(May 7, 2015)

Sporadic isogenies to orthogonal groups

Paul Garrett garrett@math.umn.edu http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/

[This document is http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/v/sporadic_isogenies.pdf]

- 1. Over \mathbb{C}
- 2. Over \mathbb{R}
- 3. Appendix: isomorphism classes of quadratic forms over $\mathbb C$ and $\mathbb R$

We will describe well-known 2-to-1 homomorphisms

$$\begin{cases} SL_2(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow SO(3,\mathbb{C}) \\ SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow SO(4,\mathbb{C}) \\ \\ Sp_2(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow SO(5,\mathbb{C}) \\ \\ \\ SL_4(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow SO(6,\mathbb{C}) \end{cases}$$

and well-known 2-to-1 homomorphisms to real special orthogonal groups SO(p,q) with signatures (p,q):

$$SO(p,q) = \{g \in SL_{p+q}(\mathbb{R}) : g^{\top}Qg = Q\} \qquad (\text{where } Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1_p & 0\\ 0 & -1_q \end{pmatrix})$$

$$\begin{cases} SU(2) \longrightarrow SO(3) \\ SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow SO(2,1) \\ SU(2) \times SU(2) \longrightarrow SO(4) \\ SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow SO(3,1) \\ SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow SO(2,2) \\ Sp^*(2,0) \longrightarrow SO(5) \\ Sp^*(1,1) \longrightarrow SO(4,1) \\ Sp_2(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow SO(3,2) \\ SU(4) \longrightarrow SO(6) \\ SL_2(\mathbb{H}) \longrightarrow SO(5,1) \\ SU(2,2) \longrightarrow SO(5,1) \\ SU(2,2) \longrightarrow SO(4,2) \\ SL_4(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow SO(3,3) \end{cases}$$

Thus, these are small examples of *spin groups*, two-fold covers of special orthogonal groups.

All these constructions are standard, in principle well-known, but often obscured or left as exercises in larger, systematic treatments of Lie theory or quadratic forms or Clifford algebras or Spin groups. ^[1]

^[1] Thanks to Shaul Zemel for some corrections and suggestions, belatedly implemented.

1. Over $\mathbb C$

[1.1] $SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \to SO(3,\mathbb{C})$ The space V of 2-by-2 complex matrices with trace 0, has symmetric bilinear form $\langle x, y \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(xy)$. The action of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ on V by $g \cdot x = gxg^{-1}$ preserves \langle , \rangle :

$$\langle g \cdot x, g \cdot y \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(gxg^{-1} \cdot gyg^{-1}) = \operatorname{tr}(g \cdot xy \cdot g^{-1}) = \operatorname{tr}(xy) = \langle x, y \rangle$$

An orthogonal basis is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with \langle , \rangle values 2, 2, -2, demonstrating non-degeneracy. Thus, $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ maps to a copy of $SO(3,\mathbb{C})$. The kernel is just $\{\pm 1\}$.

[1.2] $SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \to SO(4,\mathbb{C})$ Let $V = M_2(\mathbb{C})$ be 2-by-2 complex matrices, with $(g,h) \in SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ acting by $(g,h) \cdot x = gxh^{-1}$. Give V the bilinear form

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(x \cdot wy^{\top}w^{-1}) \qquad (\text{where } w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix})$$

It is symmetric because trace is invariant under transpose, and because $w^{-1} = -w$. For $g \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$, $g^{-1} = wg^{\top}w^{-1}$, and the pairing is invariant under the group action:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}(gxh^{-1} \cdot w(gyh^{-1})^{\top}w^{-1}) &= \operatorname{tr}(gxh^{-1} \cdot w(h^{-1})^{\top}w^{-1} \cdot wy^{\top}w^{-1} \cdot wg^{\top}w^{-1}) \\ &= \operatorname{tr}(gxh^{-1} \cdot h \cdot wy^{\top}w^{-1} \cdot g^{-1}) &= \operatorname{tr}(g \cdot xwy^{\top}w^{-1} \cdot g^{-1}) &= \operatorname{tr}(xwy^{\top}w^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Computing

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \operatorname{tr}\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d' & -b' \\ -c' & a' \end{pmatrix} \right) = \operatorname{tr}\left(\begin{pmatrix} ad' - bc' & * \\ * & da' - cb' \end{pmatrix} = ad' - bc' - cb' + da'$$

an orthogonal basis is readily found: for example,^[2]

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with \langle , \rangle values 2, -2, 2, -2, demonstrating non-degeneracy. Thus, $SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ maps to a copy of $SO(4,\mathbb{C})$.

[1.3] $Sp_2(\mathbb{C}) \to SO(5,\mathbb{C})$ The symplectic group^[3] is

$$Sp_2(\mathbb{C}) = \{g \in GL_4(\mathbb{C}) : g^\top Jg = J\} \qquad (\text{with } J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1\\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix})$$

^[2] One can also observe from this expression that the bilinear form is a sum of two hyperbolic planes, thus giving signature (2, 2) without further computation.

^[3] In some conventions, the subscript is made to be the *size*, so what we call Sp_2 here might be called Sp_4 elsewhere.

Write $g^{\sigma} = Jg^{\top}J^{-1}$, so the condition can be rewritten as $g^{\sigma}g = 1_2$. The \mathbb{C} -vectorspace V will be a subspace of the space $M_4(\mathbb{C})$ of 4-by-4 complex matrices. Let $\langle x, y \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(xy)$ on $M_4(\mathbb{C})$. Let $Sp_2(\mathbb{C})$ act on $M_4(\mathbb{C})$ by $g \cdot x = gxg^{\sigma}$. This action respects \langle , \rangle :

$$\langle g \cdot x, g \cdot y \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(gxg^{\sigma} \cdot gyg^{\sigma}) = \operatorname{tr}(g \cdot xy \cdot g^{-1}) = \operatorname{tr}(xy) = \langle x, y \rangle$$

Since $1_4 = g^{\sigma}g = g \cdot 1_4 \cdot g^{\sigma}$, the action has fixed-point 1_4 , and the subspace

$$V = \{ x \in M_4(\mathbb{C}) : x^{\sigma} = x \text{ and } \langle x, 1_4 \rangle = 0 \}$$

is stable under the action. In 2-by-2 blocks, the condition $x^{\sigma} = x$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^{\top} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a^{\top} & c^{\top} \\ b^{\top} & d^{\top} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d^{\top} & -b^{\top} \\ -c^{\top} & a^{\top} \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus, $d = a^{\top}$ and b, c are skew-symmetric. The condition $\langle x, 1_4 \rangle = 0$ requires $\operatorname{tr}(a) = 0$. Thus, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V = 5$. To check that \langle , \rangle is non-degenerate on V, identify an orthogonal basis, such as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & & \\ 0 & -1 & & \\ & & 1 & 0 \\ & & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ 1 & 0 & & \\ & & 0 & 1 \\ & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ -1 & 0 & & \\ & & 0 & -1 \\ & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ -1 & 0 & & \\ 0 & 1 & & \\ -1 & 0 & & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & & \\ 1 & 0 & & \end{pmatrix}$$

where empty positions are 0.

