1. (a) We have  $c_k = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} f(x) e^{-\pi i k x} dx$ . We can do the integration "by hand" or using Wolfram Alpha. The expression we get from the computer in the latter case is  $c_k = \frac{-2\pi k \cos(\pi k) + 2\sin(\pi k)}{\pi^3 k^3}$ . For an integer  $k \neq 0$  this gives  $c_k = \frac{2(-1)^{k+1}}{\pi^2 k^2}$ . For k = 0 we can either calculate directly  $c_0 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} (1 - x^2) dx = \frac{2}{3}$ , or obtain the same result by taking the limit  $k \to 0$  in the above expression we got from Wolfram Alpha. The formula  $\int_{-1}^{1} (1 - x^2)^2 dx = 2 \sum_k |c_k|^2$  gives  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^4} = \frac{\pi^4}{90}$ . (b) One can simply calculate the Fourier series of f'(x) = -2x on the interval (-1, 1), and check that its

(b) One can simply calculate the Fourier series of f'(x) = -2x on the interval (-1, 1), and check that its coefficients are  $\pi i k c_k$ .

One can also see it without calculation: the Fourier series computed in (a) defines a 2-periodic function on  $f_{per}$  on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  which is equal to  $1 - x^2$  for  $x \in (-1, 1)$ . The function  $f_{per}$  is clearly continuous, smooth away from the points 1 + 2k where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  (the set of integers), and its derivative away from the points of non-differentiability is a 2-periodic extension of the function f'(x) = -2x from interval (-1, 1)to  $(-\infty, \infty) \setminus \{1 + 2k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . In particular  $f'_{per}$  is piece-wise smooth, and therefore its Fourier series can be differentiated term by term, see for example p. 114 of the textbook.

(c) The extended periodic function  $f_{per}$  is given by the expression  $1 - (x - 2)^2$  when  $x \in (1, 3)$ . The derivatives from the left (resp. right) of the function  $f_{per}$  at x = 1 are easily calculated to be -2 and 2, respectively. Since they are different, the periodically extended function cannot be differentiable at x = 1. The partial sums  $\sum_{k=-n}^{k=n}$  of Fourier series of the function f'(x) at x = 1 are easily seen to vanish (note that in this particular example  $c_k e^{\pi i k} + c_{-k} e^{-\pi i k} = 0$  for each k), and hence the Fourier series for f'(x) gives 0 when evaluated at x = 1. (Note that 0 is the average of the left and right derivative at x = 1.)

2. We have  $\cos^2 x = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\cos 2x$  and this is the cosine series of  $\cos^2 x$ . For  $\sin^2 x$  we can similarly write  $\sin^2 x = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\cos 2x$ , but this clearly *is not* the sine-Fourier series of  $\sin^2 x$ . If we write  $\sin^2 x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \sin nx$ , the sum on the right-hand side will be a  $2\pi$ - periodic odd function, let us call it  $f_{\text{per}}$ . We have  $f_{\text{per}}(x) = -\sin^2 x$  for  $x \in (-\pi, 0)$  and  $f_{\text{per}}(x) = \sin^2 x$  for  $x \in (0, \pi)$ . The second derivative  $f_{\text{per}}'(x)$  is easily seen to have the limit 2 as  $x \to 0$  from the right and -2 as  $x \to 0$  from the left. Hence  $f_{\text{per}}'(x)$  is continuous at 0 and the function  $f_{\text{per}}$  cannot be a finite sum of functions of the form  $B_k \sin kx$ . For the coefficients  $B_n$  we have  $B_n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \sin^2 \sin nx \, dx = \frac{-8}{\pi n(n-2)(n+2)}$  when n is odd, and  $B_n = 0$  when n is even. As we have seen, the second derivative of  $f_{\text{per}}$  still converges point-wise. On the other hand the Fourier series of  $f_{\text{per}}''(x)$  cannot converge (point-wise), as its n-th term does not approach 2 zero: differentiation gives (formally)  $f_{\text{per}}''(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} -n^3 B_n \cos nx$ , and  $n^3 B_n$  does not approach 0 for  $n \to \infty$ .

