

Again for $U_g(A_2)$, have notion of weight vector (evector for the action of K)

Let $M_\lambda = \{ m \in M \mid K \cdot m = \lambda m \}$ (λ -eigenspace)

then relations for $U_g(A_2)$ imply, for any λ ,

$E \cdot M_\lambda \subseteq M_{g^2\lambda}$ $F \cdot M_\lambda \subseteq M_{g^{-2}\lambda}$.

(and sum of M_λ is direct). If we form $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{g^{2n}\lambda}$,

this is submodule of any $U_g(A_2)$ -module M .

finite sum if g is rt. of unity.

If $M_\lambda \neq 0$ for some λ and M is simple,

then $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{g^{2n}\lambda}$ (generated by any non-zero vector)

Trouble from prior proof for $U(A_2)$: Assumed k : field is algebraically closed to guarantee non-zero M_λ .

want to take a different approach applicable to case where g not rt. of unity, $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$.

Prop: Suppose g is not a root of unity. Let M be finite dim'l $U_g(A_2)$ -module.

\exists integers r, s s.t. $E^r \cdot M = 0 = F^s \cdot M$ (meaning these powers annihilate every $m \in M$)

pf: Let $M_{(f)} = \{ m \in M \mid f(K)^n \cdot m = 0 \ n \gg 0 \}$ f : one var. poly. coeffs. in k .

Since M finite dim'l, then M is direct sum of distinct

$M_{(f)}$, where if $M_{(f)}, M_{(g)} \neq 0$, then $M_{(f)} = M_{(g)}$ iff f, g differ by a non-zero factor-constant

Given $f \in k[x]$ with $M_{(f)} \neq 0$, let $f_i(x) := f(q^i x)$

(note f_i is again irred. if f is, since it is the image of f under automorphism of $k[x]$ det'd by $x \mapsto q^i x$.)

Recall from original relns, $EK = q^{-2}KE$, so

$$E \cdot f(K) = f(q^{-2}K) \cdot E \quad \text{and by induction on } r:$$

$$E^r \cdot f(K) = f_{-2r}(K) E^r \quad (*)$$

this implies $E^r \cdot M_{(f)} \subseteq M_{(f_{-2r})} \quad \forall r \geq 0$

(if $x = E^r \cdot m$, with $m \in M_{(f)}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & f_{-2r}(K)^n E^r m \\ & \stackrel{(*)}{=} E^r f(K)^n m = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $n \gg 0$
 since $m \in M_{(f)}$)

So if we can show, for every f ,

$$M_{(f_{-2r})} = 0 \quad \text{for some } r > 0, \text{ then done}$$

(as every $m \in M$ belongs to some $M_{(f)}$, and take max over all such r .)

Suppose $M_{(f_{-2r})} \neq 0 \quad \forall r > 0$. Then eventually, must have some r, s with

$$M_{(f_{-2r})} = M_{(f_{-2s})}$$

$\Rightarrow f_{-2r}$ and f_{-2s} proportional (by eff. of k)

but ~~only~~ $f(q^{-2r}x), f(q^{-2s}x)$ have same constant term

(only irreducible with constant term 0 is x , but $M_{(x)} = (0)$ since k is invertible, so $K^n \cdot m \neq 0 \quad \forall m$.)

on other hand, if f has degree n , then leading terms differ (for f_{-2r}, f_{-2s})
 by $q^{2(s-r)n} \neq 1$ since q not a root of unity. \downarrow

(similar pf. to show sufficiently large power of F annihilates any such M .)

Corollary: (q not rt. of unity, $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$) Let M be fin. dim'd $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ module. Then M is a direct sum of its weight spaces. All weights have form $\pm q^a$ with $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

pf: Endom. of fin. dim'd v.s. is diagonalizable if and only if its minimal polynomial splits into linear factors, each occurring with mult. 1.
 monic poly. of least degree annihilating endom. Investigate min. poly. for K acting on M .
 (divides characteristic poly.)

By previous result, \exists integer $s > 0$ st. $F^s M = 0$. Set

$$h_r^{(s)} = \prod_{j=-(r-1)}^{r-1} \frac{K q^{r-s+j} - K^{-1} q^{-(r-s+j)}}{q - q^{-1}}$$

claim: For $0 \leq r \leq s$, $F^{s-r} h_r \cdot M = 0$. (induction pf.)

In case $r=s$, just get $h_s \cdot M = 0$. Clean up non-zero constant factors mult. by power of K

then

$$0 = \left(\prod_{j=-(s-1)}^{s-1} (K^2 - q^{-2j}) \right) M = \left(\prod_{j=-(s-1)}^{s-1} (K - q^{-j})(K + q^{-j}) \right) M$$

And hence the minimal polynomial of K divides the associated polynomial to the above (replace K with variable x).

So we're done! Because this polynomial splits into linear factors with distinct roots, so the min. poly. must also.

(if you were worrying that maybe $-q^a = q^b$ some a, b , note this implies $q^{b-a} = -1$ so $q^{2(b-a)} = 1$ \nRightarrow q not a root of unity.)

— END OF LECTURE 17 —

Now we know that fin-dim'l modules are entirely comprised of wt. spaces.

let's explore highest weights: $m \in M, E m = 0, K m = \lambda m.$

There's a natural "universal" module with this property:

$$M(\lambda) := U_{\mathfrak{g}} / U_{\mathfrak{g}} E + U_{\mathfrak{g}} (K - \lambda)$$

Any M with h.w. vector λ has a unique homom. $M(\lambda) \rightarrow M$
 m of wt.

$[.] \rightarrow [1] \rightarrow m$
 for coset rep classes.

This $M(\lambda)$ has basis $[F^i]_{i \geq 0}$

by PBW theorem, call these m_0, m_1, m_2, \dots

then our relations imply:

$$F \cdot m_i = m_{i+1}$$

(infinite dim'l module)

$$E \cdot m_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i=0 \\ [i]_{\mathfrak{g}} \frac{\lambda q^{1-i} - \lambda^{-1} q^{i-1}}{q - q^{-1}} m_{i-1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$K \cdot m_i = \lambda \cdot q^{-2i} m_i$$

← already make some observations about λ being integer power of q or not