[1.4] $SL_4(\mathbb{C}) \to SO(6,\mathbb{C})$ Let $SL_4(\mathbb{C})$ act in the natural way on the six-dimensional vectorspace $V = \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4$, namely, $g \cdot (v \wedge w) = gv \wedge gw$. Let e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 be the standard basis of \mathbb{C}^4 , and define [4] \langle , \rangle on V by

$$x \wedge y = \langle x, y \rangle \cdot e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4$$
 (with $x, y \in \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4$)

This form is *symmetric* because an even number of transpositions reverses the arguments:

$$(x \wedge y) \wedge (z \wedge w) = -x \wedge z \wedge y \wedge w = x \wedge z \wedge w \wedge y = -z \wedge x \wedge w \wedge y$$
$$= -z \wedge x \wedge w \wedge y = (z \wedge w) \wedge (x \wedge y) \qquad (\text{for } x, y, z, y \in \mathbb{C}^4)$$

The form is invariant under the action because

$$\langle g \cdot (x \wedge y), g \cdot (z \wedge w) \rangle \cdot e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4 = gx \wedge gy \wedge gz \wedge gw = \det g \cdot x \wedge y \wedge z \wedge w$$
$$= \det g \cdot \langle x \wedge y, z \wedge w \rangle \cdot e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4$$

To check non-degeneracy, observe

$$\langle e_1 \wedge e_2, e_3 \wedge e_4 \rangle = 1 \qquad \langle e_1 \wedge e_3, e_2 \wedge e_4 \rangle = -1 \qquad \langle e_1 \wedge e_4, e_2 \wedge e_3 \rangle = 1$$

while $\langle e_i \wedge e_j, e_k \wedge e_\ell \rangle = 0$ when $\{i, j\} \cap \{k, \ell\} \neq \phi$. Thus, an orthogonal basis is

$$(e_1 \wedge e_2) \pm (e_3 \wedge e_4)$$
 $(e_1 \wedge e_3) \pm (e_2 \wedge e_4)$ $(e_1 \wedge e_4) \pm (e_2 \wedge e_3)$

with \langle,\rangle values $\pm 2, \pm 2, \pm 2$.

[4] It is not necessary to choose a basis for \mathbb{C}^4 , only to choose a basis for $\bigwedge^4 \mathbb{C}^4$.

2. Over \mathbb{R}

Each homomorphism of complex groups gives rise to several homomorphisms of real groups.

[2.1] $SU(2) \rightarrow SO(3)$ The standard special unitary group SU(2) is

$$SU(2) = \{g \in SL_2(\mathbb{C}) : g^*g = 1_2\}$$
 (where g^* is g-conjugate-transpose)

The space V of 2-by-2 skew-hermitian complex matrices with trace 0 has symmetric real-valued real-bilinear form $\langle x, y \rangle = \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{tr}(xy))$. An orthogonal basis is

$$\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Each has value -2 for \langle , \rangle , so the *signature* of \langle , \rangle on V is (0,3). The action of SU(2) on V by $g \cdot x = gxg^*$ preserves \langle , \rangle , because

$$\operatorname{tr}(gxg^* \cdot gyg^*) = \operatorname{tr}(g \cdot xy \cdot g^{-1}) = \operatorname{tr}(xy)$$

Thus, SU(2) maps to a copy of SO(3). The kernel is just $\{\pm 1\}$.

[2.2] $SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \to SO(2,1)$ The space V of 2-by-2 real matrices with trace 0, with symmetric bilinear form $\langle x, y \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(xy)$, has orthogonal basis

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The values of \langle, \rangle are respectively 2, 2, -2, giving *signature* (2, 1). The action of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ on V by $g \cdot x = gxg^{-1}$ preserves \langle, \rangle :

$$\langle g \cdot x, g \cdot y \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(gxg^{-1} \cdot gyg^{-1}) = \operatorname{tr}(g \cdot xy \cdot g^{-1}) = \operatorname{tr}(xy) = \langle x, y \rangle$$

Thus, $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ maps to a copy of SO(2,1). The kernel is just $\{\pm 1\}$.

[2.3]
$$SU(2) \times SU(2) \to SO(4)$$
 Let ^[5]
 $V = \{\text{complex 2-by-2 matrices } x : x^* = wx^\top w^{-1}\}$ (with $w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$)
 $= \{2\text{-by-2 complex matrices of the form } \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\overline{\beta} & \overline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}\}$

Let $(g,h) \in SU(2) \times SU(2)$ act by $(g,h) \cdot x = gxh^*$. Give V the bilinear form

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{tr}(xy^*))$$

$$a + bi + cj + dk \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a + bi & c + di \\ c - di & a - bi \end{pmatrix}$$

^[5] It is not a coincidence that the vectorspace is a standard model of the Hamiltonian quaternions:

For $g \in SU(2) \subset SL_2(\mathbb{R}), g^{-1} = wg^{\top}w^{-1}$, giving the stabilization of V by the group action:

$$w(gxh^*)^{\top}w^{-1} = w(h^*)^{\top}w^{-1} \cdot wx^{\top}w^{-1} \cdot wg^{\top}w^{-1} = (h^*)^{-1}x^*g^{-1} = hx^*g^* = (gxh^*)^*$$

