

(1 2 ... 10 11); if  $\tau = (1\ 11)(2\ 10)(3\ 9)(4\ 8)(5\ 7)$ , then  $\tau$  is an involution with  $\tau\sigma\tau = \sigma^{-1}$  and  $\tau \in N_{S_{11}}(P)$ . But  $\tau$  is an odd permutation, whereas  $M_{11} \leq A_{11}$ , so that  $|N_{M_{11}}(P)| = 11$  or 55. Now  $P \leq N_H(P) \leq N_{M_{11}}(P)$ , so that either  $P = N_H(P)$  or  $N_H(P) = N_{M_{11}}(P)$ . The first paragraph eliminated the first possibility, and so  $N_H(P) = N_{M_{11}}(P)$  (and their common order is 55). The Frattini argument now gives  $M_{11} = HN_{M_{11}}(P) = HN_H(P) = H$  (for  $N_H(P) \leq H$ ), and so  $M_{11}$  is simple.  $\square$

## EXERCISES

- 9.37. Show that the 4-group  $V$  has no transitive extension. (*Hint.* If  $h \in S_5$  has order 5, then  $\langle V, h \rangle \geq A_5$ .)
- 9.38. Let  $W = \{g \in M_{12} : g \text{ permutes } \{\alpha, \omega, \Omega\}\}$ . Show that there is a homomorphism of  $W$  onto  $S_3$  with kernel  $(M_{12})_{\omega, \omega, \Omega}$ . Conclude that  $|W| = 6 \times 72$ .
- 9.39. Prove that  $\text{Aut}(2, 3)$ , the group of all affine automorphisms of a two-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ , is isomorphic to the subgroup  $W$  of  $M_{12}$  in the previous exercise. (*Hint.* Regard  $\text{GF}(9)$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ .)
- 9.40. Show that  $\langle \text{PSL}(3, 4), h_2, h_3 \rangle \leq M_{24}$  is isomorphic to  $\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(3, 4)$ . (*Hint.* Lemma 9.54.)

## Steiner Systems

A Steiner system, defined below, is a set together with a family of subsets which can be thought of as generalized lines; it can thus be viewed as a kind of geometry, generalizing the notion of affine space, for example. If  $X$  is a set with  $|X| = v$ , and if  $k \leq v$ , then a  $k$ -subset of  $X$  is a subset  $B \subset X$  with  $|B| = k$ .

**Definition.** Let  $1 < t < k < v$  be integers. A *Steiner system of type  $S(t, k, v)$*  is an ordered pair  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ , where  $X$  is a set with  $v$  elements,  $\mathcal{B}$  is a family of  $k$ -subsets of  $X$ , called *blocks*, such that every  $t$  elements of  $X$  lie in a unique block.

**EXAMPLE 9.12.** Let  $X$  be an affine plane over the field  $\text{GF}(q)$ , and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the family of all affine lines in  $X$ . Then every line has  $q$  points and every two points determine a unique line, so that  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  is a Steiner system of type  $S(2, q, q^2)$ .

**EXAMPLE 9.13.** Let  $X = \text{P}^2(q)$  and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the family of all projective lines in  $X$ . Then every line has  $q + 1$  points and every two points determine a unique line, so that  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  is a Steiner system of type  $S(2, q + 1, q^2 + q + 1)$ .

**EXAMPLE 9.14.** Let  $X$  be an  $m$ -dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , where  $m \geq 3$ , and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the family of all planes (affine 2-subsets of  $X$ ). Since three

distinct points cannot be collinear, it is easy to see that  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  is a Steiner system of type  $S(3, 4, 2^m)$ .

One assumes strict inequalities  $1 < t < k < v$  to eliminate uninteresting cases. If  $t = 1$ , every point lies in a unique block, and so  $X$  is just a set partitioned into  $k$ -subsets; if  $t = k$ , then every  $t$ -subset is a block; if  $k = v$ , then there is only one block. In the first case, all "lines" (blocks) are parallel; in the second case, there are too many blocks; in the third case, there are too few blocks.

Given parameters  $1 < t < k < v$ , it is an open problem whether there exists a Steiner system of type  $S(t, k, v)$ . For example, one defines a *projective plane of order  $n$*  to be a Steiner system of type  $S(2, n + 1, n^2 + n + 1)$ . It is conjectured that  $n$  must be a prime power, but it is still unknown whether there exists a projective plane of order 12. (There is a theorem of Bruck and Ryser (1949) saying that if  $n \equiv 1$  or  $2 \pmod{4}$  and  $n$  is not a sum of two squares, then there is no projective plane of order  $n$ ; note that  $n = 10$  is the first integer which neither satisfies this hypothesis nor is a prime power. In 1988, C. Lam proved, using massive amounts of computer time, that there is no projective plane of order 10.)

**Definition.** If  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  is a Steiner system and  $x \in X$ , then

$$\text{star}(x) = \{B \in \mathcal{B} : x \in B\}.$$

**Theorem 9.60.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  be a Steiner system of type  $S(t, k, v)$ , where  $t \geq 3$ . If  $x \in X$ , define  $X' = X - \{x\}$  and  $\mathcal{B}' = \{B - \{x\} : B \in \text{star}(x)\}$ . Then  $(X', \mathcal{B}')$  is a Steiner system of type  $S(t - 1, k - 1, v - 1)$  (called the *contraction of  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  at  $x$* ).

**Proof.** The routine proof is left to the reader.  $\square$

A contraction of  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  may depend on the point  $x$ .

Let  $Y$  and  $Z$  be finite sets, and let  $W \subset Y \times Z$ . For each  $y \in Y$ , define  $\#(y, ) = |\{z \in Z : (y, z) \in W\}|$  and define  $\#(, z) = |\{y \in Y : (y, z) \in W\}|$ . Clearly,

$$\sum_{y \in Y} \#(y, ) = |W| = \sum_{z \in Z} \#(, z).$$

We deduce a *counting principle*: If  $\#(y, ) = m$  for all  $y \in Y$  and if  $\#(, z) = n$  for all  $z \in Z$ , then

$$m|Y| = n|Z|.$$

**Theorem 9.61.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  be a Steiner system of type  $S(t, k, v)$ . Then the number of blocks is

$$|\mathcal{B}| = \frac{v(v-1)(v-2)\dots(v-t+1)}{k(k-1)(k-2)\dots(k-t+1)},$$

if  $r$  is the number of blocks containing a point  $x \in X$ , then  $r$  is independent of  $x$

and

$$r = \frac{(v-1)(v-2)\cdots(v-t+1)}{(k-1)(k-2)\cdots(k-t+1)}.$$

**Proof.** If  $Y$  is the family of all  $t$ -subsets of  $X$ , then  $|Y| = "v \text{ choose } t" = v(v-1)\cdots(v-t+1)/t!$ . Define  $W \subset Y \times \mathcal{B}$  to consist of all  $(\{x_1, \dots, x_t\}, B)$  with  $\{x_1, \dots, x_t\} \subset B$ . Since every  $t$ -subset lies in a unique block,  $\#\{(\{x_1, \dots, x_t\}, B) \in W : \{x_1, \dots, x_t\} = \{x_1, \dots, x_t\}\} = |Y|$ ; since each block  $B$  is a  $k$ -subset,  $\#\{(\{x_1, \dots, x_t\}, B) \in W : B = B\} = k|Y|$ . The counting principle now gives the desired formula for  $|\mathcal{B}|$ .

