

(May 7, 2005)

## exam 09solns

Paul Garrett garrett@math.umn.edu http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/

[exam 09.1] Write an explicit isomorphism

$$\mathbf{Z}/a \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}/b \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/\gcd(a, b)$$

and verify that it is what is claimed.

First, we know that monomial tensors generate the tensor product, and for any  $x, y \in \mathbf{Z}$

$$x \otimes y = (xy) \cdot (1 \otimes 1)$$

so the tensor product is generated by  $1 \otimes 1$ . Next, we claim that  $g = \gcd(a, b)$  annihilates every  $x \otimes y$ , that is,  $g \cdot (x \otimes y) = 0$ . Indeed, let  $r, s$  be integers such that  $ra + sb = g$ . Then

$$g \cdot (x \otimes y) = (ra + sb) \cdot (x \otimes y) = r(ax \otimes y) = s(x \otimes by) = r \cdot 0 + s \cdot 0 = 0$$

So the generator  $1 \otimes 1$  has order dividing  $g$ . To prove that that generator has order *exactly*  $g$ , we construct a bilinear map. Let

$$B : \mathbf{Z}/a \times \mathbf{Z}/b \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/g$$

by

$$B(x \times y) = xy + g\mathbf{Z}$$

To see that this is well-defined, first compute

$$(x + a\mathbf{Z})(y + b\mathbf{Z}) = xy + xb\mathbf{Z} + ya\mathbf{Z} + ab\mathbf{Z}$$

Since

$$xb\mathbf{Z} + ya\mathbf{Z} \subset b\mathbf{Z} + a\mathbf{Z} = \gcd(a, b)\mathbf{Z}$$

(and  $ab\mathbf{Z} \subset g\mathbf{Z}$ ), we have

$$(x + a\mathbf{Z})(y + b\mathbf{Z}) + g\mathbf{Z} = xy + xb\mathbf{Z} + ya\mathbf{Z} + ab\mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{Z}$$

and well-definedness. By the defining property of the tensor product, this gives a unique linear map  $\beta$  on the tensor product, which on monomials is

$$\beta(x \otimes y) = xy + \gcd(a, b)\mathbf{Z}$$

The generator  $1 \otimes 1$  is mapped to 1, so the image of  $1 \otimes 1$  has order  $\gcd(a, b)$ , so  $1 \otimes 1$  has order divisible by  $\gcd(a, b)$ . Thus, having already proven that  $1 \otimes 1$  has order at most  $\gcd(a, b)$ , this must be its order.

In particular, the map  $\beta$  is injective on the cyclic subgroup generated by  $1 \otimes 1$ . That cyclic subgroup is the whole group, since  $1 \otimes 1$ . The map is also surjective, since  $\cdot 1 \otimes 1$  hits  $r \bmod \gcd(a, b)$ . Thus, it is an isomorphism. ///

[exam 09.2] Let  $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$  be commutative rings with unit, and suppose that  $\varphi(1_R) = 1_S$ , thus making  $S$  an  $R$ -algebra. For an  $R$ -module  $N$  prove that  $\text{Hom}_R(S, N)$  is (*yet another*) good definition of *extension of scalars* from  $R$  to  $S$ , by checking that for every  $S$ -module  $M$  there is a natural isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_R(\text{Res}_R^S M, N) \approx \text{Hom}_S(M, \text{Hom}_R(S, N))$$

where  $\text{Res}_R^S M$  is the  $R$ -module obtained by forgetting  $S$ , and letting  $r \in R$  act on  $M$  by  $r \cdot m = \varphi(r)m$ . (Do prove naturality in  $M$ , also.)

Let

$$i : \text{Hom}_R(\text{Res}_R^S M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(M, \text{Hom}_R(S, N))$$

be defined for  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(\text{Res}_R^S M, N)$  by

$$i(\varphi)(m)(s) = \varphi(s \cdot m)$$

This makes *some* sense, at least, since  $M$  is an  $S$ -module. We must verify that  $i(\varphi) : M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(S, N)$  is  $S$ -linear. Note that the  $S$ -module structure on  $\text{Hom}_R(S, N)$  is

$$(s \cdot \psi)(t) = \psi(st)$$

where  $s, t \in S, \psi \in \text{Hom}_R(S, N)$ . Then we check:

$$(i(\varphi)(sm))(t) = i(\varphi)(t \cdot sm) = i(\varphi)(stm) = i(\varphi)(m)(st) = (s \cdot i(\varphi)(m))(t)$$

which proves the  $S$ -linearity.