The pairing is invariant under the group action:

$$\operatorname{tr}(gxh^{-1} \cdot w(gyh^{-1})^{\top}w^{-1}) = \operatorname{tr}(gxh^{-1} \cdot w(h^{-1})^{\top}w^{-1} \cdot wy^{\top}w^{-1} \cdot wg^{\top}w^{-1})$$

=
$$\operatorname{tr}(gxh^{-1} \cdot h \cdot wy^{\top}w^{-1} \cdot g^{-1}) = \operatorname{tr}(g \cdot xwy^{\top}w^{-1} \cdot g^{-1}) = \operatorname{tr}(xwy^{\top}w^{-1})$$

Computing

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\overline{\beta} & \overline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\overline{\beta} & \overline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \ = \ \mathrm{tr}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\overline{\beta} & \overline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\alpha} & -\beta \\ \overline{\beta} & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \right) \ = \ \mathrm{tr}\left(\begin{matrix} \alpha\overline{\alpha} + \beta\overline{\beta} & * \\ * & \alpha\overline{\alpha} + \beta\overline{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

an orthogonal basis is readily found: for example,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with \langle,\rangle values 2, 2, 2, 2.

[2.4] $SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \to SO(3,1)$ With

$$V = \{ \text{complex 2-by-2 matrices } x : x^* = wxw^{-1} \} \qquad (\text{with } w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix})$$
$$= \{ 2\text{-by-2 complex matrices of the form } \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & ib \\ ic & \overline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, b, c \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

use the \mathbb{R} -bilinear \mathbb{R} -valued form $\langle x, y \rangle = \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{tr}(x\overline{y}))$, where the overline denotes entry-wise complex conjugation. An orthogonal basis is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with \langle , \rangle values 2, 2, 2, -2. Thus, the signature of \langle , \rangle is 3, 1. The action $g \cdot x = gx\overline{g}^{-1}$ preserves the bilinear form $\langle x, y \rangle = \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{tr}(x\overline{y}))$ on the larger \mathbb{R} -vectorspace of *all* complex 2-by-2 matrices, since

$$\operatorname{tr}(gx\overline{g}^{-1}\cdot\overline{gy\overline{g}^{-1}}) = \operatorname{tr}(gx\overline{g}^{-1}\cdot\overline{gy}g^{-1}) = \operatorname{tr}(g\cdot x\overline{y}\cdot g^{-1}) = \operatorname{tr}(x\overline{y})$$

To check that $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ stabilizes V, recall that $g^{-1} = wg^{\top}w^{-1}$ for $g \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. For $y \in V$, by design,

$$(gy\overline{g}^{-1})^* = (\overline{g}^{-1})^* y^* g^* = (g^{\top})^{-1} \cdot wyw^{-1} \cdot \overline{g}^{\top} = w(g^{\top})^{\top} w^{-1} \cdot wyw^{-1} \cdot w\overline{g}^{-1}w^{-1}$$

$$= wgw^{-1} \cdot wyw^{-1} \cdot w(\overline{g}^{-1})w^{-1} = w(gy\overline{g}^{-1})w^{-1}$$

so $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ stabilizes V, and maps to a copy of SO(3,1). The kernel is just $\{\pm 1\}$.

[2.5] $SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \to SO(2,2)$ Let V be 2-by-2 real matrices, with $(g,h) \in SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ acting by $(g,h) \cdot x = gxh^{-1}$. Give V the bilinear form

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(x \cdot w y^{\top} w^{-1}) \qquad (\text{where } w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix})$$

It is symmetric because trace is invariant under transpose, and because $w^{-1} = -w$. For $g \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, $g^{-1} = wg^{\top}w^{-1}$, and the pairing is invariant under the group action:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}(gxh^{-1} \cdot w(gyh^{-1})^{\top}w^{-1}) &= \operatorname{tr}(gxh^{-1} \cdot w(h^{-1})^{\top}w^{-1} \cdot wy^{\top}w^{-1} \cdot wg^{\top}w^{-1}) \\ &= \operatorname{tr}(gxh^{-1} \cdot h \cdot wy^{\top}w^{-1} \cdot g^{-1}) &= \operatorname{tr}(g \cdot xwy^{\top}w^{-1} \cdot g^{-1}) &= \operatorname{tr}(xwy^{\top}w^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Computing

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \operatorname{tr}\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d' & -b' \\ -c' & a' \end{pmatrix} \right) = \operatorname{tr}\left(\begin{pmatrix} ad' - bc' & * \\ * & da' - cb' \end{pmatrix} = ad' - bc' - cb' + da'$$

an orthogonal basis is readily found: for example,^[6]

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with \langle , \rangle values 2, -2, 2, -2, giving the desired signature.

[2.6] $Sp^*(2,0) \to SO(5)$ Let \mathbb{H} be the Hamiltonian quaternions. One model of $G = Sp_2^* = Sp^*(2,0)$ is

$$Sp^*(2,0) = \{g \in GL_2(\mathbb{H}) : g^*g = 1_2\}$$

where $g^* = \overline{g}^{\top}$ with entry-wise quaternion conjugation. The \mathbb{R} -vectorspace V will be a subspace of the space $M_2(\mathbb{H})$ of 2-by-2 matrices with entries in \mathbb{H} . Let λ be the reduced trace

$$\lambda \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot (\alpha + \overline{\alpha} + \delta + \overline{\delta})$$

and on $M_2(\mathbb{H})$ let $\langle x, y \rangle = \lambda(xy)$. Let G act on $M_2(\mathbb{H})$ by $g \cdot x = gxg^*$. This action respects \langle, \rangle :

$$\langle g \cdot x, g \cdot y \rangle = \lambda (gxg^* \cdot gyg^*) = \lambda (g \cdot xy \cdot g^{-1}) = \lambda (xy)$$

Thus,

$$V = \{ y \in M_2(\mathbb{H}) : y^* = y \text{ and } \langle y, 1_2 \rangle = 0 \} = \{ \begin{pmatrix} a & \beta \\ \overline{\beta} & -a \end{pmatrix} : a \in \mathbb{R}, \ \beta \in \mathbb{H} \}$$

is stable under this action, and $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V = 5.$ An orthogonal basis is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & j \\ -j & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k \\ -k & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with values 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, giving the desired signature.