The formula for  $r$  follows from that for  $|\mathcal{B}|$  because  $r$  is the number of blocks in the contraction  $(X', \mathcal{B}')$  (where  $X' = X - \{x\}$ ), which is a Steiner system of type  $S(t-1, k-1, v-1)$ . It follows that  $r$  does not depend on the choice of  $x$ .  $\square$

**Remarks.** 1. The proof just given holds for all  $t \geq 2$  (of course,  $(X', \mathcal{B}')$  is not a Steiner system when  $t = 2$  since  $t-1 = 1$ ).

2. The same proof gives a formula for the number of blocks in a Steiner system of type  $S(t, k, v)$  containing two points  $x$  and  $y$ . If  $(X', \mathcal{B}')$  is the contraction (with  $X' = X - \{x\}$ ), then the number  $r'$  of blocks in  $(X', \mathcal{B}')$  containing  $y$  is the same as the number of blocks in  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  containing  $x$  and  $y$ . Therefore,

$$r' = \frac{(v-2)(v-3)\cdots(v-t+1)}{(k-2)(k-3)\cdots(k-t+1)}.$$

Similarly, the number  $r^{(p)}$  of blocks in  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  containing  $p$  points, where  $1 \leq p \leq t$ , is

$$r^{(p)} = \frac{(v-p)(v-p-1)\cdots(v-t+1)}{(k-p)(k-p-1)\cdots(k-t+1)}.$$

3. That the numbers  $|\mathcal{B}| = r, r', \dots, r^{(p)}, \dots, r^{(t)}$  are integers is, of course, a constraint on  $t, k, v$ .

**Definition.** If  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{C})$  are Steiner systems, then an *isomorphism* is a bijection  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  if and only if  $f(B) \in \mathcal{C}$ . If  $(X, \mathcal{B}) = (Y, \mathcal{C})$ , then  $f$  is called an *automorphism*.

For certain parameters  $t, k$ , and  $v$ , there is a unique, to isomorphism, Steiner system of type  $S(t, k, v)$ , but there may exist nonisomorphic Steiner systems of the same type. For example, it is known that there are exactly four projective planes of order 9; that is, there are exactly four Steiner systems of type  $S(2, 10, 91)$ .

**Theorem 9.62.** All the automorphisms of a Steiner system  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  form a group  $\text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}) \leq S_X$ .

**Proof.** The only point needing discussion is whether the inverse of an automorphism  $h$  is itself an automorphism. But  $S_X$  is finite, and so  $h^{-1} = h^m$  for some  $m \geq 1$ . The result follows, for it is obvious that the composite of automorphisms is an automorphism.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.63.** If  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  is a Steiner system, then  $\text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B})$  acts faithfully on  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Proof.** If  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B})$  and  $\varphi(B) = B$  for all blocks  $B$ , then it must be shown that  $\varphi = 1_X$ .

For  $x \in X$ , let  $r = |\text{star}(x)|$ , the number of blocks containing  $x$ . Since  $\varphi$  is an automorphism,  $\varphi(\text{star}(x)) = \text{star}(\varphi(x))$ ; since  $\varphi$  fixes every block,  $\varphi(\text{star}(x)) = \text{star}(x)$ , so that  $\text{star}(x) = \text{star}(\varphi(x))$ . Thus,  $\varphi(x)$  and  $x$  lie in exactly the same blocks, and so the number  $r'$  of blocks containing  $\{\varphi(x), x\}$  is the same as the number  $r$  of blocks containing  $x$ . If  $\varphi(x) \neq x$ , however,  $r' = r$  gives  $k = v$  (using the formulas in Theorem 9.61 and the remark thereafter), contradicting  $k < v$ . Therefore,  $\varphi(x) = x$  for all  $x \in X$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 9.64.** If  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  is a Steiner system and  $x \in X$ , then  $\bigcap_{B \in \text{star}(x)} B = \{x\}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x, y \in X$ . If  $\text{star}(x) = \text{star}(y)$ , then the argument above gives the contradiction  $r' = r$ . Therefore, if  $y \neq x$ , there is a block  $B$  with  $x \in B$  and  $y \notin B$ , so that  $y \notin \bigcap_{B \in \text{star}(x)} B$ .  $\square$

We are going to see that multiply transitive groups may determine Steiner systems.

**Notation.** If  $X$  is a  $G$ -set and  $U \leq G$  is a subgroup, then

$$\mathcal{F}(U) = \{x \in X : gx = x \text{ for all } g \in U\}.$$

Recall that if  $U \leq G$  and  $g \in G$ , then the conjugate  $gUg^{-1}$  may be denoted by  $U^g$ .

**Lemma 9.65.** If  $X$  is a  $G$ -set and  $U \leq G$  is a subgroup, then

$$\mathcal{F}(U^g) = g\mathcal{F}(U) \quad \text{for all } g \in G.$$

**Proof.** The following statements are equivalent for  $x \in X: x \in \mathcal{F}(U^g); gug^{-1}(x) = x$  for all  $u \in U; ug^{-1}(x) = g^{-1}(x)$  for all  $u \in U; g^{-1}(x) \in \mathcal{F}(U); x \in g\mathcal{F}(U)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 9.66.** Let  $X$  be a faithful  $t$ -transitive  $G$ -set, where  $t \geq 2$ , let  $H$  be the stabilizer of  $t$  points  $x_1, \dots, x_t$  in  $X$ , and let  $U$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$  for some prime  $p$ .

- (i)  $N_G(U)$  acts  $t$ -transitively on  $\mathcal{F}(U)$ .  
(ii) (Carmichael, 1931; Witt, 1938). If  $k = |\mathcal{F}(U)| > t$  and  $U$  is a nontrivial normal subgroup of  $H$ , then  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  is a Steiner system of type  $S(t, k, v)$ , where  $|X| = v$  and

$$\mathcal{B} = \{g\mathcal{F}(U) : g \in G\} = \{\mathcal{F}(U^g) : g \in G\}.$$

**Proof.** (i) Note that  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  is a  $N_G(U)$ -set: if  $g \in N_G(U)$ , then  $U = U^g$  and  $\mathcal{F}(U) = \mathcal{F}(U^g) = g\mathcal{F}(U)$ . Now  $\{x_1, \dots, x_t\} \subset \mathcal{F}(U)$  because  $U \leq H$ , the stabilizer of  $x_1, \dots, x_t$ ; hence  $k = |\mathcal{F}(U)| \geq t$ . If  $y_1, \dots, y_t$  are distinct elements of  $\mathcal{F}(U)$ , then  $t$ -transitivity of  $G$  gives  $g \in G$  with  $gy_i = x_i$  for all  $i$ . If  $u \in U$ , then  $gug^{-1}x_i = gu y_i = gy_i = x_i$  (because  $y_i \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ ); that is,  $U^g \leq H$ . By the Sylow theorem, there exists  $h \in H$  with  $U^g = U^h$ . Therefore  $h^{-1}g \in N_G(U)$  and  $(h^{-1}g)y_i = h^{-1}x_i = x_i$  for all  $i$ .

