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MathStackExchange question 4858256 asked why/whether something like

$$
\frac{1}{3}-\frac{1}{4}=\frac{1}{12} \quad(\text { in } \mathbb{Q})
$$

implies

$$
3^{-1}-4^{-1}=12^{-1} \quad(\text { in } \mathbb{Z} / 17)
$$

The equality of rational numbers is certainly a compelling heuristic for the corresponding equality mod 17 . But, at an elementary level, that is not a proof. Yes, the same sort of argument

$$
\frac{1}{3}-\frac{1}{4}=\frac{4}{12}-\frac{3}{12}=\frac{4-3}{12}=\frac{1}{12}
$$

where "putting everything over a common denominator" is multiplying through by 12 , immediately gives a proof of the mod-17 assertion:

$$
3^{-1}-4^{-1}=12^{-1} \cdot(4-3)=12^{-1} \bmod 17
$$

But the identity in $\mathbb{Q}$ does not immediately, literally imply the corresponding identity mod 17 , at a completely elementary level. But, with slightly less elementary considerations about localization, the identity in $\mathbb{Q}$ really does immediately give the identity in $\mathbb{Z} / 17$ !

## 1. Localization

Let $R$ be a commutative ring with 1, with no (proper) 0-divisors. For present purposes, a multiplicative subset $S$ of $R$ is a subset closed under multiplication, containing 1 , and not containing 0 . Since $R$ has no 0 -divisors, it imbeds in its field of fractions $K$, and the localization $S^{-1} R$ of $R$ can be described in a simpler fashion than the general case, as a subring of $K$ : unsurprisingly,

$$
S^{-1} R=\left\{\frac{r}{s}: s \in S, r \in R\right\} \subset K
$$

Analogously, for a (proper, non-zero) ideal $I$ of $R$, the localization $S^{-1} I$ is

$$
S^{-1} I=\left\{\frac{i}{s}: s \in S, i \in R\right\} \subset S^{-1} R
$$

The latter is an ideal of $S^{-1} R$ : it is an abelian group, because

$$
\frac{i}{s}+\frac{i^{\prime}}{s^{\prime}}=\frac{s^{\prime} i+s i^{\prime}}{s s^{\prime}} \quad\left(\text { and } s^{\prime} i, s i^{\prime} \in I \text { because } I\right. \text { is an ideal) }
$$

and it is closed under multiplication by $S^{-1} R$ :

$$
\frac{r}{s} \cdot \frac{i}{s^{\prime}}=\frac{r i}{s s^{\prime}} \quad(\text { and } r i \in I \text { because } I \text { is an ideal) }
$$

There is a natural commutative diagram

[1.1] Claim: When the image of $S$ in $R / I$ lies inside the units $(R / I)^{\times}$, the map $\varphi: R / I \longrightarrow S^{-1} R / S^{-1} I$ is an isomorphism.

Proof: (of claim) First, the injectivity. For $\varphi(r+I)=0$, it must be that $r=i / s$ for some $i \in I$ and $s \in S$. In $R$, this is equivalent to $s \cdot r=i$. Since $s$ is has an inverse $t \bmod I$, this is equivalent to $r=t \cdot i \in I$.

For surjectivity: given $r / s$, find $r^{\prime} \in R$ such that $r / s-r \in S^{-1} I$. For an inverse $t$ of $s \bmod I$,

$$
\frac{r}{s}-t \cdot r=\frac{r-s t \cdot r}{s}=\frac{r(1-s t)}{s}
$$

Since $1-s t \in I$, which is an ideal, that last expression is in $S^{-1} I$.
[1.2] Corollary: Under the previous condition on $I$ and $S$, any identity in $S^{-1} R$ gives the corresponding identity in $R / I$.

Proof: Since $R / I \longrightarrow S^{-1} R / S^{-1} I$ is an isomorphism, there is a composite homomorphism

$$
S^{-1} R \longrightarrow S^{-1} R / S^{-1} I \longrightarrow R / S
$$

Any equality in $S^{-1} R$ maps forward to a corresponding equality in $R / I$.
[1.3] Corollary: Any algebraic identity in $\mathbb{Q}$ maps forward to the corresponding identity in $\mathbb{Z} / N$, for every $N$ relatively prime to all the denominators of the fractions in that identity.
[1.4] Corollary: For $b$ prime to $N$, the image of the rational number $a / b$ in $\mathbb{Z} / N$ is $a b^{-1} \bmod N$.
Proof: The point is about $b^{-1}$. The equation $b \cdot c=1$ maps forward to the same equation mod $N$, so the image of the inverse of $b$ in $\mathbb{Q}$ maps to the inverse of $b$ in $\mathbb{Z} / N$.
[1.5] Corollary: The identity $\frac{1}{3}-\frac{1}{4}=\frac{1}{12}$ in $\mathbb{Q}$ implies $3^{-1}-4^{-1}=12^{-1}$ in $\mathbb{Z} / N$ for all $N$ prime to 2,3 .

