

# Truncated Brown-Peterson spectra

T. Lawson<sup>1</sup>   N. Naumann<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Minnesota

<sup>2</sup>Universität Regensburg

Special session on homotopy theory 2012

## Conjecture (Ausoni-Rognes)

*For any prime  $p$  and  $n \geq 0$ , there exist localization sequences*

$$K(BP\langle n-1 \rangle_p^\wedge)_p^\wedge \rightarrow K(BP\langle n \rangle_p^\wedge)_p^\wedge \rightarrow K(E(n)_p^\wedge)_p^\wedge.$$

*Here  $BP\langle k \rangle$  and  $E(n)$  are  $p$ -local truncated Brown-Peterson spectra and Johnson-Wilson spectra respectively.*

- $n = 0$  is a devissage result of Quillen
- $n = 1$  is a theorem of Blumberg-Mandell

# Things to understand

- More multiplicative structure on  $R$  gives more structure to  $K(R)$  and to a zoo of related objects:  $TC$ ,  $TR$ ,  $TF$ ,  $THH$
- This makes computations in algebraic  $K$ -theory easier by imposing multiplication and power operations
- Can we understand these truncated Brown-Peterson spectra  $BP\langle n \rangle$ ?
- Can they be equipped with extra multiplicative structure?

# Formal group laws are pointless groups

## Definition

A formal group law  $\mathbb{G}$  over a ring  $R$  is a power series  $x +_{\mathbb{G}} y$  in  $R[[x, y]]$  satisfying power series identities:

$$\text{unitality:} \quad x +_{\mathbb{G}} 0 \equiv x$$

$$\text{commutativity:} \quad x +_{\mathbb{G}} y \equiv y +_{\mathbb{G}} x$$

$$\text{associativity:} \quad (x +_{\mathbb{G}} y) +_{\mathbb{G}} z \equiv x +_{\mathbb{G}} (y +_{\mathbb{G}} z)$$

- The existence of an “inverse” is automatic
- Underlying any formal group law is a *formal group*, which remembers only the isomorphism type

## Definition

Suppose  $R$  is a torsion-free ring. A formal group law  $\mathbb{G}$  over  $R$  is  $p$ -typical if there is a power series

$$l(x) = x + l_1 x^p + l_2 x^{p^2} + \cdots,$$

with coefficients in  $R \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ , such that

$$x +_{\mathbb{G}} y \equiv l^{-1}(l(x) + l(y)).$$

Such a power series is called a *logarithm* for  $\mathbb{G}$ .

- This has an intrinsic definition, applicable over any ring
- Every formal group law over a  $p$ -local ring is isomorphic to a  $p$ -typical one

## Definition

A *complex oriented cohomology theory* is

- a cohomology theory  $E^*$ ,
  - with an associative and commutative multiplication,
  - and an element  $x \in \check{E}^2(\mathbb{C}P^\infty)$  which restricts to the element  $1 \in \check{E}^2(S^2)$ .
- 
- Since  $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$  classifies complex line bundles,  $E^*$  gets a natural characteristic class  $c_1(\mathcal{L})$  for complex line bundles
  - This automatically extends to a full theory of Chern classes

## Proposition

*Given a complex oriented cohomology theory, there is a formal group law  $\mathbb{G}_E$  over  $E^*$  such that the first Chern class satisfies a natural identity*

$$c_1(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}') = c_1(\mathcal{L}) +_{\mathbb{G}_E} c_1(\mathcal{L}').$$

- Different choices of orientation produce different, but isomorphic, formal group laws
- If we are feeling energetic, we can throw gradings into the story

## Example: Complex cobordism

The cohomology theory  $MU^*$ , associated to bordism of stably almost-complex manifolds, is complex orientable.

### Theorem (Milnor)

$MU^* \cong \mathbb{Z}[b_1, b_2, \dots]$ , where  $b_i$  is in grading  $2i$ .

### Theorem (Quillen)

The formal group law  $\mathbb{G}_{MU}$  is universal.

This means that, for any ring  $R$ , there is a natural bijection

$$\{\text{homomorphisms } MU^* \rightarrow R\} \longrightarrow \{\text{formal group laws over } R\}$$



# Brown-Peterson cohomology

- Brown-Peterson cohomology  $BP^*$  is a  $p$ -local, complex orientable cohomology theory
- The coefficient ring is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, v_2, \dots]$  with  $v_i$  in degree  $(2p^i - 2)$
- $\mathbb{G}_{BP}$  is  $p$ -typical
- $\mathbb{G}_{BP}$  is universal among  $p$ -local,  $p$ -typical formal group laws
- There is a map  $MU^* \rightarrow BP^*$  classifying the inclusion

$$\{p\text{-typical formal group laws}\} \subset \{\text{formal group laws}\}$$

## Proto-definition

$BP\langle n \rangle^*$  is a complex oriented cohomology theory whose underlying coefficient ring is the quotient  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n]$  of  $BP^*$ .

- Classically constructed using Baas-Sullivan theory of manifolds with singularity
- Newer constructions, with more structure, using more machinery
- The  $v_i$  are **not intrinsically defined** and so the definition depends (at least) on a choice of sequence of generators (e.g. Hazewinkel vs. Araki)

A cohomology theory  $R^*$  is represented by a *spectrum*  $R$ .