(ii) The hypothesis gives  $1 < t < k \leq v$ . If  $k = v$ , then  $\mathcal{F}(U) = X$ ; but  $U \neq 1$ , contradicting  $G$  acting faithfully on  $X$ . It is also clear that  $k = |\mathcal{F}(U)| = |g\mathcal{F}(U)|$  for all  $g \in G$ .

If  $y_1, \dots, y_t$  are distinct elements of  $X$ , then there is  $g \in G$  with  $gx_i = y_i$  for all  $i$ , and so  $\{y_1, \dots, y_t\} \subset g\mathcal{F}(U)$ . It remains to show that  $g\mathcal{F}(U)$  is the unique block containing the  $y_i$ . If  $\{y_1, \dots, y_t\} \subset h\mathcal{F}(U)$ , then there are  $z_1, \dots, z_t \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  with  $y_i = hz_i$  for all  $i$ . By (i), there is  $\sigma \in N_G(U)$  with  $z_i = \sigma x_i$  for all  $i$ , and so  $gx_i = y_i = h\sigma x_i$  for all  $i$ . Hence  $g^{-1}h\sigma$  fixes all  $x_i$  and  $g^{-1}h\sigma \in H$ . Now  $H \leq N_G(U)$ , because  $U \triangleleft H$ , so that  $g^{-1}h\sigma \in N_G(U)$  and  $g^{-1}h \in N_G(U)$ . Therefore,  $U^g = U^h$  and  $g\mathcal{F}(U) = \mathcal{F}(U^g) = \mathcal{F}(U^h) = h\mathcal{F}(U)$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 9.67.** Let  $H \leq M_{24}$  be the stabilizer of the five points

$$\infty, \omega, \Omega, [1, 0, 0], \text{ and } [0, 1, 0].$$

- (i)  $H$  is a group of order 48 having a normal elementary abelian Sylow 2-subgroup  $U$  of order 16.  
(ii)  $\mathcal{F}(U) = \ell \cup \{\infty, \omega, \Omega\}$ , where  $\ell$  is the projective line  $v = 0$ , and so  $|\mathcal{F}(U)| = 8$ .  
(iii) Only the identity of  $M_{24}$  fixes more than 8 points.

**Proof.** (i) Consider the group  $\tilde{H}$  of all matrices over  $\text{GF}(4)$  of the form

$$A = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & \gamma & \beta \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma^{-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\lambda, \gamma \neq 0$ . There are 3 choices for each of  $\lambda$  and  $\gamma$ , and 4 choices for each of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , so that  $|\tilde{H}| = 3 \times 48$ . Clearly  $\tilde{H}/Z(3, 4)$  has order 48, lies in  $\text{PSL}(3, 4) \leq M_{24}$ , and fixes the five listed points, so that  $H = \tilde{H}/Z(3, 4)$  (we know that  $|H| = 48$  from Theorem 9.57). Define  $\tilde{U} \leq \tilde{H}$  to be all those matrices  $A$  above for which  $\gamma = 1$ . Then  $U = \tilde{U}/Z(3, 4)$  has order 16 and consists of involutions; that is,  $U$  is elementary abelian. But  $\tilde{U} \triangleleft \tilde{H}$ , being the kernel

of the map  $\tilde{H} \rightarrow \text{SL}(3, 4)$  given by

$$A \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

so that  $U \triangleleft H$ .

(ii) Assume that  $[\lambda, \mu, \nu] \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ . If  $h \in U$ , then  $\gamma = 1$  and

$$h \begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \\ \nu \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 & \beta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \\ \nu \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda + \alpha\nu \\ \mu + \beta\nu \\ \nu \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \xi\lambda \\ \xi\mu \\ \xi\nu \end{bmatrix}$$

for some  $\xi \in \text{GF}(4)^\times$ . If  $\nu = 0$ , then all projective points of the form  $[\lambda, \mu, 0]$  (which form a projective line  $\ell$  having  $4 + 1 = 5$  points) are fixed by  $h$ . If  $\nu \neq 0$ , then these equations have no solution, and so  $h$  fixes no other projective points. Therefore, every  $h \in U$  fixes  $\ell, \infty, \omega, \Omega$ , and nothing else, so that  $\mathcal{F}(U) = \ell \cup \{\infty, \omega, \Omega\}$  and  $|\mathcal{F}(U)| = 8$ .

(iii) By 5-transitivity of  $M_{24}$ , it suffices to show that  $h \in H^\#$  can fix at most 3 projective points in addition to  $[1, 0, 0]$  and  $[0, 1, 0]$ . Consider the equations for  $\xi \in \text{GF}(4)^\times$ :

$$h \begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \\ \nu \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & \gamma & \beta \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \\ \nu \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda + \alpha\nu \\ \gamma\mu + \beta\nu \\ \gamma^{-1}\nu \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \xi\lambda \\ \xi\mu \\ \xi\nu \end{bmatrix}.$$

If  $\nu = 0$ , then we may assume that  $\lambda \neq 0$  (for  $[0, 1, 0]$  is already on the list of five). Now  $\lambda = \lambda + \alpha\nu = \xi\lambda$  and  $\mu = \gamma\mu + \beta\nu = \xi\mu$  give  $\gamma = 1$ ; hence  $h \in U$  and  $h$  fixes exactly 8 elements, as we saw in (ii). If  $\nu \neq 0$ , then  $\nu = \gamma^{-1}\nu = \xi\nu$  implies  $\xi = \gamma^{-1}$ ; we may assume that  $\gamma \neq 1$  lest  $h \in U$ . The equations can now be solved uniquely for  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  ( $\lambda = (\gamma^{-1} - 1)^{-1}\alpha\nu$  and  $\mu = (\gamma^{-1} - \gamma)^{-1}\beta\nu$ ), so that  $h \notin U$  can fix only one projective point other than  $[1, 0, 0]$  and  $[0, 1, 0]$ ; that is, such an  $h$  can fix at most 6 points.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.68.** Neither  $M_{12}$  nor  $M_{24}$  has a transitive extension.