[2.7] $Sp^*(1,1) \to SO(4,1)$ One model of $G = Sp^*(1,1)$ is

$$Sp^*(1,1) = \{g \in GL_2(\mathbb{H}) : g^*Sg = S\}$$
 (with $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$)

^[6] One can also observe from this expression that the bilinear form is a sum of two *hyperbolic planes*, thus giving signature (2, 2) without further computation.

where $g^* = \overline{g}^{\top}$ with entry-wise quaternion conjugation. Let $g^{\sigma} = Sg^*S^{-1}$, so the defining condition is $g^{\sigma}g = 1_2$. The \mathbb{R} -vectorspace V will be a subspace of the space $M_2(\mathbb{H})$ of 2-by-2 matrices with entries in \mathbb{H} . Let $\langle x, y \rangle = \lambda(xy)$. Let G act on $M_2(\mathbb{H})$ by $g \cdot x = gxg^{\sigma}$. This action respects \langle , \rangle :

$$\langle g \cdot x, g \cdot y \rangle \ = \ \lambda(gxg^{\sigma} \cdot gyg^{\sigma}) \ = \ \lambda(g \cdot xy \cdot g^{\sigma}) \ = \ \lambda(g \cdot xy \cdot g^{-1}) \ = \ \lambda(xy) \ = \ \langle x, y \rangle$$

The $\mathbb R\text{-vectorspace}$ is

$$V = \{x \in M_2(\mathbb{H}) : x^{\sigma} = x \text{ and } \langle x, S \rangle = 0\} = \{\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & b \\ -b & \overline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} : \alpha \in \mathbb{H}, \ b \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

and is stable under the action, and $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V = 5$. An orthogonal basis is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} j & 0 \\ 0 & -j \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & -k \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with values 2, -2, -2, -2, -2, giving the desired signature.

[2.8] $Sp_2(\mathbb{R}) \to SO(3,2)$ The symplectic group is

$$Sp_2(\mathbb{R}) = \{g \in GL_4(\mathbb{R}) : g^\top Jg = J\} \qquad (\text{with } J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1\\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix})$$

Write $g^{\sigma} = Jg^{\top}J^{-1}$, so the condition can be rewritten as $g^{\sigma}g = 1_2$. The \mathbb{R} -vectorspace V will be a subspace of the space $M_4(\mathbb{R})$ of 4-by-4 real matrices. Let $\langle x, y \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(xy)$. Let $Sp_2(\mathbb{R})$ act on $M_4(\mathbb{R})$ by $g \cdot x = gxg^{\sigma}$. This action respects \langle , \rangle :

$$\langle g \cdot x, g \cdot y \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(gxg^{\sigma} \cdot gyg^{\sigma}) = \operatorname{tr}(g \cdot xy \cdot g^{-1}) = \operatorname{tr}(xy) = \langle x, y \rangle$$

Since $1_4 = g^{\sigma}g = g \, 1_4 \, g^{\sigma}$, the action has fixed-point 1_4 , and the subspace

$$V = \{ x \in M_4(\mathbb{R}) : x^{\sigma} = x \text{ and } \langle x, 1_4 \rangle = 0 \}$$

is stable under the action. In 2-by-2 blocks, the condition $x^{\sigma} = x$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^{\top} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a^{\top} & c^{\top} \\ b^{\top} & d^{\top} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d^{\top} & -b^{\top} \\ -c^{\top} & a^{\top} \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus, $d = a^{\top}$ and b, c are skew-symmetric. The condition $\langle x, 1_4 \rangle = 0$ requires that $\operatorname{tr}(a) = 0$. Thus, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V = 5$. The easily observed orthogonal basis

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & & \\ 0 & -1 & & \\ & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ 1 & 0 & & \\ & & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ -1 & 0 & & \\ & & & 0 & -1 \\ & & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & & \\ -1 & 0 & & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} & & 0 & 1 \\ & & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & & \\ 1 & 0 & & \end{pmatrix}$$

has \langle,\rangle values 4,4,-4,-4,4, giving signature 3,2.

[2.9] $SU(4) \to SO(6)$ Let e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 be the standard basis for \mathbb{C}^4 . Give $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4$ the \mathbb{C} -valued $SL_4(\mathbb{C})$ invariant symmetric form

$$\langle x \wedge y, z \wedge w \rangle \cdot e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4 = x \wedge y \wedge z \wedge w \qquad (\text{for } x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{C}^4)$$

A six-dimensional \mathbb{R} -subspace of $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4$ stable under SU(4) will be identified as the fixed vectors of an \mathbb{C} -conjugate-linear isomorphism $J : \mathbb{C}^4 \to \mathbb{C}^4$ commuting with SU(4), on which \langle, \rangle takes real values.

To make such J, use the positive-definite hermitian form $(x, y) = y^*x$ on \mathbb{C}^4 invariant under SU(4), giving a \mathbb{C} -conjugate-linear isomorphism $\mathbb{C}^4 \to (\mathbb{C}^4)^*$ by $x \to (y \to (y, x))$, which induces $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4 \to \bigwedge^2 (\mathbb{C}^{4*}) \approx (\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4)^*$. At the same time, the non-degenerate form \langle, \rangle on $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4$ gives a \mathbb{C} -linear isomorphism $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4 \to \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4$ by $v \to (w \to \langle w, v \rangle)$. Combining these,



with the right-to-left arrow a \mathbb{C} -conjugate-linear isomorphism, gives a \mathbb{C} -conjugate-linear isomorphism J of $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4$ to itself. Since SU(4) respects both \langle, \rangle and \langle, \rangle , the map J commutes with SU(4). This is noted element-wise below.

