

**Homework Assignment 2** Due Thursday 10/21/2021, uploaded to Gradescope.

1. (2.10 of Eisenbud) Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Show that every finitely generated module over  $R[U^{-1}]$  is the localization of a finitely generated module over  $R$ . (Eisenbud also notes that the same implication without the condition finitely generated looks deeper but is a triviality. Do not address this comment.)

Solution: Let  $M$  be a module for  $R[U^{-1}]$  generated by elements  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  over  $R[U^{-1}]$ . Thus every element of  $M$  can be expressed as a sum  $\frac{a_1}{u_1}x_1 + \dots + \frac{a_d}{u_d}x_d$  for some elements  $a_i \in R$  and  $u_i \in U$ . Let  $N$  be the  $R$ -submodule of  $M$  generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_d$ . The inclusion of  $R$ -modules  $N \rightarrow M$  gives an inclusion of  $R[U^{-1}]$ -modules  $N[U^{-1}] \rightarrow M[U^{-1}] \cong M$  (by exactness of localization), and it is surjective because the element  $\frac{a_1}{u_1}x_1 + \dots + \frac{a_d}{u_d}x_d$  can be written with a common denominator  $\frac{a_1}{u_1}x_1 + \dots + \frac{a_d}{u_d}x_d = \frac{1}{v}(b_1x_1 + \dots + b_dx_d)$  where  $v$  is the product  $u_1 \cdots u_d$  and  $b_i \in R$ , so it lies in  $N[U^{-1}]$ .

2. Let  $A = M_{m,m}(R)$  and  $B = M_{n,n}(R)$  be matrix rings over a commutative ring  $R$ . Show that  $A \otimes_R B \cong M_{mn,mn}(R)$ , where the multiplication giving the ring structure on the tensor product is determined by  $(a \otimes b)(c \otimes d) := ac \otimes bd$  as in class and on page 65 of Eisenbud.

Solution. Let  $A$  and  $B$  have bases denoted  $E_{i,j}$ , where this is the matrix with 1 in row  $i$  and column  $j$ , and 0 elsewhere. Then  $A \otimes B$  has a basis of tensors  $E_{i,j} \otimes E_{k,p}$  and we define a map of vector spaces  $A \otimes_R B \rightarrow M_{mn,mn}(R)$  by specifying it on the basis elements as  $E_{i,j} \otimes E_{k,p} \mapsto E_{i+km, j+pm}$ . To check that it preserves multiplication, we only need to check it on the basis elements, and  $(E_{i,j} \otimes E_{k,p})(E_{a,b} \otimes E_{c,d}) = 0$  unless  $(j,p) = (a,c)$ , when it equals  $E_{i,b} \otimes E_{k,d}$ . This product is mapped to  $E_{i+km, j+pm} \cdot E_{a+cm, b+dm}$  which equals 0 unless  $j+pm = a+cm$  or, in other words,  $j = a$  and  $p = c$ . Thus multiplication is preserved and we have an isomorphism of algebras.

3. If  $R$  is any integral domain with quotient field  $Q$ , prove that

$$(Q/R) \otimes_R (Q/R) = 0.$$

Solution. The typical element of  $Q/R$  can be written as a coset  $\frac{a}{b} + R$  with  $b \neq 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{a}{b} + R\right) \otimes_R \left(\frac{c}{d} + R\right) &= \left(\frac{a}{bd}d + R\right) \otimes_R \left(\frac{c}{d} + R\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{a}{bd} + R\right) \otimes_R \left(d\frac{c}{d} + R\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{a}{bd} + R\right) \otimes_R (c + R) \\ &= \left(\frac{a}{bd} + R\right) \otimes_R 0 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the tensor product is 0.

4. Let  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  be a basis of  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ . Show that the element  $e_1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes e_1$  in  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V$  cannot be written as a simple tensor  $v \otimes w$  for any  $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

Solution. If it could be so written it would be

$$e_1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes e_1 = (ae_1 + be_2) \otimes (ce_1 + de_2) = ace_1 \otimes e_1 + ade_1 \otimes e_2 + bce_2 \otimes e_1 + bde_2 \otimes e_2.$$

The four tensors  $e_i \otimes e_j$  on the right are a basis for the tensor product, so we deduce  $ac = 0 = bd$  and  $ad = 1 = bc$ . One of  $a$  and  $c$  must be 0, so the second equations cannot be satisfied if this is so. The tensor cannot be so written.

