoud, Ovsienko]

The continued fraction definition of $\left[\frac{a}{b}\right]_{q}$ satisfies the following order and convergence properties.

- Order: Define a relation on rational functions by $\frac{a(q)}{b(q)} \succeq \frac{c(q)}{d(q)}$ if $a(q) d(q)-b(q) c(q)$ has all non-negative coefficients. If $\frac{a}{b} \geq \frac{c}{d}$, then $\left[\frac{a}{b}\right]_{q} \succeq\left[\frac{c}{d}\right]_{q}$
- Convergence: If $\frac{a_{n}}{b_{n}} \rightarrow \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ irrational, then $\left[\frac{a_{n}}{b_{n}}\right]_{q}$ "converges" in some sense, and moreover the convergence is independent of the sequence
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## Combinatorial interpretation

Question: Do the coefficients of the numerator and denominator of $q$-rationals count anything?

Turns out they count many things!

- Perfect matching on snake graphs
- Angle matching on snake graphs
- T-paths
- Lattice paths in snake graphs
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## From rationals to Binary words

Given a rational $\frac{r}{s}=\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2 m}\right]$

Construct a binary word by $W=U^{a_{1}-1} R^{a_{2}} \cdots U^{a_{2 m-1}} R^{a_{2 m}-1}$

## From rationals to Binary words

Given a rational $\frac{r}{s}=\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2 m}\right]$

Construct a binary word by $W=U^{a_{1}-1} R^{a_{2}} \cdots U^{a_{2 m-1}} R^{a_{2 m}-1}$

Example: $\frac{7}{3}=[2,3]$ and thus has binary word $W=U R R$.
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## From Binary Words to Snake Graphs

From a binary word we construct a snake graph, as follows

Start with a square. For each letter in the binary word, add another square either above (for $U$ ) or to the right (for $R$ ) of the previous

Example: $\frac{7}{3}$ has binary word $U R R$. The resulting snake graph looks like


In this way we associated a snake graph $G_{r / s}$ to a rational $\frac{r}{s}$

## Lattice Paths

## Lattice Paths

If $G$ is a snake graph, let $L(G)$ be the set of all paths in $G$ from the south-west corner to the north-east corner using only right and up steps.

## Lattice Paths

If $G$ is a snake graph, let $L(G)$ be the set of all paths in $G$ from the south-west corner to the north-east corner using only right and up steps.

Example: The 7 lattice paths in $G_{7 / 3}$ are


## Lattice Paths

## Lattice Paths

## Theorem [Schiffler, Çanakçi]

If $\frac{r}{s}=\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{2 m}\right]$ then

$$
\left|L\left(G_{r / s}\right)\right|=r \quad \text { and } \quad\left|L\left(\widehat{G}_{r / s}\right)\right|=s
$$

The notation $\widehat{G}_{r / s}$ means the snake graph from the transpose of the word associated to the continued fraction $\left[a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots, a_{2 m}\right]$.

## A Partial Order on Paths

## A Partial Order on Paths

There is a partial order on the lattice paths in $G_{r / s}$ so that locally

$$
\square<\square
$$
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## A Partial Order on Paths

There is a partial order on the lattice paths in $G_{r / s}$ so that locally


Example: $L\left(G_{7 / 3}\right)$


Define the height or rank of a lattice path as how many steps it takes to get to it from the minimal path. This make $L(G)$ a ranked poset.

## What Do q-Rationals Count?

## What Do $q$-Rationals Count?

## Theorem [Claussen]

Let $\left[\frac{r}{s}\right]_{q}=\frac{R(q)}{S(q)}$. Then:
(1) The coefficient of $q^{k}$ in $R(q)$ is the number of lattice paths in $G_{r / s}$ of height $k$.
(2) The coefficient of $q^{k}$ in $S(q)$ is the number of lattice paths in $\widehat{G}_{r / s}$ of height $k$.

## Example

## Example

We saw that the poset $L\left(G_{7 / 3}\right)$ is given by


## Example

We saw that the poset $L\left(G_{7 / 3}\right)$ is given by


The corresponding height polynomial is $1+2 q+2 q^{2}+q^{3}+q^{4}$ which indeed agrees with the numerator of $\left[\frac{7}{3}\right]_{q}$ from the continued fraction definition

## Unimodal sequences

## Unimodal sequences

## Definition

A sequence of integers $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ is unimodal if there exits an $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
a_{0} \leq \cdots \leq a_{s} \geq a_{s+1} \geq \ldots \geq a_{n}
$$

A polynomial $p(q)=\sum_{i} p_{i} q^{i}$ is said to be unimodal if the $p_{i}$ form a unimodal sequence.
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## The Problem

## Conjecture [Morier-Genoud, Ovsienko]

The numerator and denominator of any $q$-rational are unimodal. In terms of the lattice path interpretation of $q$-rationals this is the statement that the height polynomial of lattice paths in any snake graph is unimodal.