**Proof.** In order to show that  $M_{12}$  has no transitive extension, it suffices to show that there is no sharply 6-transitive group  $G$  of degree 13. Now such a group  $G$  would have order  $13 \cdot 12 \cdot 11 \cdot 10 \cdot 9 \cdot 8$ . If  $g \in G$  has order 5, then  $g$  is a product of two 5-cycles and hence fixes 3 points ( $g$  cannot be a 5-cycle lest it fix  $8 > 6$  points). Denote these fixed points by  $\{a, b, c\}$ , and let  $H = G_{a,b,c}$ . Now  $\langle g \rangle$  is a Sylow 5-subgroup of  $H$  ( $\langle g \rangle$  is even a Sylow 5-subgroup of  $G$ ), so that Theorem 9.66(i) gives  $N = N_G(\langle g \rangle)$  acting 3-transitively on  $\mathcal{F}(\langle g \rangle) = \{a, b, c\}$ ; that is, there is a surjective homomorphism  $\varphi: N \rightarrow S_3$ . We claim that  $C = C_G(\langle g \rangle) \not\leq \ker \varphi$ . Otherwise,  $\varphi$  induces a surjective map  $\varphi_*: N/C \rightarrow S_3$ . By Theorem 7.1,  $N/C \leq \text{Aut}(\langle g \rangle)$ , which is abelian, so that  $N/C$  and hence  $S_3$  are abelian, a contradiction. Now  $C \triangleleft N$  forces  $\varphi(C) \triangleleft \varphi(N) = S_3$ ,

so that  $\varphi(C) = A_3$  (we have just seen that  $\varphi(C) \neq 1$ ) and so 3 divides  $|C|$ . There is thus an element  $h \in C$  of order 3. Since  $g$  and  $h$  commute, the element  $gh$  has order 15. Now  $gh$  cannot be a 15-cycle ( $G$  has degree 13), and so its cycle structure is either  $(5, 5, 3)$ ,  $(5, 3, 3)$ , or  $(5, 3)$ . Hence  $(gh)^5$ , being either a 3-cycle or a product of 2 disjoint 3-cycles, fixes more than 6 points. This contradiction shows that no such  $G$  can exist.

A transitive extension  $G$  of  $M_{24}$  would have degree 25 and order  $25 \cdot 24 \cdot 23 \cdot 22 \cdot 21 \cdot 20 \cdot 48$ . If  $g \in G$  has order 11, then  $g$  is a product of 2 disjoint 11-cycles (it cannot be an 11-cycle lest it fix  $14 > 8$  points, contradicting Lemma 9.67(iii)). Arguing as above, there is an element  $h \in G$  of order 3 commuting with  $g$ , and so  $gh$  has order 33. Since  $G$  has degree 25,  $gh$  is not a 33-cycle, and so its cycle structure is either of the form  $(11, 11, 3)$  or one 11-cycle and several 3-cycles. In either case,  $(gh)^{11}$  has order 3 and fixes more than 8 points, contradicting Lemma 9.67.  $\square$

#### Theorem 9.69.

- (i) Let  $X = \mathbb{P}^2(4) \cup \{\infty, \omega, \Omega\}$  be regarded as an  $M_{24}$ -set, let  $U$  be a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $H$  (the stabilizer of 5 points), and let  $\mathcal{B} = \{g\mathcal{F}(U) : g \in M_{24}\}$ . Then  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  is a Steiner system of type  $S(5, 8, 24)$ .
- (ii) If  $g\mathcal{F}(U)$  contains  $\{\infty, \omega, \Omega\}$ , then its remaining 5 points form a projective line. Conversely, for every projective line  $\ell'$ , there is  $g \in \text{PSL}(3, 4) \leq M_{24}$  with  $g\mathcal{F}(U) = \ell' \cup \{\infty, \omega, \Omega\}$ .

**Proof.** (i) Lemma 9.67 verifies that the conditions stated in Theorem 9.66 do hold.

(ii) The remark after Theorem 9.61 gives a formula for the number  $r''$  of blocks containing 3 points; in particular, there are 21 blocks containing  $\{\infty, \omega, \Omega\}$ . If  $\ell \subset \mathcal{F}(U)$  is the projective line  $v = 0$ , and if  $g \in \text{PSL}(3, 4) = (M_{24})_{\infty, \omega, \Omega}$ , then  $g\mathcal{F}(U) = g(\ell) \cup \{\infty, \omega, \Omega\}$ . But  $\text{PSL}(3, 4)$  acts transitively on the lines of  $\mathbb{P}^2(4)$  (Exercise 9.23) and  $\mathbb{P}^2(4)$  has exactly 21 lines (Theorem 9.40(ii)). It follows that the 21 blocks containing the 3 infinite points  $\infty, \omega, \Omega$  are as described.  $\square$

The coming results relating Mathieu groups to Steiner systems are due to R.D. Carmichael and E. Witt.

**Theorem 9.70.**  $M_{24} \cong \text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B})$ , where  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  is a Steiner system of type  $S(5, 8, 24)$ .

*Remark.* There is only one Steiner system with these parameters.

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  be the Steiner system of Theorem 9.69:  $X = \mathbb{P}^2(4) \cup \{\infty, \omega, \Omega\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{g\mathcal{F}(U) : g \in M_{24}\}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}(U) = \ell \cup \{\infty, \omega, \Omega\}$  (here  $\ell$  is the projective line  $v = 0$ ).

It is clear that every  $g \in M_{24}$  is a permutation of  $X$  that carries blocks to blocks, so that  $M_{24} \leq \text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B})$ . For the reverse inclusion, let  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B})$ . Multiplying  $\varphi$  by an element of  $M_{24}$  if necessary, we may assume that  $\varphi$  fixes  $\{\infty, \omega, \Omega\}$  and, hence, that  $\varphi|_{\mathbb{P}^2(4)}: \mathbb{P}^2(4) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2(4)$ . By Theorem 9.69(ii),  $\varphi$  carries projective lines to projective lines, and so  $\varphi$  is a collineation of  $\mathbb{P}^2(4)$ . But  $M_{24}$  contains a copy of  $\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(3, 4)$ , the collineation group of  $\mathbb{P}^2(4)$ , by Exercise 9.40. There is thus  $g \in M_{24}$  with  $g|_{\mathbb{P}^2(4)} = \varphi|_{\mathbb{P}^2(4)}$ , and  $\varphi g^{-1} \in \text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B})$  (because  $M_{24} \leq \text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B})$ ). Now  $\varphi g^{-1}$  can permute only  $\infty, \omega, \Omega$ . Since every block has 8 elements  $\varphi g^{-1}$  must fix at least 5 elements; as each block is determined by any 5 of its elements,  $\varphi g^{-1}$  must fix every block, and so Theorem 9.63 shows that  $\varphi g^{-1} = 1$ ; that is,  $\varphi = g \in M_{24}$ , as desired.  $\square$

We interrupt this discussion to prove a result mentioned in Chapter 8.

**Theorem 9.71.**  $\text{PSL}(4, 2) \cong A_8$ .

**Proof.** The Sylow 2-subgroup  $U$  in  $H$ , the stabilizer of 5 points in  $M_{24}$ , is elementary abelian of order 16; thus,  $U$  is a 4-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Therefore,  $\text{Aut}(U) \cong \text{GL}(4, 2)$  and, by Theorem 8.5,  $|\text{Aut}(U)| = (2^4 - 1)(2^4 - 2)(2^4 - 4)(2^4 - 8) = 8!/2$ .