We can track basis elements $e_k \wedge e_\ell$ under J. Since functionals $\langle -, e_1 \wedge e_2 \rangle$ and $(-, e_3) \wedge (-, e_4)$ both compute the $e_3 \wedge e_4$ component of $\sum_{k < \ell} c_{k\ell} e_k \wedge e_\ell$, we have $J(e_1 \wedge e_2) = e_3 \wedge e_4$. That J commutes with the action of $g \in SU(4)$ can be made explicit:

$$g \cdot (e_1 \wedge e_2) \to \langle -, g(e_1 \wedge e_2) \rangle = \langle g^{-1}(-), e_1 \wedge e_2 \rangle = g^{-1} \circ \langle -, e_1 \wedge e_2 \rangle = g^{-1} \circ (-, e_3) \wedge (-, e_4)$$
$$= (g^{-1}(-), e_3) \wedge (g^{-1}(-), e_4) = (-, ge_3) \wedge (-, ge_4) \to ge_3 \wedge ge_4 = g \cdot (e_3 \wedge e_4) = g \cdot J(e_1 \wedge e_2)$$

A similar computation gives $J(e_3 \wedge e_4) = e_1 \wedge e_2$. Since $(,) \wedge (,)$ is conjugate-linear,

$$ie_1 \wedge e_2 \rightarrow i\langle -, e_1 \wedge e_2 \rangle \rightarrow i(-, e_3) \wedge (-, e_4) = (-, (-i)e_3) \wedge (-, e_4) \rightarrow -ie_3 \wedge e_4$$

and $J(ie_3 \wedge e_4) = -ie_1 \wedge e_2$. Thus, on the real four-dimensional space with basis

$$e_1 \wedge e_2$$
 $e_3 \wedge e_4$ $ie_1 \wedge e_2$ $ie_3 \wedge e_4$

the map J is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus, $J^2 = 1$ on this subspace, and this subspace has ± 1 eigenspaces of equal dimension. Similarly, functionals $(-1)\langle -, e_1 \wedge e_3 \rangle$ and $(-, e_2) \wedge (-, e_4)$ both compute the $e_2 \wedge e_4$ component, and $(-1)\langle -, e_2 \wedge e_4 \rangle$ and $(-, e_1) \wedge (-, e_3)$ both compute the $e_1 \wedge e_3$ component, so, noting the signs,

$$J(e_1 \wedge e_3) = -e_2 \wedge e_4 \qquad J(ie_1 \wedge e_3) = ie_2 \wedge e_4 \qquad J(e_2 \wedge e_4) = -e_1 \wedge e_3 \qquad J(ie_2 \wedge e_4) = ie_1 \wedge e_3$$

Thus, $J^2 = 1$ on this subspace, and this subspace has ± 1 eigenspaces of equal dimension. Functionals $\langle -, e_1 \wedge e_4 \rangle$ and $(-, e_2) \wedge (-, e_3)$ both compute the $e_2 \wedge e_3$ component, and symmetrically, so

$$J(e_1 \wedge e_4) = e_2 \wedge e_3 \qquad J(ie_1 \wedge e_4) = -ie_2 \wedge e_3 \qquad J(e_2 \wedge e_3) = e_1 \wedge e_4 \qquad J(ie_2 \wedge e_3) = -ie_1 \wedge e_4$$

Again, $J^2 = 1$ on this subspace, and this subspace has ± 1 eigenspaces of equal dimension. An orthogonal basis for the +1-eigenspace for J is

$$e_1 \wedge e_2 + e_3 \wedge e_4 \qquad ie_1 \wedge e_2 - ie_3 \wedge e_4 \qquad e_1 \wedge e_3 - e_2 \wedge e_3 \qquad ie_1 \wedge e_3 + ie_2 \wedge e_3 \qquad e_1 \wedge e_4 + e_2 \wedge e_3 \qquad ie_1 \wedge e_4 - ie_2 \wedge e_3 \qquad e_3 \wedge e_4 + e_2 \wedge e_3 \qquad e_1 \wedge e_4 - ie_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4 + e_4 \wedge e_4 + e_3 \wedge e_4 + e_4 \wedge e_4$$

with \langle, \rangle values 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2.

[2.10] $SL_2(\mathbb{H}) \to SO(5,1)$ Imbed $\mathbb{H} \subset M_2(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$a+bi+cj+dk \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a+bi & c+dj \\ -c+di & a-bi \end{pmatrix}$$
 (with $a,b,c,d \in \mathbb{R}$)

Note the characterization

$$\mathbb{H} = \{ x \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) : \overline{x} = wxw^{-1} \} \qquad (\text{with } w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix})$$

Thus, identify

$$SL_2(\mathbb{H}) = \{g \in SL_4(\mathbb{C}) : \overline{g} = WgW^{-1}\}$$
 (where $W = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & \\ 1 & 0 & \\ & 0 & -1 \\ & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$)

where $g \to \overline{g}$ is entry-wise conjugation. Let e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 be the standard basis for \mathbb{C}^4 , and give $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4$ the \mathbb{C} -valued $SL_4(\mathbb{C})$ -invariant symmetric form

$$\langle x \wedge y, z \wedge w \rangle \cdot e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4 = x \wedge y \wedge z \wedge w$$
 (for $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{C}^4$)

A six-dimensional \mathbb{R} -subspace of $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4$ stable under SU(4) will be identified as the fixed vectors of an \mathbb{C} -conjugate-linear isomorphism $J : \mathbb{C}^4 \to \mathbb{C}^4$ commuting with $SL_2(\mathbb{H})$, on which \langle , \rangle takes real values.

Define conjugate-linear $J: \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4 \to \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4$ by

$$J(x \wedge y) = W\overline{x} \wedge W\overline{y}$$

By design, J commutes with the action of $g \in SL_2(\mathbb{H})$:

$$g \cdot J(x \wedge y) = gW\overline{x} \wedge gW\overline{y} = W\overline{W^{-1}\overline{g}Wx} \wedge W\overline{W^{-1}\overline{g}Wy} = W\overline{gx} \wedge W\overline{gy} = J(g \cdot x \wedge y)$$

The effect of J on $e_k \wedge e_\ell$ and $ie_k \wedge e_\ell$ is readily computed, since $We_1 = e_2$, $We_2 = -e_1$, $We_3 = e_4$, and $We_4 = -e_3$:

$$J(e_1 \wedge e_2) = -e_2 \wedge e_1 = e_1 \wedge e_2 \qquad \qquad J(e_3 \wedge e_4) = -e_4 \wedge e_3 = e_3 \wedge e_4$$

while

$$J(e_1 \wedge e_3) = e_2 \wedge e_4$$
 $J(e_2 \wedge e_4) = -e_1 \wedge -e_3 = e_1 \wedge e_3$

and

$$J(e_1 \wedge e_4) = e_2 \wedge -e_3 = -e_2 \wedge e_3$$
 $J(e_2 \wedge e_3) = -e_1 \wedge e_4$

Visibly, $J^2 = 1$ on these vectors. Since J is conjugate-linear, we have $J^2 = 1$. An orthogonal basis for +1 eigenvectors is

$$e_1 \wedge e_2 + e_3 \wedge e_4 \qquad e_1 \wedge e_2 - e_3 \wedge e_4 \qquad e_1 \wedge e_3 + e_2 \wedge e_4 \qquad ie_1 \wedge e_3 - ie_2 \wedge e_4 \qquad e_1 \wedge e_4 - e_2 \wedge e_3 \qquad ie_1 \wedge e_4 + ie_2 \wedge e_3$$
with \langle, \rangle values 2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2.