The unimodality of the height polynomial of a snake graph associated to a binary word $W$ is know in some special cases:
(1) $W$ consists only of $U$ 's or only of $R$ 's. It is easy to see that the height polynomial is $[\ell(W)+2]_{q}$
(0) $W$ is a zigzag word, i.e. there are no consecutive $R$ 's or $U$ 's in $W$ (Fibonacci cubes are unimodal [Munarini and Salvi, 2002])

- $W$ is a word with isolated $U$ 's with constant row length (up-down posets are unimodal [Emden, 1982])
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## Notation

Let $W_{R}$ denote the word obtained from $W$ by removing the right most section of $R$ 's. Similarly let $W_{U}$ denote the word obtained from $W$ by removing the right most section of $U$ 's.

Example: If $W=R U U U U U$ then $W_{U}=R$.
If $W$ is a binary word then $\ell(W)$ denotes the length of the word.
If $W$ is a word then $\widehat{W}$ is defined to be the word formed from $W$ by removing the right most letter in $W$.

Example: If $W=R U R U R$ then $\widehat{W}=R U R U$.

## Notation

Let $W_{R}$ denote the word obtained from $W$ by removing the right most section of $R$ 's. Similarly let $W_{U}$ denote the word obtained from $W$ by removing the right most section of $U$ 's.

Example: If $W=R U U U U U$ then $W_{U}=R$.
If $W$ is a binary word then $\ell(W)$ denotes the length of the word.
If $W$ is a word then $\widehat{W}$ is defined to be the word formed from $W$ by removing the right most letter in $W$.

Example: If $W=R U R U R$ then $\widehat{W}=R U R U$.
If $W$ is a word then define $W^{T}$, the transpose, to be the word formed from interchanging $R$ with $U$ in $W$.
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A basic idea for proving unimodality is by induction. Led us to look for recurrences for the height polynomial:

## Recurrences

A basic idea for proving unimodality is by induction. Led us to look for recurrences for the height polynomial:

## Theorem

If $W$ is a binary word on $\{U, R\}$ then we have the following recurrences for the height polynomial

$$
H(W U)=H(W)+q^{\ell(W)-\ell\left(\widehat{W_{U}}\right)+1} H\left(\widehat{W_{U}}\right)
$$

and

$$
H(W R)=H\left(\widehat{W}_{R}\right)+q H(W)
$$

## Code

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { def word_to_poly (w): } \\
& \mathrm{H}=1+\mathrm{q} \\
& \text { H_U_hat = } 1 \\
& \text { H_R_hat = } 1 \\
& \text { U_run }=0 \\
& \text { for letter in w: } \\
& \text { def word_to_poly (w): } \\
& \text { if letter }==\text { 'R': } \\
& \text { U_run = } 0 \\
& \text { H_U_hat = H } \\
& \text { H = H_R_hat + q * H } \\
& \text { elif letter == 'U': } \\
& \text { U_run += } 1 \\
& \text { H_R_hat }=\text { H } \\
& \mathrm{H}=\underset{*}{\mathrm{H}} \underset{\mathrm{H}_{-} \mathrm{U}_{-} \text {hat }}{+\mathrm{q}^{\wedge}\left(\mathrm{U}_{-} r u n+1\right)} \\
& \text { else: } \\
& \text { print ("No!!!") } \\
& \text { raise Exception () }
\end{aligned}
$$

return top + bot
for letter in w:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if letter == 'U': } \\
& \text { bot = top + bot } \\
& \text { elif letter == 'R': } \\
& \text { top = top + bot } \\
& \text { else: } \\
& \text { print ("No!!!") } \\
& \text { raise Exception() }
\end{aligned}
$$

R.<q> = PolynomialRing(QQ)
def word-to-num (w):
top $=1$
bot $=1$

$$
\mathrm{op}+\mathrm{bot}
$$

## Symmetry

## Symmetry

## Proposition

If $W$ is a binary word such that the poset $L\left(G_{W}\right)$ is unimodal then $L\left(G_{W^{T}}\right)$ is also unimodal

## Symmetry

## Proposition

If $W$ is a binary word such that the poset $L\left(G_{W}\right)$ is unimodal then $L\left(G_{W^{T}}\right)$ is also unimodal

Proof idea: $L\left(G_{W}\right)$ is related to $L\left(G_{W^{T}}\right)$ by inverting the order relation, i.e. $L\left(G_{W^{T}}\right)=L\left(G_{W}\right)^{\text {op }}$. Since inverting the order of the elements in a unimodal sequence preserves the unimodal property the conclusion follows.

