

**Problem 2:** Due Feb 13

Suppose that  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k \neq 0$ . Prove that, if  $m = n + k$  then  $n \neq m + k$ .

**Remark:** This is part of the proof of the Trichotomy Law. Thus we cannot use the Trichotomy Law in the proof.

**Solution:** The quantifiers here are “for all” for each of the three variables. Thus we assume  $m$  and  $n$  and  $k$  are given, and arbitrary, except that  $k \neq 0$  (we could write our statement as:

$$(\forall m \in \mathbb{N})(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})(\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^+)(m = n + k \Rightarrow n \neq m + k), \text{ but we don't have to here).}$$

If  $m \neq n + k$  there is nothing to prove, by vacuity.

If  $m = n + k$  we have to prove that  $n \neq m + k$ .

We use contradiction, assuming that  $n = m + k$ .

By substitution  $n = m + k = (n + k) + k = n + (k + k)$  (the last by associativity).

Then  $n = n + 0 = n + (k + k)$ ,

so by cancellation  $0 = k + k$ .

Since  $k \neq 0$ , there exists  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k = s(j) = j + 1$ .

Then  $0 = k + k = k + s(j) = k + (j + 1) = (k + j) + 1 = s(k + j)$ .

This contradicts the Axiom that  $0 = s(j)$  is false for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

This completes the proof that  $n \neq m + k$ .

Since  $m$  and  $n$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  were arbitrary and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  is arbitrary, “ $m = n + k \Rightarrow n \neq m + k$ ” is true for all the indicated variables, and the proof is complete.