[2.11] $SU(2,2) \rightarrow SO(4,2)$ One model of SU(2,2) is

$$SU(2,2) = \{g \in SL_4(\mathbb{C}) : g^*Sg = S\}$$
 (where $S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & -1 \end{pmatrix}$)

Again, with e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 the standard basis for \mathbb{C}^4 , give $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4$ the \mathbb{C} -valued symmetric form

$$\langle x \wedge y, z \wedge w \rangle \cdot e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4 = x \wedge y \wedge z \wedge w \qquad (\text{for } x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{C}^4)$$

A six-dimensional \mathbb{R} -subspace of $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4$ stable under SU(2,2) will be identified as the fixed vectors of an \mathbb{C} -conjugate-linear isomorphism $J : \mathbb{C}^4 \to \mathbb{C}^4$ commuting with SU(2,2), and on which \langle,\rangle takes real values.

Use the non-degenerate hermitian form

$$(x,y) = y^*Sx$$

on \mathbb{C}^4 invariant under SU(2,2), giving \mathbb{C} -conjugate-linear isomorphism $\mathbb{C}^4 \to (\mathbb{C}^4)^*$ by $x \to (y \to (y,x))$, which induces $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4 \to \bigwedge^2 (\mathbb{C}^{4*}) \approx (\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4)^*$. At the same time, the non-degenerate form \langle, \rangle on $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4$ gives a \mathbb{C} -linear isomorphism $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4 \to \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4$ by $v \to (w \to \langle w, v \rangle)$. Combining these,

$$\bigwedge^{2} \mathbb{C}^{4} \xrightarrow{\langle,\rangle} (\bigwedge^{2} \mathbb{C}^{4})^{*} \xrightarrow{\approx} \bigwedge^{2} (\mathbb{C}^{4*})^{\langle,\rangle \land \langle,\rangle} \bigwedge^{2} \mathbb{C}^{4}$$

with the right-to-left arrow a \mathbb{C} -conjugate-linear isomorphism, gives a \mathbb{C} -conjugate-linear isomorphism J of $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4$ to itself. Since SU(2) respects both \langle,\rangle and \langle,\rangle , the map J commutes with SU(2). This is noted element-wise below. It is important to check that $J^2 = 1$.

Tracking $e_k \wedge e_\ell$ and $ie_k \wedge e_\ell$ under J is nearly identical to that for SU(4), with important sign flips.

Functionals $\langle -, e_1 \wedge e_2 \rangle$ and $(-, e_3) \wedge (-, e_4)$ both compute the $e_3 \wedge e_4$ component of $\sum_{k < \ell} c_{k\ell} e_k \wedge e_\ell$. The two sign flips from $(e_3, e_3) = -1$ and $(e_4, e_4) = -1$ cancel. Thus, $J(e_1 \wedge e_2) = e_3 \wedge e_4$. A similar computation gives $J(e_3 \wedge e_4) = e_1 \wedge e_2$. Since $(,) \wedge (,)$ is conjugate-linear, $J(ie_1 \wedge e_2) = -ie_3 \wedge e_4$ and and $J(ie_3 \wedge e_4) = -ie_1 \wedge e_2$. Thus, on the real four-dimensional space with basis

$$e_1 \wedge e_2$$
 $e_3 \wedge e_4$ $ie_1 \wedge e_2$ $ie_3 \wedge e_4$

the map J is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus, $J^2 = 1$ on this subspace, and this subspace has ± 1 eigenspaces of equal dimension. This part is identical to that for SU(2).

Functionals $(-1)\langle -, e_1 \wedge e_3 \rangle$ and $(-1)(-, e_2) \wedge (-, e_4)$ both compute the $e_2 \wedge e_4$ component, with sign flip due to $(e_4, e_4) = -1$. Similarly, $(-1)\langle -, e_2 \wedge e_4 \rangle$ and $(-1)(-, e_1) \wedge (-, e_3)$ both compute the $e_1 \wedge e_3$ component, with $(e_3, e_3) = -1$. Noting the signs,

$$J(e_1 \wedge e_3) = e_2 \wedge e_4 \qquad J(ie_1 \wedge e_3) = -ie_2 \wedge e_4 \qquad J(e_2 \wedge e_4) = e_1 \wedge e_3 \qquad J(ie_2 \wedge e_4) = -ie_1 \wedge e_3$$

Thus, $J^2 = 1$ on this subspace, with ± 1 eigenspaces of equal dimension. Functionals $\langle -, e_1 \wedge e_4 \rangle$ and $(-1)(-, e_2) \wedge (-, e_3)$ both compute the $e_2 \wedge e_3$ component, so

$$J(e_1 \wedge e_4) = -e_2 \wedge e_3 \qquad J(ie_1 \wedge e_4) = -ie_2 \wedge e_3$$

Functionals $\langle -, e_2 \wedge e_3 \rangle$ and $(-1)(-, e_1) \wedge (-, e_4)$ both compute the $e_1 \wedge e_4$ component, so

$$J(e_2 \wedge e_3) = -e_1 \wedge e_4 \qquad J(ie_2 \wedge e_3) = ie_1 \wedge e_4$$

Again, $J^2 = 1$ on this subspace, with ± 1 eigenspaces of equal dimension. An orthogonal basis for the +1-eigenspace for J is

$$e_1 \wedge e_2 + e_3 \wedge e_4 \qquad ie_1 \wedge e_2 - ie_3 \wedge e_4 \qquad e_1 \wedge e_3 + e_2 \wedge e_3 \qquad ie_1 \wedge e_3 - ie_2 \wedge e_3 \qquad e_1 \wedge e_4 - e_2 \wedge e_3 \qquad ie_1 \wedge e_4 + ie_2 \wedge e_3 \qquad e_1 \wedge e_4 + ie_2 \wedge e_4 \qquad e_2 \wedge e_4 + ie_2 \wedge e_4 \qquad e_2 \wedge e_4 + ie_2 \wedge e_4 \qquad e_3 \wedge e_4 + ie_2 \wedge e_4 \qquad e_4 \wedge e_4 + ie_4 \wedge e_4 + ie_4 \wedge e_4 + ie_4 \wedge e_4 \quad e_4 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_4 \quad e_4 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_4 \quad e_4 \wedge e_4$$

The last four have sign flips in comparison to the analogous basis for SU(4), giving \langle , \rangle values 2, 2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2.

