

The Replacement Lemma: Let $S = \{\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_M\}$ be a finite subset of a vector space V such that $\text{span } S = V$ and suppose that no $\mathbf{s}_j = \mathbf{0}$. Let $L = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_K\}$ be a linearly independent subset of V . Then there is a subset S_1 of S having K elements such that $\text{span}\{(S \setminus S_1) \cup L\} = V$. In particular, $K \leq M$.

Sketch of a proof: Since $\text{span } S = V$ there exist coefficients a_{1j} , $j = 1, \dots, M$ such that

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{v}_1 = \sum_{j=1}^M a_{1j} \mathbf{s}_j, \text{ and there is a first } j, \text{ say } J_1, \text{ such that } a_{1J_1} \neq 0.$$

Otherwise, \mathbf{v}_1 would be zero, but $\mathbf{v}_1 \neq \mathbf{0}$ because \mathbf{v}_1 is a member of a linearly independent set. Thus

$$(2) \quad -a_{1J_1} \mathbf{s}_{J_1} = \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq J_1}}^M a_{1j} \mathbf{s}_j - \mathbf{v}_1, \text{ or } \mathbf{s}_{J_1} = -\frac{1}{a_{1J_1}} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq J_1}}^M a_{1j} \mathbf{s}_j + \frac{1}{a_{1J_1}} \mathbf{v}_1.$$

The expression on the far right in (2) is a linear combination of the vectors in $(S \setminus \{\mathbf{s}_{J_1}\}) \cup \{\mathbf{v}_1\}$. Hence every linear combination of the vectors in S can be re-written as a linear combination of the vectors in $(S \setminus \{\mathbf{s}_{J_1}\}) \cup \{\mathbf{v}_1\}$. Conversely, because of (1) every linear combination of the vectors in $(S \setminus \{\mathbf{s}_{J_1}\}) \cup \{\mathbf{v}_1\}$ can be re-written as a linear combination of the vectors in S . Thus the two sets S and $(S \setminus \{\mathbf{s}_{J_1}\}) \cup \{\mathbf{v}_1\}$ have the same span, which is V .

Next we replace L by $L_1 := \{\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_K\}$. If this set is non-empty we can repeat the argument we just did, with, however, S replaced by $(S \setminus \{\mathbf{s}_{J_1}\}) \cup \{\mathbf{v}_1\}$. We can then write

$$\mathbf{v}_2 = \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq J_1}}^M a_{2j} \mathbf{s}_j + b_{21} \mathbf{v}_1, \text{ and there is a first } j \neq J_1, \text{ say } J_2, \text{ such that } a_{2J_2} \neq 0.$$

Otherwise, we would have $\mathbf{v}_2 = b_{21} \mathbf{v}_1$ and this is impossible because L is a linearly independent set. We can thus “replace” \mathbf{s}_{J_2} by \mathbf{v}_2 in the same way we “replaced” \mathbf{s}_{J_1} by \mathbf{v}_1 . Thus $\text{span}\{(S \setminus \{\mathbf{s}_{J_1}, \mathbf{s}_{J_2}\}) \cup \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2\}\} = V$.

We can continue removing one vector at a time from L , “replacing” a vector from the remaining elements of S because there will always be a non-zero coefficient on one of the remaining \mathbf{s}_j 's since otherwise the \mathbf{v}_k we're working with would be a linear combination of the other vectors in L .

In this way, we can remove all the \mathbf{v}_k 's from L . Thus $K \leq M$ because there have to be at least K vectors in S if they can all be “replaced!” We let S_1 denote the set of \mathbf{s}_j 's we removed from S , and this gives the statement in the Lemma.

An application of the Replacement Lemma

Corollary: Any two bases of the same finite-dimensional vector space have the same number of elements.

Proof: Let the two bases take turns being S and L in the Replacement Lemma.