## Symmetry

## Proposition

If $W$ is a binary word such that the poset $L\left(G_{W}\right)$ is unimodal then $L\left(G_{W^{T}}\right)$ is also unimodal

Proof idea: $L\left(G_{W}\right)$ is related to $L\left(G_{W^{T}}\right)$ by inverting the order relation, i.e. $L\left(G_{W^{T}}\right)=L\left(G_{W}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}$. Since inverting the order of the elements in a unimodal sequence preserves the unimodal property the conclusion follows.

Consequence: To prove that all snake graphs are unimodal it is enough to prove that if $H(W)$ is unimodal then $H(W R)$ or $H(W U)$ is also unimodal.
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## Special Class of Snake Graphs

A binary word on $\{U, R\}$ is said to be a word with isolated $U$ 's there are no consecutive $U$ 's in $W$.

Denote the word $R^{k_{1}} U R^{k_{2}} U \cdots U R^{k_{n}}$ by $I\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)$.


Snake graph corresponding to the word $I(2,3,4)$

## Some formula from the recurrences

## Some formula from the recurrences

Let $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3} \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$
H\left(I\left(k_{1}\right)\right)=\frac{q^{k_{1}+2}-1}{q-1}=\left[k_{1}+2\right]_{q}
$$

## Some formula from the recurrences

Let $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3} \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
H\left(I\left(k_{1}\right)\right)=\frac{q^{k_{1}+2}-1}{q-1}=\left[k_{1}+2\right]_{q} \\
H\left(I\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right)=\left[k_{1}+1\right]_{q} q^{k_{2}+2}+\left[k_{1}+2\right]_{q}\left[k_{2}+1\right]_{q} \\
=\frac{-\left(\left(q^{3}-q^{2}+q-q^{k_{1}+4}\right) q^{k_{2}}+q^{k_{1}+2}-1\right)}{q^{2}-2 q+1}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Some formula from the recurrences

Let $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3} \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
H\left(I\left(k_{1}\right)\right)=\frac{q^{k_{1}+2}-1}{q-1}=\left[k_{1}+2\right]_{q} \\
H\left(I\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right)=\left[k_{1}+1\right]_{q} q^{k_{2}+2}+\left[k_{1}+2\right]_{q}\left[k_{2}+1\right]_{q} \\
=\frac{-\left(\left(q^{3}-q^{2}+q-q^{k_{1}+4}\right) q^{k_{2}}+q^{k_{1}+2}-1\right)}{q^{2}-2 q+1}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
H\left(I\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)\right)=\left[k_{1}+2\right]_{q}\left(\left[k_{2}+1\right]_{q}\left[k_{3}+1\right]_{q}+q^{k_{3}+2}\left[k_{2}\right]_{q}\right)+q^{k_{2}+2}\left[k_{1}+1\right]_{q}\left[k_{3}+2\right]_{q}
$$

$$
=\frac{N_{3}}{q^{3}-3 q^{2}+3 q-1}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{3} & =\left(q^{3}-q^{2}+q-q^{k_{1}+4}\right) q^{k_{2}}+ \\
& +\left(q^{3}-\left(q^{5}-q^{4}+q^{3}\right) q^{k_{1}}-\left(q^{5}-q^{4}+q^{3}-q^{k_{1}+6}\right) q^{k_{2}}-q^{2}+q\right) q^{k_{3}}+ \\
& +q^{k_{1}+2}-1
\end{aligned}
$$
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It's height polynomial counts the lattice points of a $(2+1) \times(3+1) \times(5+1)$ hyper-rectangle.

## Geometric Interpretation

Consider the following graph.


It's height polynomial counts the lattice points of a $(2+1) \times(3+1) \times(5+1)$ hyper-rectangle.

## Theorem

The height sequence of $R^{k_{1}} U R^{k_{2}} \ldots$ is given by

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left[k_{i}+1\right]_{q}-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(x^{k_{j+1}-1} \prod_{i \notin\{j, j+1\}}\left[k_{i}+1\right]_{q}\right)+\cdots
$$

## Snaking Sequences

## Definition

A unimodal sequence $\left(a_{i}\right)$ is said to snake if it has a peak element $a_{m}$ such that

$$
a_{m} \geq a_{m+1} \geq a_{m-1} \geq a_{m+2} \geq a_{m-2} \geq \ldots
$$

or

$$
a_{m} \geq a_{m-1} \geq a_{m+1} \geq a_{m-2} \geq a_{m+2} \geq \ldots
$$

## Snaking Sequences

## Definition

A unimodal sequence $\left(a_{i}\right)$ is said to snake if it has a peak element $a_{m}$ such that

$$
a_{m} \geq a_{m+1} \geq a_{m-1} \geq a_{m+2} \geq a_{m-2} \geq \ldots
$$

or

$$
a_{m} \geq a_{m-1} \geq a_{m+1} \geq a_{m-2} \geq a_{m+2} \geq \ldots
$$

## Conjecture

Not only are the height polynomials of lattice paths unimodal, but they also snake.
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