[2.12] $SL_4(\mathbb{R}) \to SO(3,3)$ This is just the obvious real form of the isogeny for $SL_4(\mathbb{C})$ above. Let $SL_4(\mathbb{R})$ act in the natural way on the six-dimensional vectorspace $V = \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}^4$, namely, $g \cdot (v \wedge w) = gv \wedge gw$. Let e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 be the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^4 , and define \langle , \rangle on V by

$$x \wedge y = \langle x, y \rangle \cdot e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4 \qquad (\text{with } x, y \in \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}^4)$$

This form is *symmetric* because an even number of transpositions reverses the arguments:

$$(x \wedge y) \wedge (z \wedge w) = -x \wedge z \wedge y \wedge w = x \wedge z \wedge w \wedge y = -z \wedge x \wedge w \wedge y$$
$$= -z \wedge x \wedge w \wedge y = (z \wedge w) \wedge (x \wedge y) \qquad (\text{for } x, y, z, y \in \mathbb{R}^4)$$

The form is invariant under the action because

$$\langle g \cdot (x \wedge y), g \cdot (z \wedge w) \rangle \cdot e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4 = gx \wedge gy \wedge gz \wedge gw = \det g \cdot x \wedge y \wedge z \wedge w$$
$$= \det g \cdot \langle x \wedge y, z \wedge w \rangle \cdot e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4$$

To check non-degeneracy, observe

$$\langle e_1 \wedge e_2, e_3 \wedge e_4 \rangle = 1$$
 $\langle e_1 \wedge e_3, e_2 \wedge e_4 \rangle = -1$ $\langle e_1 \wedge e_4, e_2 \wedge e_3 \rangle = 1$

while $\langle e_i \wedge e_j, e_k \wedge e_\ell \rangle = 0$ when $\{i, j\} \cap \{k, \ell\} \neq \phi$. Thus, an orthogonal basis is

$$(e_1 \wedge e_2) \pm (e_3 \wedge e_4)$$
 $(e_1 \wedge e_3) \pm (e_2 \wedge e_4)$ $(e_1 \wedge e_4) \pm (e_2 \wedge e_3)$

with \langle,\rangle values $\pm 2, \pm 2, \pm 2$.

[2.13] Why not SU(3,1)?^[7] One model of SU(3,1) is

$$SU(3,1) = \{g \in SL_4(\mathbb{C}) : g^*Sg = S\}$$
 (where $S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & -1 \end{pmatrix}$)

We could attempt the same procedure for SU(3, 1) as for SU(4), $SL_2(\mathbb{H})$, and SU(2, 2), by arranging a conjugate-linear map J on $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4$ and commuting with SU(3, 1), and hoping that the $SL_4(\mathbb{C})$ -invariant \mathbb{C} -valued form \langle,\rangle on $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4$ is real-valued on J-eigenspaces. Indeed, the same diagrammatic description of J produces a conjugate-linear map J commuting with SU(3, 1), so SU(3, 1) stabilizes eigenspaces of J.

However, $J^2 = -1$, not +1, on \mathbb{C}^4 :

The functionals $\langle -, e_1 \wedge e_2 \rangle$ and $(-1)(-, e_3) \wedge (-, e_4)$ both compute the $e_3 \wedge e_4$ component, so

$$J(e_1 \wedge e_2) = -e_3 \wedge e_4$$

^[7] Also, as S. Zemel notes, the maximal compact $S(U(3) \times U(1))$ of SU(3,1) has dimension 9, which is not the dimension $\frac{p(p-1)}{2} + \frac{q(q-1)}{2}$ of the maximal compact $O(p) \times O(q)$ of O(p,q) for any p+q=6.

while $\langle -, e_3 \wedge e_4 \rangle$ and $(-, e_1) \wedge (-, e_2)$ both compute the $e_1 \wedge e_2$ component, so

$$J(e_3 \wedge e_4) = e_1 \wedge e_2$$

Similarly, $(-1)\langle -, e_1 \wedge e_3 \rangle$ and $(-1)(-, e_2) \wedge (-, e_4)$ both compute the $e_2 \wedge e_4$ component, so

$$J(e_1 \wedge e_3) = e_2 \wedge e_4$$

while $(-1)\langle -, e_2 \wedge e_4 \rangle$ and $(-, e_1) \wedge (-, e_3)$ both compute the $e_1 \wedge e_3$ component, giving

$$J(e_2 \wedge e_4) = -e_1 \wedge e_3$$

Functionals $\langle -, e_1 \wedge e_4 \rangle$ and $(-, e_2) \wedge (-, e_3)$ both compute the $e_2 \wedge e_3$ component, so

$$J(e_1 \wedge e_4) = e_3 \wedge e_3$$

while $\langle -, e_2 \wedge e_3 \rangle$ and $(-1)(-, e_1) \wedge (-, e_4)$ both compute the $e_1 \wedge e_4$ component, so

$$J(e_2 \wedge e_3) = -e_1 \wedge e_4$$

Thus, $J^2 = -1$, not +1, on $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4$. Thus, the only possible eigenvalues are $\pm i$.

Nevertheless, any *J*-eigenspace inside the \mathbb{R} -vectorspace $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4$ is stabilized by SU(3,1). But the conjugatelinearity of *J* shows that there cannot be $\pm i$ -eigenvalues in $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4$: if Jv = iv, then

$$-v = J^2 v = J(iv) = -iJv = (-i)iv = v$$

Thus, this device has failed to produce SU(3,1)-stable proper \mathbb{R} -subspaces of $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4$.