5. (a) Let  $K \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$  be a field containing  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Show that  $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}[x] \cong K[x]$  as rings

(b) Show that, as a ring,  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  is the direct sum of two fields.

[The ring multiplication is  $(a \otimes b)(c \otimes d) := ac \otimes bd$  on basic tensors. Use the isomorphism  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^2 - 2)$ .]

Solution. (a) We define a map  $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}[x] \rightarrow K[x]$  by the specification  $a \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} f \mapsto af$ , which is well defined because it is balanced. We define a map  $K[x] \rightarrow K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}[x]$  on monomials  $bx^n$  by  $bx^n \mapsto b \otimes x^n$  extended by linearity because these monomials span  $K[x]$ . These two maps are ring homomorphisms and are mutually inverse.

(b)  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^2 - 2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \cong \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})[x]/(x^2 - 2)$  by an extension of part (a). In  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})[x]$  the polynomial  $x^2 - 2$  factors as  $(x - \sqrt{2})(x + \sqrt{2})$ , so by the Chinese Remainder Theorem  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})[x]/(x^2 - 2) \cong \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})[x]/(x - \sqrt{2}) \oplus \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})[x]/(x + \sqrt{2}) \cong \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \oplus \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ .

6. Let  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C \rightarrow 0$  be a short exact sequence of  $R$ -modules, for some ring  $R$ . Suppose that  $A$  can be generated as an  $R$ -module by a subset  $X \subseteq A$  and that  $C$  can be generated as an  $R$ -module by a subset  $Y \subseteq C$ . For each  $y \in Y$ , choose  $y' \in B$  with  $\beta(y') = y$ . Prove that  $B$  is generated by the set  $\alpha(X) \cup \{y' \mid y \in Y\}$ .

Solution. Let  $B_1$  be the submodule of  $B$  generated by  $\alpha(X) \cup \{y' \mid y \in Y\}$ . Now  $B_1$  contains  $\alpha(A)$  and by the correspondence theorem corresponds to a submodule of  $B/\alpha(A)$ , which is isomorphic to  $C$  via a map induced by  $\beta$ . Because  $C$  is generated by  $Y$  that submodule is  $B/\alpha(A)$ . Because  $B$  also corresponds to this submodule,  $B = B_1$ .

7. Let  $A, B$  be left  $R$ -modules and let  $r \in Z(R) = \{s \in R \mid st = ts \text{ for all } t \in R\}$ , the center of  $R$ . Let  $\mu_r : B \rightarrow B$  be multiplication by  $r$ . Prove that the induced map  $(\mu_r)_* : \text{Hom}_R(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(A, B)$  is also multiplication by  $r$ .

Solution. Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be an  $R$ -module homomorphism. The effect of  $(\mu_r)_* f$  on an element  $a \in A$  is  $((\mu_r)_* f)(a) = \mu_r(f(a)) = rf(a)$ . This equals the effect of  $rf$  on  $a$  by the definition of the  $R$ -module structure on  $\text{Hom}_R(A, B)$ .

**Extra questions: do not upload to Gradescope.**

8. Let  $A$  be a finite abelian group of order  $n$  and let  $p^k$  be the largest power of the prime  $p$  dividing  $n$ . Prove that  $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$  is isomorphic to the Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $A$ .
9. (Part of 2.4 of Eisenbud) Let  $k$  be a field and let  $m, n$  be integers. Describe as explicitly as possible the following. (For example, if the object is a finite-dimensional vector space, what is its dimension?)
- $\text{Hom}_{k[x]}(k[x]/(x^n), k[x]/(x^m))$
  - $k[x]/(x^n), \otimes_{k[x]} k[x]/(x^m)$
  - $k[x] \otimes_k k[x]$  (describe this as an algebra).
10. Eisenbud question 2.11 (It is very similar for modules to something we did for rings).
11. Let  $U \subset R$  be a multiplicative subset not containing any zero divisors of a commutative ring  $R$ . We can regard  $R$  as the set of elements  $\frac{r}{1}$  of  $R[U^{-1}]$  where  $r$  ranges through  $R$ . If  $S$  is a ring with  $R \subseteq S \subseteq R[U^{-1}]$ , show that  $S[U^{-1}] = R[U^{-1}]$ .
12. Suppose that  $U$  and  $V$  are two multiplicative subsets of the commutative ring  $R$  with  $U \subseteq V$ . Writing  $V'$  for the image of  $V$  in  $R[U^{-1}]$ , show that  $R[U^{-1}][V'^{-1}] = R[V^{-1}]$ .