

**First alternate proof**

The idea for our first alternate proof is the Cauchy Inequality  $|ab| \leq \frac{a^2 + b^2}{2}$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are real numbers.

We suppose that  $v$  and  $w$  belong to an inner product space  $V$ . We have to show that  $|\langle v, w \rangle| \leq \|v\|\|w\|$ . We will deal with the case of equality as well. As we did in class, if one of  $v$  and  $w$  is zero, the result is easy to prove. Hence we assume that neither of  $v$  and  $w$  is zero. We can find an “angle”  $\theta$  so that  $\langle v, w \rangle = |\langle v, w \rangle|e^{i\theta}$  (if  $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$  we choose  $\theta = 0$ ). Then (trick coming!)

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \|v - e^{i\theta}w\|^2 = \|v\|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re} \langle v, e^{i\theta}w \rangle + \|w\|^2 \\
 &= \|v\|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re} e^{-i\theta} \langle v, w \rangle + \|w\|^2 \\
 (1) \quad &= \|v\|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re} e^{-i\theta} (|\langle v, w \rangle|e^{i\theta}) + \|w\|^2 \\
 &= \|v\|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re} |\langle v, w \rangle| + \|w\|^2 \\
 &= \|v\|^2 - 2|\langle v, w \rangle| + \|w\|^2, \quad \text{so that } |\langle v, w \rangle| \leq \frac{\|v\|^2 + \|w\|^2}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This is not quite what we wanted. So (trick coming!) we *normalize*  $v$  and  $w$  by defining  $v' := \frac{v}{\|v\|}$  and  $w' := \frac{w}{\|w\|}$

$$(2) \quad \text{and notice that } |\langle v', w' \rangle| \leq \frac{\|v'\|^2 + \|w'\|^2}{2} = 1 \quad (\text{why?!}). \text{ But then}$$

$$1 \geq |\langle v', w' \rangle| = \left| \left\langle \frac{v}{\|v\|}, \frac{w}{\|w\|} \right\rangle \right| = \frac{|\langle v, w \rangle|}{\|v\|\|w\|}, \quad \text{and thus } |\langle v, w \rangle| \leq \|v\|\|w\|.$$

As done in class, direct calculation shows that if  $v = zw$  then  $|\langle v, w \rangle| = \|v\|\|w\|$ . Next, if we are instead only given that  $|\langle v, w \rangle| = \|v\|\|w\|$ , we notice that this means that  $|\langle v', w' \rangle| = \|v'\|\|w'\| = 1$ . Hence in (2)

$$1 = \frac{\|v'\|^2 + \|w'\|^2}{2} = |\langle v', w' \rangle| = e^{-i\theta} \langle v', w' \rangle \quad \text{and therefore}$$

$$(3) \quad 0 = \|v'\|^2 + \|w'\|^2 - 2|\langle v', w' \rangle| = \|v'\|^2 + \|w'\|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re} e^{-i\theta} \langle v', w' \rangle = \|v' - e^{i\theta}w'\|^2.$$

This implies (by “unravelling” (3)) that  $v = \left( \frac{e^{i\theta}\|v\|}{\|w\|} \right) w$ .

**Second alternate proof**

We *could* have made all this look very clever by dealing with the zero cases first, then letting  $A := \|v\|^2$ ,  $B := \|w\|^2$ ,  $C := \langle v, w \rangle$  and then expanding  $0 \leq \|Bv - Cw\|^2$ . The “cross term” partly cancels, leaving  $0 \leq B(AB - |C|^2)$ . This is how it’s done in *Principles of Mathematical Analysis*, Third Edition, by Walter Rudin.