3. Appendix: isomorphism classes of forms over \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{R}

For convenience, we recall a classification over \mathbb{C} and over \mathbb{R} : as elaborated below, *dimension* is the only invariant of non-degenerate symmetric bilinear forms over \mathbb{C} , and *signature* is the only invariant over \mathbb{R} .

A vector space V with a symmetric bilinear form over a field is *non-degenerate* when, for every $v \neq 0$ in V, there is $w \in V$ such that $\langle v, w \rangle \neq 0$.

The corresponding *orthogonal group* is the isometry group

 $\{g \in \operatorname{Aut}_k(V) : \langle gv, gw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle, \text{ for all } v, w \in V \}$

A basis $\{v_i\}$ is orthogonal when $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

[3.1] Non-degenerate forms over \mathbb{C} classified by dimension We claim that for a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear \mathbb{C} -valued form \langle , \rangle on a finite-dimensional \mathbb{C} -vectorspace V, there is an orthogonal basis v_1, \ldots, v_n such that $\langle v_i, v_i \rangle = 1$ for all i.

Given $v \neq 0$ in V, when $\langle v, v \rangle \neq 0$. Replace v by $v_1 = v/\sqrt{\langle v, v \rangle}$ with either square root, to arrange $\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle = 1$. When $\langle v, v \rangle = 0$, use non-degeneracy to obtain w such that $\langle v, w \rangle \neq 0$. In case $\langle w, w \rangle \neq 0$, we are in the first case, and if $\langle w, w \rangle = 0$, then $\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = 2 \neq 0$, and again we are back to the first case.

That is, there is a vector with $\langle v, v \rangle = 1$.

To complete the induction argument, show that for $\langle v, v \rangle = 1$ the orthogonal complement

$$v^{\perp} = \{ w \in V : \langle v, w \rangle = 0 \}$$

is non-degenerate. Indeed, given $0 \neq v' \in v^{\perp}$, let $w \in V$ such that $\langle v', w \rangle \neq 0$. Retain this property while adjusting w to be in v^{\perp} by replacing it by $w - \langle w, v \rangle$.

Thus, dimension is the only isomorphism-class invariant of non-degenerate symmetric bilinear forms over \mathbb{C} , or over any algebraically closed field of characteristic not 2. The standard model is

$$O(n,\mathbb{C}) = \{g \in GL_n(\mathbb{C}) : g^{\top}g = 1_n\}$$

[3.2] Non-degenerate forms over \mathbb{R} classified by signature We claim that for non-degenerate \mathbb{R} -valued symmetric bilinear form \langle , \rangle on a finite-dimensional \mathbb{C} -vectorspace V, there are non-negative integers p, q and an orthogonal basis $v_1, \ldots, v_p, w_1, \ldots, w_q$ such that that $\langle v_i, v_i \rangle = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $\langle w_j, w_j \rangle = -1$ for $1 \leq j \leq q$.

This is Sylvester's law of inertia. The pair (p,q) is the signature. The standard model is

$$O(p,q) = \{g \in GL_{p+q}(\mathbb{R}) : g^{\top}Qg = Q\} \qquad (\text{where } Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1_p & 0\\ 0 & -1_q \end{pmatrix})$$

Given $v \neq 0$, when $\langle v, v \rangle \neq 0$, replacing v by $v/\sqrt{|\langle v, v \rangle|}$ gives $\langle v, v \rangle = \pm 1$. When $\langle v, v \rangle = 0$, there is w such that $\langle v, w \rangle \neq 0$. In case $\langle w, w \rangle \neq 0$, we are back to the first case. When $\langle w, w \rangle = 0$, $\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = 2 \neq 0$, and again we are back to the first case.

Thus, there is v with $\langle v, v \rangle = \pm 1$.

An argument nearly identical to the complex case shows that v^{\perp} is non-degenerate, so and induction gives *existence* of a signature.

For uniqueness, let a totally isotropic subspace W of V be a subspace on which $\langle , \rangle = 0$, that is, $\langle w, w' \rangle = 0$ for all $w, w' \in W$. A maximal totally isotropic subspace is also called Lagrangian.

We claim that all Lagrangian subspaces W have the same dimension. Uniqueness of signature will follow from showing this common dimension is min (p, q).

A reformulation of the definition of maximal totally isotropic is that W^{\perp} is just W itself. Thus, for W' another maximal totally isotropic subspace, the non-degenerate \langle, \rangle gives a non-degenerate pairing of $W/(W \cap W')$ and $W'/(W \cap W')$. A non-degenerate pairing between finite-dimensional vectorspaces gives an isomorphism of each to the dual of the other, so the dimensions are equal.

Next, given a totally isotropic subspace W, there is another totally isotropic subspace W' such that \langle, \rangle is non-degenerate on W + W'. Indeed, given $w_1 \in W$, find w'_1 such that $\langle w_1, w'_1 \rangle \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, $\langle w'_1, w'_1 \rangle = 0$, since otherwise replace w'_1 by $w'_1 - \frac{1}{2} \langle w'_1, w'_1 \rangle \cdot w_1$. As above, $(\mathbb{R}w_1 + \mathbb{R}w'_1)^{\perp}$ is non-degenerate, and $W \cap (\mathbb{R}w_1 + \mathbb{R}w'_1)^{\perp}$ is codimension 1 inside W. Thus, an induction chooses a basis w'_1, \ldots, w'_m for another totally isotropic subspace W, with $\langle w_i, w'_i \rangle = 1$ for all i, and $\langle w_i, w'_i \rangle = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

Thus, given a Lagrangian subspace W, there are corresponding $w_1, w'_1, \ldots, w_m, w'_m$, and the collection $w_i \pm w'_i$ gives an orthogonal basis for the span of W + W' with m positive and m negative values. Thus, $\min(p, q) \ge m$.

On the other hand, taking $p \ge q$ and orthogonal basis $v_1, \ldots, v_p, w_1, \ldots, w_q$ as above, $v_1 + w_1, \ldots, v_q + w_q$ spans a totally isotropic subspace. This gives the opposite inequality, proving that min (p,q) is the (common) dimension of Lagrangian subspaces.