**3.** Our machine can do the Fourier series only for  $2\pi$ -periodic functions, so we change of variables as follows: For  $x \in (0, L)$  we will write  $u(x, t) = v(\frac{\pi x}{L}, t)$ , where v = v(y, t) is an odd  $2\pi$ -periodic function on the real line. The function v is defined in three steps: (i) For  $y \in (0, \pi)$  we set  $v(y, t) = u(\frac{yL}{\pi}, t)$ . (ii) For  $y \in (-\pi, 0)$  we let v(y, t) = -v(-y, t). (iii) we extend v from  $(-\pi, \pi)$  to  $(-\infty, \infty)$  as a  $2\pi$ -periodic function. Substituting the expression into the equation for u the function  $u(x, t) = v(\frac{\pi x}{L}, t)$ , we obtain the equation satisfied by v(y, t), namely

$$\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} = a^2 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} - \gamma v , \qquad a = c \frac{\pi}{L} . \tag{1}$$

We note that the boundary condition for v is  $v(0,t) = v(\pi,t) = 0$ , and is satisfied automatically in view of the requirement that v be odd and  $2\pi$ -periodic. The functions  $u_0, u_1$  ate transformed to  $v_0, v_1$  by  $u_i(x,t) = v_i(\frac{\pi x}{L})$ , i = 0, 1. We seek v(y,t) as a Fourier series

$$v(y,t) = \sum_{k} c_k(t) e^{ikx} .$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Our task is to determine the coefficients  $c_k(t)$ . Once we have them, the machine can be used to calculate v(y,t) and then  $u(x,t) = v(\frac{\pi x}{L},t)$ . The equation for  $c_k = c_k(t)$  is  $\ddot{c}_k = -a^2k^2c_k - \gamma c_k$  and its general

solution is

$$c_k(t) = A_k \cos \omega_k t + B_k \sin \omega_k t, \qquad \omega_k = \sqrt{a^2 k^2 + \gamma}.$$
(3)

We now determine the values of  $A_k$ ,  $B_k$  for our particular solution from the conditions  $c_k(0) = A_k$  and  $\dot{c}_k(0) = \omega_k B_k$ . The values of  $c_k(0)$  and  $\dot{c}_k(0)$  are known from the initial conditions: the Fourier coefficients of  $v_0$  are  $c_k(0)$  and the Fourier coefficients of  $v_1$  are  $\dot{c}_k(0)$ . Our algorithm can be summarized as follows:

- 1. Set  $v_i(y) = u_i(\frac{Ly}{\pi})$ , i = 0, 1, and extend  $v_i$  as an odd function of  $(-\pi, \pi)$ .
- 2. Let  $c_k(0)$  be the Fourier coefficients of  $v_0$  and  $\dot{c}_k(0)$  the Fourier coefficients of  $v_1$ . (Here we use our machine for the first time, to calculate Fourier coefficients.)
- 3. Determine  $A_k, B_k$  by the formulae above.
- 4. Sum the Fourier series  $v(y,t) = \sum_{k} (A_k \cos \omega_k t + B_k \sin \omega_k t) e^{iky}$ . (Here we use our machine for the second time, this time to sum a Fourier series.)
- 5.  $u(x,t) = v(\frac{x\pi}{L},t).$

4. The general solution of the wave equation in our situation is a sum of terms of the form

 $B_k \sin(\frac{k\pi x}{L}) \sin(\omega_k(t-t_k))$ , where  $\omega_k = k\frac{c\pi}{L}$ , with  $c = \sqrt{\frac{T}{\rho}}$ . See, for example, Chapter 4 in the textbook (formula 4.4.11). Here we are only interested in the "base frequency" of the string, corresponding to k = 1. Hence we can work with the formula  $\omega = \frac{\pi}{L}\sqrt{\frac{T}{\rho}}$ . The answers can be now easily obtained from the formula. (a) The ratio  $\frac{T}{\rho}$  has to remain the same, so we have to change the density to  $\frac{\rho}{2}$ . (b) The expression  $\frac{\pi}{L}\sqrt{\frac{T}{\rho}}$  has to remain the same, so we have to increase T to 4T.

