

# Problem Set 1, Math8601-Real Analysis

Assigned on Friday, Sept 17; Due on Friday, Sept 24. Autumn 2004

“Real Analysis for Real People in Real Time” – Jackie Shen ©

- (1) (Set Theory Meets Bioinformatics; 20pts) Let  $X = \{\text{all expressed human genes: past, present, and future}\}$ . Take a reference initial year in history, say 100,000 years ago, and label it as  $n = 1$ . Then let  $n$  increase in years. Define for each  $n$ ,

$$E_n = \{\text{all expressed human genes in the reference year } n\} \subseteq X.$$

As in the lecture, define the limit superior and inferior by

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k \geq n} E_k, \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k \geq n} E_k.$$

(1.a) Use plain English to explain *separately* the meaning that a particular gene (i.e., an active segment of DNAs)  $g \in \limsup_n E_n$ , and  $g \in \liminf_n E_n$ . For example, which scenario corresponds to the situation when the gene  $g$  emerges infinitely often (maybe on and off)? (1.b) Suppose Darwin tells you that a particular gene  $f$  is never expressed in all reference years  $n$  that are multiples of 11 (i.e.,  $n=11, 22, \dots$ ), what can you say *for sure* regarding the relationship between  $f$  and the above two limit sets?

- (2) (Sets v.s. Numbers; 10pts) Suppose  $a, b, c$  are three real numbers. Then the distributive law holds:  $a \times (b + c) = a \times b + a \times c$ . Applying the following number-set correspondence

$$a, b, c \leftrightarrow A, B, C, \quad + \leftrightarrow \cup, \quad \text{and} \quad \times \leftrightarrow \cap,$$

Lisa conjectures that  $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$ . Can you help her prove it using definitions?

- (3) ( $\sigma$ -Algebra; 20pts) (3.a) Given a set  $X$ , define a collection of two subsets:  $\Sigma_0 = \{\emptyset, X\}$ . Show that  $\Sigma_0$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. (3.b) Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{\emptyset\}$  denote the collection only containing the empty set. What is the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  generated by  $\mathcal{A}$ ? (3.c) Suppose  $x \in X$  and  $X$  contains at least two elements. Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\{x\}\}$  denote the collection only containing the singleton set  $\{x\}$ . What is the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{B}}$  generated by  $\mathcal{B}$ ?
- (4) ( $\sigma$ -Algebra v.s. a Drunk’s Random Walk; 10pt) Imagine a 1-D creature named Jackie who can only appear on the sites of the integer set  $\mathbb{Z}$ . One day after getting drunken at a bar located at  $n = 0$ , Jackie starts to walk home. Since he is drunken, his walking manner is random as follows: suppose currently (at time  $t$ ) Jackie is at a general site  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then at the next time step  $t + 1$ ,

40% chance moving to  $m + 1$ , 40% chance moving to  $m - 1$ , and 20% remaining at  $m$ .

The good news is that *Real Analysis* spares you from studying such unpredictable random manner. You are asked to study it in *Probability Theory* or *Stochastic Modelling*. However here are some  $\sigma$ -algebras that have been precisely motivated by Jackie’s random walk. For each time step  $t$  ( $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ), define a collection  $\Sigma_t$  of subsets (or “events” as in probability)

$$\Sigma_t = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \mid A \text{ or } A^c \subseteq [-t, t]\}.$$

Show that (4.a) as time evolves forward, the world of events expands:  $\Sigma_t \subseteq \Sigma_{t+1}$  for any  $t$ ; and (4.b) each world  $\Sigma_t$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

- (5) (Many Ways to Generate Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra; 20pts) Consider all real numbers  $X = \mathbb{R}$ . Define the following two collections of subsets based on intervals:

$$\mathcal{A} = \{[a, b] \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B} = \{(a, b] \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b\}.$$

Let  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{B}}$  denote separately the  $\sigma$ -algebras generated by  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ . Show that  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} = \Sigma_{\mathcal{B}}$ . (Hint: by direct construction show that  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \Sigma_{\mathcal{B}}$ .) This  $\sigma$ -algebra is called the Borel algebra on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- (6) (Dirac’s Delta; 10pts) Given a nonempty set  $X$  and any of its element  $x_0$ , the Dirac (yes, the giant in *quantum mechanics*) delta measure  $\delta_0$  is defined by

$$\delta_0(A) = 1, \quad \text{if } x_0 \in A; \quad 0, \quad \text{otherwise,}$$

for any subset  $A$  in the power  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma = 2^X$ . Verify that  $\delta_0$  is indeed a measure.

- (7) (Countable Additivity; 10pts) Let  $X = \mathbb{N}$  and  $\Sigma = 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Define  $\mu(A) = 0$  if  $A$  is a finite subset, and  $\mu(A) = \infty$  if  $A$  is an infinite set. Show that  $\mu$  satisfies the *finite-additivity* condition but NOT *countable additivity*, and is thus not a measure.