Let  $N = N_{M_{24}}(U)$ . By Theorem 9.66(ii),  $N$  acts 5-transitively (and faithfully) on  $\mathcal{F}(U)$ , a set with 8 elements. Therefore,  $|N| = 8 \cdot 7 \cdot 6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot s$ , where  $s \leq 6 = |S_3|$ . If we identify the symmetric group on  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  with  $S_8$ , then  $[S_8 : N] = t \leq 6$  (where  $t = 6/s$ ). By Exercise 9.3(ii),  $S_8$  has no subgroups of index  $t$  with  $2 < t < 8$ . Therefore,  $t = 1$  or  $t = 2$ ; that is,  $N = S_8$  or  $N = A_8$ . Now there is a homomorphism  $\varphi: N \rightarrow \text{Aut}(U)$  given by  $g \mapsto \gamma_g = \text{conjugation by } g$ . Since  $A_8$  is simple, the only possibilities for  $\text{im } \varphi$  are  $S_8, A_8, \mathbb{Z}_2$ , or 1. We cannot have  $\text{im } \varphi \cong S_8$  (since  $|\text{Aut}(U)| = 8!/2$ ); we cannot have  $|\text{im } \varphi| \leq 2$  (for  $H \leq N$ , because  $U \triangleleft H$ , and it is easy to find  $h \in H$  of odd order and  $u \in U$  with  $huh^{-1} \neq u$ ). We conclude that  $N = A_8$  and that  $\varphi: N \rightarrow \text{Aut}(U) \cong \text{GL}(4, 2)$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.72.**  $M_{23} \cong \text{Aut}(X', \mathcal{B}')$ , where  $(X', \mathcal{B}')$  is a Steiner system of type  $S(4, 7, 23)$ .

*Remark.* There is only one Steiner system with these parameters.

**Proof.** Let  $X' = \mathbb{P}^2(4) \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$ , let  $B' = B'(\ell) = \ell \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$ , where  $\ell$  is the projective line  $v = 0$ , and let  $\mathcal{B}' = \{g(B') : g \in M_{23}\}$ . It is easy to see that  $(X', \mathcal{B}')$  is the contraction at  $\Omega$  of the Steiner system  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  in Theorem 9.69, so that it is a Steiner system of type  $S(4, 7, 23)$ .

It is clear that  $M_{23} \leq \text{Aut}(X', \mathcal{B}')$ . For the reverse inclusion, let  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(X', \mathcal{B}')$ , and regard  $\varphi$  as a permutation of  $X$  with  $\varphi(\Omega) = \Omega$ . Multiplying by an element of  $M_{23}$  if necessary, we may assume that  $\varphi$  fixes  $\infty$  and  $\omega$ .

Since  $(X', \mathcal{B}')$  is a contraction of  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ , a block in  $\mathcal{B}'$  containing  $\infty$  and  $\omega$  has the form  $\ell' \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$ , where  $\ell'$  is a projective line. As in the proof of Theorem 9.70,  $\varphi|_{\mathbb{P}^2(4)}$  preserves lines and hence is a collineation of  $\mathbb{P}^2(4)$ . Since  $M_{24}$  contains a copy of  $\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(3, 4)$ , there is  $g \in M_{24}$  with  $g|_{\mathbb{P}^2(4)} = \varphi|_{\mathbb{P}^2(4)}$ . Therefore,  $g$  and  $\varphi$  can only disagree on the infinite points  $\infty$ ,  $\omega$ , and  $\Omega$ .

If  $B \in \text{star}(\Omega)$  (i.e., if  $B$  is a block in  $\mathcal{B}$  containing  $\Omega$ ), then  $\varphi(B)$  and  $g(B)$  are blocks; moreover,  $|\varphi(B) \cap g(B)| \geq 5$ , for blocks have 8 points, while  $\varphi$  and  $g$  can disagree on at most 3 points. Since 5 points determine a block, however,  $\varphi(B) = g(B)$  for all  $B \in \text{star}(\Omega)$ . By Corollary 9.64,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\Omega\} &= \{\varphi(\Omega)\} = \varphi\left(\bigcap_{B \in \text{star}(\Omega)} B\right) \\ &= \bigcap_{B \in \text{star}(\Omega)} \varphi(B) \\ &= \bigcap_{B \in \text{star}(\Omega)} g(B) = g\left(\bigcap_{B \in \text{star}(\Omega)} B\right) = \{g(\Omega)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $g(\Omega) = \Omega$  and  $g \in (M_{24})_{\Omega} = M_{23}$ . The argument now ends as that in Theorem 9.70:  $\varphi g^{-1} \in \text{Aut}(X', \mathcal{B}')$  since  $M_{23} \leq \text{Aut}(X', \mathcal{B}')$ ,  $\varphi g^{-1}$  fixes  $\mathcal{B}'$ , and  $\varphi = g \in M_{23}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 9.73.**  $M_{22}$  is a subgroup of index 2 in  $\text{Aut}(X'', \mathcal{B}'')$ , where  $(X'', \mathcal{B}'')$  is a Steiner system of type  $S(3, 6, 22)$ .

*Remark.* There is only one Steiner system with these parameters.

*Proof.* Let  $X'' = X - \{\Omega, \omega\}$ , let  $b'' = \mathcal{F}(U) - \{\Omega, \omega\}$ , and let  $\mathcal{B}'' = \{gb'' : g \in M_{22}\}$ . It is easy to see that  $(X'', \mathcal{B}'')$  is doubly contracted from  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ , so that it is a Steiner system of type  $S(3, 6, 22)$ .

Clearly  $M_{22} \leq \text{Aut}(X'', \mathcal{B}'')$ . For the reverse inclusion, let  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(X'', \mathcal{B}'')$  be regarded as a permutation of  $X$  which fixes  $\Omega$  and  $\omega$ . As in the proof of Theorem 9.72, we may assume that  $\varphi(\infty) = \infty$  and that  $\varphi|_{\mathbb{P}^2(4)}$  is a collineation. There is thus  $g \in M_{24}$  with  $g|_{\mathbb{P}^2(4)} = \varphi|_{\mathbb{P}^2(4)}$ . Moreover, consideration of  $\text{star}(\omega)$ , as in the proof of Theorem 9.72, gives  $g(\omega) = \omega$ . Therefore,  $\varphi g^{-1}$  is a permutation of  $X$  fixing  $\mathbb{P}^2(4) \cup \{\omega\}$ . If  $\varphi g^{-1}$  fixes  $\Omega$ , then  $\varphi g^{-1} = 1_X$  and  $\varphi = g \in (M_{24})_{\Omega, \omega} = M_{22}$ . The other possibility is that  $\varphi g^{-1} = (\infty \ \Omega)$ .

We claim that  $[\text{Aut}(X'', \mathcal{B}'') : M_{22}] \leq 2$ . If  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \text{Aut}(X'', \mathcal{B}'')$  and  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \notin M_{22}$ , then we have just seen that  $\varphi_i = (\infty \ \Omega)g_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , where  $g_i \in M_{24}$ . But  $g_1^{-1}g_2 = \varphi_1^{-1}\varphi_2 \in (M_{24})_{\Omega, \omega} = M_{22}$  (since both  $\varphi_i$  fix  $\Omega$  and  $\omega$ ); there are thus at most two cosets of  $M_{22}$  in  $\text{Aut}(X'', \mathcal{B}'')$ .