**5.** (a) From the chain rule we have  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \tilde{t}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \tilde{t}} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - v \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tilde{x}}$ . A similar (but easier) calculation gives  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tilde{x}}$ . (Here we have a convention which is usual in similar situations: when we take  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{t}}$  we keep  $\tilde{x}$  constant and when we take  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}}$  we keep  $\tilde{t}$  constant, and similarly with the t, x variables. Hence in the new coordinates the equation becomes  $(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{t}} - v \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}})^2 u = c^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \tilde{x}^2}$ , which is the same as  $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \tilde{t}^2} - 2v \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \tilde{t}\partial \tilde{x}} = (c^2 - v^2) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \tilde{x}^2}$ . If we know c and can measure u (including its derivatives) in the coordinate frame  $(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x})$ , we can determine v.

(b) Consider the motion of the point  $\tilde{x} = 0$  watched from the frame (t, x). Setting  $\tilde{x} = 0$  in transformation (6) in the hw2 assignment, we obtain  $t = \tilde{t} \cosh \theta$  and  $x = c\tilde{t} \sinh \theta$ , which then gives  $\frac{dx}{dt} = c \frac{\sinh \theta}{\cosh \theta} = c \tanh \theta$ . This is the velocity v of the origin of the frame  $(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x})$  when observed from the frame (t, x). (c) Using the formulae  $\cosh^2 \theta - \sinh^2 \theta = 1$ ,  $\tanh \theta = \frac{\sinh \theta}{\cosh \theta}$  and  $\tanh \theta = \frac{v}{c}$ , one obtains  $\cosh \theta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}$ and  $\sinh \theta = -\frac{v}{c}$ . This gives  $t = -\frac{\tilde{t}}{\sqrt{c}} + -\frac{v}{c^2}\tilde{x}}$  and  $x = -\frac{v\tilde{t}}{\sqrt{c}} + -\frac{x}{c}$ , which one can find in

and 
$$\sinh \theta = \frac{\overline{c}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}$$
. This gives  $t = \frac{t}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} + \frac{\overline{c^2}^x}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}$  and  $x = \frac{vt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} + \frac{\overline{x}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}$ , which one can find in any textbook of special relativity.

**6.** (a) Let us first show that  $AA^* = nI$ , where I is the identity matrix. We have  $(AA^*)_{kl} = \sum_{m=1}^n A_{km}(A^*)_{ml} = \sum_m w^{(k-1)(m-1)} w^{-(m-1)(l-1)} = \sum_m w^{(m-1)(k-l)}$ . When k = l, the last sum is clearly equal to n. For  $k \neq l$ , let us set  $\xi = w^{k-l}$ . We note that  $\xi \neq 1$  but  $\xi^n = 1$ . The last sum can then be written as  $1 + \xi + \dots + \xi^{n-1} = \frac{\xi^n - 1}{\xi^{-1}} = 0$ .

(b) One can either say that we have shown in (a) that the matrix  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}A$  is unitary and this implies the identity  $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k}|f_{k}|^{2} = \sum_{k}|c_{k}|^{2}$  in the hw2 assignment. Alternatively, one can show this identity directly, more or less repeating the calculation in (a): we have  $\sum_{k} f_{k}\overline{f}_{k} = \sum_{klm} A_{kl}c_{l}\overline{A}_{km}\overline{c}_{m}$ . In the tripple sum we first sum over k, using  $\sum_{k} A_{kl}\overline{A}_{km} = n\delta_{ml}$ , where  $\delta_{ml} = 1$  for k = l and 0 for  $m \neq l$ , and obtaining  $\sum_{k} f_{k}\overline{f}_{k} = \sum_{ml} n\delta_{ml}c_{m}\overline{c}_{l} = n\sum_{l} c_{l}\overline{c}_{l}$ .