## Proposition

*The following are equivalent for a spectrum  $R$  representing a cohomology theory  $R^*$  with a commutative and associative multiplication.*

- 1  $R$  admits a  $p$ -typical orientation so that the map  $BP^* \rightarrow R^*$  maps the (intrinsic) subring  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, \dots, v_n] \subset BP^*$  isomorphically to  $R^*$ .
- 2  $R$  is a  $p$ -local, connective, finite type spectrum such that  $H^*(R; \mathbb{F}_p)$  is isomorphic to the quotient  $\mathcal{A}^*/(Q^0, Q^1, \dots, Q^n)$  of the Steenrod algebra.

We will call such a spectrum a *generalized* truncated Brown-Peterson spectrum.

# Questions about generalized $BP\langle n \rangle$

Things we don't appear to know about such spectra:

- For a given quotient  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, \dots, v_n]$  of  $MU^*$ , how many distinct complex oriented cohomology theories are there with this given formal group law?
- Given distinct such formal group laws, when do the spectra have the same underlying homotopy type?
- Does any ( $p$ -local, finite type) spectrum with this homology automatically have a ring structure?

Standard technology (the Adams spectral sequence) appears to be very messy as soon as  $n > 1$ .

# Power operations

- Multiplication on the cohomology theory might be lifted to a strictly commutative multiplication on the spectrum level
- This provides extra power operation structure
- Given  $\alpha: X \rightarrow R$ , we get a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & X^{\wedge p} & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \searrow^{\alpha^{\wedge p}} & \\ X \times B\Sigma_p & \longrightarrow & X^{\wedge p} / \Sigma_p & \longrightarrow & R \end{array}$$

# Subgroups produce power operations

- Study of these power operations and formal group data initiated in Ando's thesis
- If  $R$  is strictly commutative and complex orientable, the power operations equip  $\mathbb{G}_R$  with *quotient operations*
- Given a ring map  $f: R^* \rightarrow S$  and a subgroup  $H \subset f^*(\mathbb{G}_R)$  of the formal group, we get a new ring homomorphism  $f_H: R^* \rightarrow S$  and a map  $f^*(\mathbb{G}_R) \rightarrow (f_H)^*(\mathbb{G}_R)$  with kernel  $H$
- In practice, if  $R^*$  parametrizes some type of object  $X$  with an attached formal group, then this means that there is a canonical way to take quotients of the formal group while producing new objects of type  $X$

# Situations of interest

- $MU$  has a strictly commutative structure, and  $MU^*$  parametrizes formal group laws; Ando calculated how the power operations give a canonical formal group law on any quotient formal group
- $BP^*$  parametrizes  $p$ -typical formal group laws; a quotient is isomorphic to a  $p$ -typical law, but there is no reason to expect compatibility with the canonical law on a quotient ( $MU$  and  $p$ -typical  $BP$  are incompatible — work of Noel-Johnson)
- Generalized  $BP\langle n \rangle$  parametrizes formal group laws of a restricted “shape”; there is no intrinsic description, so we don’t even know if these types of formal groups are closed under quotients!

# Realizability for $BP\langle 2 \rangle$

There are some positive results for  $BP\langle 2 \rangle$ .

## Theorem (L.-Naumann)

Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be a formal group law over the ring  $R^* = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, v_2]$  which might come from a generalized  $BP\langle 2 \rangle$ . Then there is a strictly commutative ring spectrum  $R$  realizing this formal group law data if and only if the subring

$$\mathbb{Z}[v_1^{p+1}/v_2]_p^\wedge \subset \mathbb{Z}((v_2/v_1^{p+1}))_p^\wedge$$

is closed under a certain algebraic power operation  $\theta$  on the right-hand side.

Any two such commutative objects are equivalent.

The proof is mainly  $K(1)$ -local obstruction theory along the lines of the “old” construction of  $tmf$ .



## Theorem (L.-Naumann)

*There exists a strictly commutative generalized BP<2> at the prime 2.*

- To get this, consider the elliptic curve

$$y^2 + v_1xy + v_2y = x^3$$

over  $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[v_1, v_2]$ , which parametrizes elliptic curves with a choice of 3-torsion point (after Rezk, Mahowald-Rezk)

- This elliptic curve produces a formal group law
- The universal property of this moduli object **forces** the existence of the power operation data

# Realizing diagrams over the Steenrod algebra

## Theorem (L.-Naumann)

*There exists a commutative diagram of strictly commutative ring spectra realizing a classical diagram of modules over the Steenrod algebra:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} tmf_{(2)} & \longrightarrow & ko_{(2)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ BP\langle 2 \rangle & \longrightarrow & ku_{(2)} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}^*/\mathcal{A}(2) & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{A}^*/\mathcal{A}(1) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{A}^*/\mathcal{E}(2) & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{A}^*/\mathcal{E}(1) \end{array}$$

- The maps come from the interpretation in terms of moduli of elliptic curves
- Horizontal maps come from evaluating at a “ramified” cusp

# Realization with a form of $K$ -theory

- While we're at it, there's also an unramified cusp and we could use that instead to construct a similar diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} tmf_{(2)} & \longrightarrow & ko_{(2)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ BP\langle 2 \rangle & \longrightarrow & ku_{(2)}^\tau \end{array}$$

- Here  $ku^\tau$  is the form of  $K$ -theory associated with the formal group law

$$x +_{\mathbb{G}} y = (x + y + 3xy)/(1 - 3xy)$$

- This becomes isomorphic to the multiplicative formal group after adjoining a third root of unity