Recall the definitions of the elements  $h_2$  and  $h_3$  in  $M_{24}$ :  $h_2 = (\omega \ \infty)f_2$  and  $h_3 = (\Omega \ \omega)f_3$ , where  $f_2, f_3$  act on  $\mathbb{P}^2(4)$  and fix  $\infty, \omega$ , and  $\Omega$ . Note that  $h_2$  fixes  $\Omega$  and  $h_3$  fixes  $\infty$ . Define  $g = h_3h_2h_3 = (\Omega \ \infty)f_3f_2f_3$ , and define

$\varphi : X'' \rightarrow X''$  to be the function with  $\varphi(\infty) = \infty$  and  $\varphi|_{\mathbb{P}^2(4)} = f_3f_2f_3$ . By Lemma 9.54,  $\varphi|_{\mathbb{P}^2(4)}$  is a collineation; since  $\varphi$  fixes  $\infty$ , it follows that  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(X'', \mathcal{B}'')$ . On the other hand,  $\varphi \notin M_{22}$ , lest  $\varphi g^{-1} = (\Omega \ \infty) \in M_{24}$ , contradicting Lemma 9.67(iii). We have shown that  $M_{22}$  has index 2 in  $\text{Aut}(X'', \mathcal{B}'')$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 9.74.**  $M_{22}$  has an outer automorphism of order 2 and  $\text{Aut}(X'', \mathcal{B}'') \cong M_{22} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

*Proof.* The automorphism  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(X'', \mathcal{B}'')$  with  $\varphi \notin M_{22}$  constructed at the end of the proof of Theorem 9.73 has order 2, for both  $f_2$  and  $f_3$  are involutions (Lemma 9.54), hence the conjugate  $f_3f_2f_3$  is also an involution. It follows that  $\text{Aut}(X'', \mathcal{B}'')$  is a semidirect product  $M_{22} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Now  $\varphi$  is an automorphism of  $M_{22}$ : if  $a \in M_{22}$ , then  $a^\varphi = \varphi a \varphi^{-1} \in M_{22}$ . Were  $\varphi$  an inner automorphism, there would be  $b \in M_{22}$  with  $\varphi a \varphi^{-1} = bab^{-1}$  for all  $a \in M_{22}$ ; that is,  $\varphi a^{-1}$  would centralize  $M_{22}$ . But a routine calculation shows that  $\varphi$  does not commute with  $h_1 = (\infty \ [1, 0, 0])f_1 \in M_{22}$ , and so  $\varphi$  is an outer automorphism of  $M_{22}$ .  $\square$

The “small” Mathieu groups  $M_{11}$  and  $M_{12}$  are also intimately related to Steiner systems, but we cannot use Theorem 9.66 because the action is now sharp.

**Lemma 9.75.** Regard  $X = \text{GF}(9) \cup \{\infty, \omega, \Omega\}$  as an  $M_{12}$ -set. There is a subgroup  $\Sigma \leq M_{12}$ , isomorphic to  $S_6$ , having two orbits of size 6, say,  $Z$  and  $Z'$ , and which acts sharply 6-transitively on  $Z$ . Moreover,

$$\Sigma = \{\mu \in M_{12} : \mu(Z) = Z\}.$$

*Proof.* Denote the 5-set  $\{\infty, \omega, \Omega, 1, -1\}$  by  $Y$ . For each permutation  $\tau$  of  $Y$ , sharp 5-transitivity of  $M_{12}$  provides a unique  $\tau^* \in M_{12}$  with  $\tau^*|_Y = \tau$ . It is easy to see that the function  $S_Y \rightarrow M_{12}$ , given by  $\tau \mapsto \tau^*$ , is an injective homomorphism; we denote its image (isomorphic to  $S_5$ ) by  $Q$ .

Let us now compute the  $Q$ -orbits of  $X$ . One of them, of course, is  $Y$ . If  $\tau$  is the 3-cycle  $(\infty \ \omega \ \Omega)$ , then  $\tau^* \in Q$  has order 3 and fixes 1 and  $-1$ . Now  $\tau^*$  is a product of three disjoint 3-cycles (fewer than three would fix too many points of  $X$ ), so that the  $\langle \tau^* \rangle$ -orbits of the 7-set  $X - Y$  have sizes  $(3, 3, 1)$ . Since the  $Q$ -orbits of  $X$  (and of  $X - Y$ ) are disjoint unions of  $\langle \tau^* \rangle$ -orbits (Exercise 9.4), the  $Q$ -orbits of  $X - Y$  have possible sizes  $(3, 3, 1)$ ,  $(6, 1)$ ,  $(3, 4)$ , or 7. If  $Q$  has one orbit of size 7, then  $Q$  acts transitively on  $X - Y$ ; this is impossible, for 7 does not divide  $|Q| = 120$ . Furthermore, Exercise 9.3(i) says that  $Q$  has no orbits of size  $t$ , where  $2 < t < 5$ . We conclude that  $X - Y$  has two  $Q$ -orbits of sizes 6 and 1, respectively. There is thus a unique point in  $X - Y$ , namely, the orbit of size 1, that is fixed by every element of  $Q$ . If  $\sigma \in S_Y$  is the transposition  $(1 \ -1)$ , then its correspondent  $\sigma^* \in Q$  fixes  $\infty, \omega, \Omega$  and

interchanges 1 and  $-1$ . But  $\zeta: \text{GF}(9) \rightarrow \text{GF}(9)$ , defined by  $\zeta: \lambda \mapsto -\lambda$ , lies in  $M_{10}$  (for  $-1$  is a square in  $\text{GF}(9)$ ) and  $\zeta|_Y = \sigma$ , so that  $\zeta = \sigma^*$ . Since the only other point fixed by  $\zeta$  is 0, the one-point  $Q$ -orbit of  $X - Y$  must be  $\{0\}$ .

Define  $Z = Y \cup \{0\} = \{\infty, \omega, \Omega, 1, -1, 0\}$ . We saw, in Exercise 9.33, that  $M_{10} \leq M_{12}$  contains  $\sigma_1: \text{P}^1(9) \rightarrow \text{P}^1(9)$ , where  $\sigma_1: \lambda \mapsto -1/\lambda$  is  $(0 \ \infty)(1 \ -1)(\pi^3 \ \pi)(\pi^5 \ \pi^7)$ . Let us see that the subgroup  $\Sigma = \langle Q, \sigma_1 \rangle \cong S_6$ . The set  $Z$  is both a  $Q$ -set and a  $\langle \sigma_1 \rangle$ -set, hence it is also a  $\Sigma$ -set. As  $\Sigma$  acts transitively on  $Z$  and the stabilizer of 0 is  $Q$  (which acts sharply 5-transitively on  $Z - \{0\} = Y$ ), we have  $\Sigma$  acting sharply 6-transitively on the 6-point set  $Z$ , and so  $\Sigma \cong S_6$ . Finally, the 6 points  $X - Z$  comprise the other  $\Sigma$ -orbit of  $X$  (for we have already seen that  $X - Z$  is a  $Q$ -orbit).