The map  $j$  in the other direction is described, for  $\Phi \in \text{Hom}_S(M, \text{Hom}_R(S, N))$ , by

$$j(\Phi)(m) = \Phi(m)(1_S)$$

where  $1_S$  is the identity in  $S$ . Verify that these are mutual inverses, by

$$i(j(\Phi))(m)(s) = j(\Phi)(s \cdot m) = \Phi(sm)(1_S) = (s \cdot \Phi(m))(1_S) = \Phi(m)(s \cdot 1_S) = \Phi(m)(s)$$

as hoped. (Again, the equality

$$(s \cdot \Phi(m))(1_S) = \Phi(m)(s \cdot 1_S)$$

is the definition of the  $S$ -module structure on  $\text{Hom}_R(S, N)$ .) In the other direction,

$$j(i(\varphi))(m) = i(\varphi)(m)(1_S) = \varphi(1 \cdot m) = \varphi(m)$$

Thus,  $i$  and  $j$  are mutual inverses, so are isomorphisms.

For naturality, let  $f : M \rightarrow M'$  be an  $S$ -module homomorphism. Add indices to the previous notation, so that

$$i_{M,N} : \text{Hom}_R(\text{Res}_R^S M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(M, \text{Hom}_R(S, N))$$

is the isomorphism discussed just above, and  $i_{M',N}$  the analogous isomorphism for  $M'$  and  $N$ . We must show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_R(\text{Res}_R^S M, N) & \xrightarrow{i_{M,N}} & \text{Hom}_S(M, \text{Hom}_R(S, N)) \\ \uparrow -\circ f & & \uparrow -\circ f \\ \text{Hom}_R(\text{Res}_R^S M', N) & \xrightarrow{i_{M',N}} & \text{Hom}_S(M', \text{Hom}_R(S, N)) \end{array}$$

commutes, where  $-\circ f$  is pre-composition with  $f$ . (We use the same symbol for the map  $f : M \rightarrow M'$  on the modules whose  $S$ -structure has been forgotten, leaving only the  $R$ -module structure.) Starting in the lower left of the diagram, going up then right, for  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(\text{Res}_R^S M', N)$ ,

$$(i_{M,N} \circ (-\circ f) \varphi)(m)(s) = (i_{M,N}(\varphi \circ f))(m)(s) = (\varphi \circ f)(s \cdot m) = \varphi(f(s \cdot m))$$

On the other hand, going right, then up,

$$((-\circ f) \circ i_{M',N} \varphi)(m)(s) = (i_{M',N} \varphi)(fm)(s) = \varphi(s \cdot fm) = \varphi(f(s \cdot m))$$

since  $f$  is  $S$ -linear. That is, the two outcomes are the same, so the diagram commutes, proving functoriality in  $M$ , which is a part of the naturality assertion. ///

[exam 09.3] Let

$$M = \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} \quad N = \mathbf{Z} \oplus 4\mathbf{Z} \oplus 24\mathbf{Z} \oplus 144\mathbf{Z}$$

What are the elementary divisors of  $\bigwedge^2(M/N)$ ?

First, note that this is *not* the same as asking about the structure of  $(\bigwedge^2 M)/(\bigwedge^2 N)$ . Still, we can address that, too, after dealing with the question that *was* asked.

First,

$$M/N = \mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/4\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/24\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/144\mathbf{Z} \approx \mathbf{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/24 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/144$$

where we use the obvious slightly lighter notation. Generators for  $M/N$  are

$$m_1 = 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \quad m_2 = 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 \quad m_3 = 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 1$$

where the 1s are respectively in  $\mathbf{Z}/4$ ,  $\mathbf{Z}/24$ , and  $\mathbf{Z}/144$ . We know that  $e_i \wedge e_j$  generate the exterior square, for the 3 pairs of indices with  $i < j$ . Much as in the computation of  $\mathbf{Z}/a \otimes \mathbf{Z}/b$ , for  $e$  in a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module  $E$  with  $a \cdot e = 0$  and  $f$  in  $E$  with  $b \cdot f = 0$ , let  $r, s$  be integers such that

$$ra + sb = \gcd(a, b)$$

Then

$$\gcd(a, b) \cdot e \wedge f = r(ae \wedge f) + s(e \wedge bf) = r \cdot 0 + s \cdot 0 = 0$$

Thus,  $4 \cdot e_1 \wedge e_2 = 0$  and  $4 \cdot e_1 \wedge e_3 = 0$ , while  $24 \cdot e_2 \wedge e_3 = 0$ . If there are no further relations, then we could have

$$\bigwedge^2(M/N) \approx \mathbf{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/24$$

(so the elementary divisors would be 4, 4, 24.)

