

Ask! Indicate your approach! Show your work! Good Luck! There are 2 pages, and 50 points.

(1) [11] Use the *Completeness Axiom* to prove that  $\mathbb{N}$  is not bounded above.

Suppose instead that  $\mathbb{N}$  is bounded above. Then since  $0 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}$  is non-empty and bounded above, so  $\sigma := \sup \mathbb{N}$  exists in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\sigma - 1 < \sigma$ ,  $\sigma - 1$  cannot be an upper bound for  $\mathbb{N}$ , so there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m > \sigma - 1$ . But then  $m + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$  since  $\mathbb{N}$  is inductive. However,  $m + 1 > \sigma$ , which contradicts the fact that  $\sigma$  is an upper bound for  $\mathbb{N}$ . This contradiction means that  $\mathbb{N}$  was not bounded, after all.

(2) [11] Use (and cite!) axioms to prove that for all real numbers  $x$  and  $y$ ,  $-(x - y) = y - x$ .

We know that there exists  $w \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $(x - y) + w = 0$  (Axiom on existence of add. inverses).

By a Theorem we proved, additive inverses are unique.

We define  $x - y$  by  $x + (-y)$ , where  $-y$  is the unique additive inverse of  $y$ .

We show now that  $(x - y) + (y - x) = 0$ , which by uniqueness shows  $y - x = w = -(x - y)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (x - y) + (y - x) &= (x + (-y)) + (y - x) && \text{Definition and Subst.} \\ &= x + ((-y) + (y - x)) && \text{Assoc.} \\ &= x + ((-y) + (y + (-x))) && \text{Definition and Subst.} \\ &= x + (((-y) + y) + (-x)) && \text{Assoc.} \\ &= x + ((y + (-y)) + (-x)) && \text{Commut.} \\ &= x + (0 + (-x)) && \text{Add. Inv. and Subst.} \\ &= x + (-x) && \text{Add. Ident. and Subst.} \\ &= 0 && \text{Add. Inv. and Subst.} \\ \Rightarrow y - x &= -(x - y) && \text{Uniqueness of Add. Inv.} \end{aligned}$$

(3) [11] Let  $f(x) = \frac{x^2 - 9}{x^3 - 27}$  if  $x \neq 3$ . Define  $f(3)$  so that  $f$  is continuous at 3, if possible. Justify your answer in some, but not excessive, detail!

$$\text{If } x \neq 3, \quad f(x) = \frac{x^2 - 9}{x^3 - 27} = \frac{(x - 3)(x + 3)}{(x - 3)(x^2 + x3 + 3^2)} = \frac{x + 3}{x^2 + 3x + 9} =: g(x).$$

The denominator is never zero, and is continuous; the numerator is continuous. Thus  $g(x)$  is continuous so

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 3} f(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 3} \frac{x + 3}{x^2 + 3x + 9} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 3} g(x) = g(3) = \frac{3 + 3}{3^2 + 3 \cdot 3 + 9} = 2/9.$$

If we now define  $f(3) = 2/9$ ,  $f$  is continuous at 3.

(4) [11] Given that  $f(x) = x$  is continuous at  $a$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , use theorems and induction (starting at 1) to prove that  $x^{-n}$  is continuous at  $a$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , for all positive integers  $n$ . Identify or state theorems you use.

When  $a \neq 0$ ,  $1/x$  is continuous at  $a$ . This is our  $P(1)$ . If  $P(n)$  is true, then  $1/x^{n+1} = (1/x^n)(1/x)$  is the product of two functions continuous at  $a \neq 0$ , hence is continuous at  $a \neq 0$ .

(5) [6] State the Squeeze, or Sandwich, Theorem.

If  $f(x) \rightarrow L$  and  $h(x) \rightarrow L$  as  $x \rightarrow a$  and  $f(x) \leq g(x) \leq h(x)$  near  $a$ , then  $g(x) \rightarrow L$  as  $x \rightarrow a$ .