If  $\beta \in Q$ , then  $\beta(Y) = Y$  and  $\beta(0) = 0$ , so that  $\beta(Z) = Z$ . Since  $\sigma_1(Z) = Z$ , it follows that  $\sigma(Z) = Z$  for all  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ . Conversely, suppose  $\mu \in M_{12}$  and  $\mu(Z) = Z$ . Since  $\Sigma$  acts 6-transitively on  $Z$ , there is  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  with  $\sigma|_Z = \mu|_Z$ . But  $\mu\sigma^{-1}$  fixes 6 points, hence is the identity, and  $\mu = \sigma \in \Sigma$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 9.76.** *If  $X = \text{GF}(9) \cup \{\infty, \omega, \Omega\}$  is regarded as an  $M_{12}$ -set and  $\mathcal{B} = \{gZ: g \in M_{12}\}$ , where  $Z = \{\infty, \omega, \Omega, 1, -1, 0\}$ , then  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  is a Steiner system of type  $S(5, 6, 12)$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that every block  $gZ$  has 6 points. If  $x_1, \dots, x_5$  are any five distinct points in  $X$ , then 5-transitivity of  $M_{12}$  provides  $g \in M_{12}$  with  $\{x_1, \dots, x_5\} \subset gZ$ . It remains to prove uniqueness of a block containing five given points, and it suffices to show that if  $Z$  and  $gZ$  have five points in common, then  $Z = gZ$ . Now if  $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_6\}$ , then  $gZ = \{gz_1, \dots, gz_6\}$ , where  $gz_1, \dots, gz_5 \in Z$ . By Lemma 9.75, there is  $\sigma \in \Sigma \leq M_{12}$  with  $\sigma z_1 = gz_1, \dots, \sigma z_5 = gz_5$ . Note that  $\sigma Z = Z$ , for  $Z$  is a  $\Sigma$ -orbit. On the other hand,  $\sigma$  and  $g$  agree on five points of  $X$ , so that sharp 5-transitivity of  $M_{12}$  gives  $\sigma = g$ . Therefore  $Z = \sigma Z = gZ$ .  $\square$

If  $\text{GF}(9)$  is regarded as an affine plane over  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ , then the blocks of the Steiner system constructed above can be examined from a geometric viewpoint.

**Lemma 9.77.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  be the Steiner system constructed from  $M_{12}$  in Theorem 9.76. A subset  $B$  of  $X$  containing  $T = \{\infty, \omega, \Omega\}$  is a block if and only if  $B = T \cup \ell$ , where  $\ell$  is a line in  $\text{GF}(9)$  regarded as an affine plane over  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $Z = T \cup \ell_0$ , where  $\ell_0 = \{1, -1, 0\}$ , and  $\ell_0$  is the line consisting of the scalar multiples of 1. By Exercises 9.38 and 9.39,  $M_{12}$  contains a subgroup  $W \cong \text{Aut}(2, 3)$  each of whose elements permutes  $T$ . Hence, for every  $g \in W$ ,  $gZ = T \cup g\ell_0$ , and  $g\ell_0$  is an affine line. But one may count exactly 12 affine lines in the affine plane, so that there are 12 blocks of the form  $T \cup \ell$ . On the other hand, the remark after Theorem 9.61 shows that there exactly 12 blocks containing the 3-point set  $T$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 9.78.**  $M_{12} \cong \text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B})$ , where  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  is a Steiner system of type  $S(5, 6, 12)$ .

*Remark.* There is only one Steiner system with these parameters.

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  be the Steiner system constructed in Theorem 9.76. Now  $M_{12} \leq \text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B})$  because every  $g \in M_{12}$  carries blocks to blocks. For the reverse inclusion, let  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B})$ . Composing with an element of  $M_{12}$  if necessary, we may assume that  $\varphi$  permutes  $T = \{\infty, \omega, \Omega\}$  and  $\varphi$  permutes  $\text{GF}(9)$ . Regarding  $\text{GF}(9)$  as an affine plane over  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ , we see from Lemma 9.77 that  $\varphi|_{\text{GF}(9)}$  is an affine automorphism. By Exercise 9.39, there is  $g \in M_{12}$  which permutes  $T$  and with  $g|_{\text{GF}(9)} = \varphi|_{\text{GF}(9)}$ . Now  $\varphi g^{-1} \in \text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B})$ , for  $M_{12} \leq \text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B})$ ,  $\varphi g^{-1}$  permutes  $T$ , and  $\varphi g^{-1}$  fixes the other 9 points of  $X$ . We claim that  $\varphi g^{-1}$  fixes every block  $B$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ . This is clear if  $|B \cap T| = 0, 1, \text{ or } 3$ . In the remaining case, say,  $B = \{\infty, \omega, x_1, \dots, x_4\}$ , then  $\varphi g^{-1}(B)$  must contain either  $\infty$  or  $\omega$  as well as the  $x_i$ , so that  $|B \cap \varphi g^{-1}(B)| \geq 5$ . Since 5 points determine a block,  $B = \varphi g^{-1}(B)$ , as claimed. Theorem 9.63 forces  $\varphi g^{-1} = 1$ , and so  $\varphi = g \in M_{12}$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.79.**  $M_{11} \cong \text{Aut}(X', \mathcal{B}')$ , where  $(X', \mathcal{B}')$  is a Steiner system of type  $S(4, 5, 11)$ .

*Remark.* There is only one Steiner system with these parameters.

*Proof.* Let  $(X', \mathcal{B}')$  be the contraction at  $\Omega$  of the Steiner system  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  of Theorem 9.76. It is clear that  $M_{11} \leq \text{Aut}(X', \mathcal{B}')$ . For the reverse inclusion, regard  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(X', \mathcal{B}')$  as a permutation of  $X$  with  $\varphi(\Omega) = \Omega$ . Multiplying by an element of  $M_{11}$  if necessary, we may assume that  $\varphi$  permutes  $\{\infty, \omega\}$ . By Lemma 9.77, a block  $B' \in \mathcal{B}'$  containing  $\infty$  and  $\omega$  has the form  $B' = \{\infty, \omega\} \cup \ell$ , where  $\ell$  is a line in the affine plane over  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ . As in the proof of Theorem 9.78,  $\varphi|_{\text{GF}(9)}$  is an affine isomorphism, so there is  $g \in M_{12}$  with  $g|_{\text{GF}(9)} = \varphi|_{\text{GF}(9)}$ . As in the proof of Theorem 9.72, an examination of  $g(\text{star}(\Omega))$  shows that  $g(\Omega) = \Omega$ , so that  $g \in (M_{12})_\Omega = M_{11}$ . The argument now finishes as that for Theorem 9.78:  $\varphi g^{-1} \in \text{Aut}(X', \mathcal{B}')$ ;  $\varphi g^{-1}$  fixes  $\mathcal{B}'$ ;  $\varphi = g \in M_{11}$ .  $\square$

The subgroup structures of the Mathieu groups are interesting. There are other simple groups imbedded in them: for example,  $M_{12}$  contains copies of  $A_6$ ,  $\text{PSL}(2, 9)$ , and  $\text{PSL}(2, 11)$ , while  $M_{24}$  contains copies of  $M_{12}$ ,  $A_8$ , and  $\text{PSL}(2, 23)$ . The copy  $\Sigma$  of  $S_6$  in  $M_{12}$  leads to another proof of the existence of an outer automorphism of  $S_6$ .

**Theorem 9.80.**  $S_6$  has an outer automorphism of order 2.

*Remark.* See Corollary 7.13 for another proof.