To prove, in effect, that there are no further relations than those just indicated, we must construct suitable alternating bilinear maps. Suppose for  $r, s, t \in \mathbf{Z}$

$$r \cdot e_1 \wedge e_2 + s \cdot e_1 \wedge e_3 + t \cdot e_2 \wedge e_3 = 0$$

Let

$$B_{12} : (\mathbf{Z}e_1 \oplus \mathbf{Z}e_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}e_3) \times (\mathbf{Z}e_1 \oplus \mathbf{Z}e_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}e_3) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/4$$

by

$$B_{12}(xe_1 + ye_2 + ze_3, \xi e_1 + \eta e_2 + \zeta e_3) = (x\eta - \xi y) + 4\mathbf{Z}$$

(As in earlier examples, since  $4|4$  and  $4|24$ , this is *well-defined*.) By arrangement, this  $B_{12}$  is alternating, and induces a unique linear map  $\beta_{12}$  on  $\bigwedge^2(M/N)$ , with

$$\beta_{12}(e_1 \wedge e_2) = 1 \quad \beta_{12}(e_1 \wedge e_3) = 0 \quad \beta_{12}(e_2 \wedge e_3) = 0$$

Applying this to the alleged relation, we find that  $r = 0 \pmod{4}$ . Similar constructions for the other two pairs of indices  $i < j$  show that  $s = 0 \pmod{4}$  and  $t = 0 \pmod{24}$ . This shows that we have all the relations, and

$$\bigwedge^2(M/N) \approx \mathbf{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/24$$

as hoped/claimed. ///

**Now consider the other version of this question.** Namely, letting

$$M = \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} \quad N = \mathbf{Z} \oplus 4\mathbf{Z} \oplus 24\mathbf{Z} \oplus 144\mathbf{Z}$$

compute the elementary divisors of  $(\wedge^2 M)/(\wedge^2 N)$ .

Let  $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4$  be the standard basis for  $\mathbf{Z}^4$ . Let  $i : N \rightarrow M$  be the inclusion. We have shown that exterior powers of free modules are free with the expected generators, so  $M$  is free on

$$e_1 \wedge e_2, \quad e_1 \wedge e_3, \quad e_1 \wedge e_4, \quad e_2 \wedge e_3, \quad e_2 \wedge e_4, \quad e_3 \wedge e_4$$

and  $N$  is free on

$$(1 \cdot 4) e_1 \wedge e_2, \quad (1 \cdot 24) e_1 \wedge e_3, \quad (1 \cdot 144) e_1 \wedge e_4, \quad (4 \cdot 24) e_2 \wedge e_3, \quad (4 \cdot 144) e_2 \wedge e_4, \quad (24 \cdot 144) e_3 \wedge e_4$$

The inclusion  $i : N \rightarrow M$  induces a natural map  $\wedge^2 i : \wedge^2 N \rightarrow \wedge^2 M$ , taking  $r \cdot e_i \wedge e_j$  (in  $N$ ) to  $r \cdot e_i \wedge e_j$  (in  $M$ ). Thus, the quotient of  $\wedge^2 M$  by (the image of)  $\wedge^2 N$  is visibly

$$\mathbf{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/24 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/144 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/96 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/576 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/3456$$

The integers 4, 24, 144, 96, 576, 3456 do not quite have the property  $4|24|144|96|576|3456$ , so are not elementary divisors. The problem is that neither  $144|96$  nor  $96|144$ . The only primes dividing all these integers are 2 and 3, and, in particular,

$$4 = 2^2, \quad 24 = 2^3 \cdot 3, \quad 144 = 2^4 \cdot 3^2, \quad 96 = 2^5 \cdot 3, \quad 576 = 2^6 \cdot 3^2, \quad 3456 = 2^7 \cdot 3^3,$$

From Sun-Ze's theorem,

$$\mathbf{Z}/(2^a \cdot 3^b) \approx \mathbf{Z}/2^a \oplus \mathbf{Z}/3^b$$

so we can rewrite the summands  $\mathbf{Z}/144$  and  $\mathbf{Z}/96$  as

$$\mathbf{Z}/144 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/96 \approx (\mathbf{Z}/2^4 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/3^2) \oplus (\mathbf{Z}/2^5 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/3) \approx (\mathbf{Z}/2^4 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/3) \oplus (\mathbf{Z}/2^5 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/3^2) \approx \mathbf{Z}/48 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/288$$

Now we do have  $4|24|48|288|576|3456$ , and

$$(\wedge^2 M)/(\wedge^2 N) \approx \mathbf{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/24 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/48 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/288 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/576 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/3456$$

is in elementary divisor form. ///