**Proof.** Recall from Lemma 9.75 that if  $X = \{\infty, \omega, \Omega\} \cup \text{GF}(9)$  and  $\Sigma (\cong S_6)$  is the subgroup of  $M_{12}$  in Lemma 9.75, then  $X$  has two  $\Sigma$ -orbits, say,  $Z = Y \cup \{0\}$  and  $Z' = Y' \cup \{0'\}$ , each of which has 6 points. If  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  has order 5, then  $\sigma$  is a product of two disjoint 5-cycles (only one 5-cycle fixes too many points), hence it fixes, say, 0 and  $0'$ . It follows that if  $U = \langle \sigma \rangle$ , then each of  $Z$  and  $Z'$  consists of two  $U$ -orbits, one of size 5 and one of size 1. Now  $H = (M_{12})_{0,0'} \cong M_{10}$ , and  $U$  is a Sylow 5-subgroup of  $H$ . By Theorem 9.66,  $N = N_{M_{12}}(U)$  acts 2-transitively on  $\mathcal{F}(U) = \{0, 0'\}$ , so there is  $\alpha \in N$  of order 2 which interchanges 0 and  $0'$ .

Since  $\alpha$  has order 2,  $\alpha = \tau_1 \dots \tau_m$ , where the  $\tau_i$  are disjoint transpositions and  $m \leq 6$ . But  $M_{12}$  is sharply 5-transitive, so that  $4 \leq m$ ; also,  $M_{12} \leq A_{12}$ , so that  $m = 4$  or  $m = 6$ .

We claim that  $\alpha$  interchanges the sets  $Z = Y \cup \{0\}$  and  $Z' = Y' \cup \{0'\}$ . Otherwise, there is  $y \in Y$  with  $\alpha(y) = z \in Y$ . Now  $\alpha\sigma\alpha = \sigma^i$  for some  $i$  (because  $\alpha$  normalizes  $\langle \sigma \rangle$ ). If  $\sigma^i(y) = u$  and  $\sigma(z) = v$ , then  $u, v \in Y$  because  $Y \cup \{0\}$  is a  $\Sigma$ -orbit. But  $u = \sigma^i(y) = \alpha\sigma\alpha(y) = \alpha\sigma(z) = \alpha(v)$ , and it is easy to see that  $y, z, u$ , and  $v$  are all distinct. Therefore, the cycle decomposition of  $\alpha$  involves  $(0 \ 0')$ ,  $(y \ z)$ , and  $(v \ u)$ . There is only one point remaining in  $Y$ , say  $a$ , and there are two cases: either  $\alpha(a) = a$  or  $\alpha(a) \in Y'$ . If  $\alpha$  fixes  $a$ , then there is  $y' \in Y'$  moved by  $\alpha$ , say,  $\alpha(y') = z' \in Y'$ . Repeat the argument above: there are points  $u', v' \in Y'$  with transpositions  $(y' \ z')$  and  $(v' \ u')$  involved in the cycle decomposition of  $\alpha$ . If  $a'$  is the remaining point in  $Y'$ , then the transposition  $(a \ a')$  must also occur in the factorization of  $\alpha$  because  $\alpha$  is not a product of 5 disjoint transpositions. In either case, we have  $a \in Y$  and  $a' \in Y'$  with  $\alpha = (0 \ 0')(y \ z)(v \ u)(a \ a')\beta$ , where  $\beta$  permutes  $Y' - \{a'\}$ . But  $\alpha\sigma\alpha(a) = \sigma^i(a) \in Z$ ; on the other hand, if  $\sigma(a') = b' \in Y'$ , say, then  $\alpha\sigma\alpha(a) = \alpha\sigma(a') = \alpha(b')$ , so that  $\alpha(b') \in Y$ . Since  $a'$  is the only element of  $Y'$  that  $\alpha$  moves to  $Y$ ,  $b' = a'$  and  $\sigma(a') = b' = a'$ ; that is,  $\sigma$  fixes  $a'$ . This is a contradiction, for  $\sigma$  fixes only 0 and  $0'$ .

It is easy to see that  $\alpha$  normalizes  $\Sigma$ . Recall that  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  if and only if  $\sigma(Z) = Z$  (and hence  $\sigma(Z') = Z'$ ). Now  $\alpha\sigma\alpha(Z) = \alpha\sigma(Z') = \alpha(Z') = Z$ , so that  $\alpha\sigma\alpha \in \Sigma$ . Therefore,  $\gamma = \gamma_\alpha$  (conjugation by  $\alpha$ ) is an automorphism of  $\Sigma$ .

Suppose there is  $\beta \in \Sigma$  with  $\alpha\sigma^*\alpha = \beta\sigma^*\beta^{-1}$  for all  $\sigma^* \in \Sigma$ ; that is,  $\beta^{-1}\alpha \in C = C_{M_{12}}(\Sigma)$ . If  $C = 1$ , then  $\alpha = \beta \in \Sigma$ , and this contradiction would show that  $\gamma$  is an outer automorphism. If  $\sigma^* \in \Sigma$ , then  $\sigma^* = \sigma\sigma'$ , where  $\sigma$  permutes  $Z$  and fixes  $Z'$  and  $\sigma'$  permutes  $Z'$  and fixes  $Z$ . Schematically,

$$\sigma^* = (z \ x \ \dots)(z' \ x' \ \dots);$$

if  $\mu \in M_{12}$ , then (as any element of  $S_{12}$ ),

$$\mu\sigma^*\mu^{-1} = (\mu z \ \mu x \ \dots)(\mu z' \ \mu x' \ \dots).$$

In particular, if  $\mu \in C$  (so that  $\mu\sigma^*\mu^{-1} = \sigma^*$ ), then either  $\mu(Z) = Z$  and  $\mu(Z') = Z'$  or  $\mu$  switches  $Z$  and  $Z'$ . In the first case,  $\mu \in \Sigma$ , by Lemma 9.75, and  $\mu \in C \cap \Sigma = Z(\Sigma) = 1$ . In the second case,  $\mu\sigma\mu^{-1} = \sigma'$  (and  $\mu\sigma'\mu^{-1} = \sigma$ ), so that  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  have the same cycle structure for all  $\sigma^* = \sigma\sigma' \in \Sigma$ . But there

is  $\sigma^* \in \Sigma$  with  $\sigma$  a transposition. If such  $\mu$  exists, then  $\sigma^*$  would be a product of two disjoint transpositions and hence would fix 8 points, contradicting  $M_{12}$  being sharply 5-transitive.  $\blacksquare$

There is a similar argument, using an imbedding of  $M_{12}$  into  $M_{24}$ , which exhibits an outer automorphism of  $M_{12}$ . There are several other proofs of the existence of the outer automorphism of  $S_6$ ; for example, see Conway and Sloane (1993).

The Steiner systems of types  $S(5, 6, 12)$  and  $S(5, 8, 24)$  arise in algebraic coding theory, being the key ingredients of (ternary and binary) *Golay codes*. The Steiner system of type  $S(5, 8, 24)$  is also used to define the *Leech lattice*, a configuration in  $\mathbb{R}^{24}$  arising in certain sphere-packing problems as well as in the construction of other simple sporadic groups.