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## Subspace Representations

## Monitor/sense with $n$ nodes


$v \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a snapshot of the system state (e.g., temperature at each node)
$v \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a snapshot of the system state (e.g., traffic rates at each monitor)


## Subspace Representations

## Monitor/sense with $n$ nodes



Temperature data from UCLA Sensornet
$v \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a snapshot of the system state $\mathrm{e}_{0.4}$ (e.g., traffic rates at each monitor)
$v \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a snapshot of the system state (e.g., temperature at each node)


Byte Count data from UW network

## Subspace Representations

Monitor/sense with $n$ nodes


## Subspace Representations: Imaging



- For each frame we have n pixels.
- The background of a collection of frames lies in a low-dimensional subspace, possibly time-varying.


## Subspace Identification: Introduction

Suppose we receive a sequence of length- $n$ vectors that lie in a $d$-dimensional subspace $S$ :

$$
v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{t}, \ldots, \in S \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$



And then we collect $T$ of these vectors into a matrix,

$$
X=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mid & \mid & & \mid \\
v_{1} & v_{2} & \ldots & v_{T} \\
\mid & \mid & & \mid
\end{array}\right] \quad \begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline & & & \mid & \mid & \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

If $S$ is static, we can identify it as the column space of this matrix by performing the SVD:

$$
X=U \Sigma V^{T} .
$$



The orthogonal columns of $U$ span the subspace $S$.

## Subspace Identification：Introduction

Suppose we receive a sequence of incomplete length－$n$ vectors that lie in a $d$－dimensional subspace $S$ ，and $\Omega_{t} \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$ refers to the observed indices：

$$
v_{\Omega_{1}}, v_{\Omega_{2}}, \ldots, v_{\Omega_{t}}, \ldots, \in S \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

And then we collect $T$ of these vectors into a matrix：


If $S$ is static，we can identify it as the column space of this matrix by performing the SVD：

$$
X=U \Sigma V^{T}
$$

The orthogonal columns of $U$ span the subspace $S$ ．

## Related Work: LMS subspace tracking

- Subspace tracking (with complete data) was approached with LMS methods in the 80s and 90s
- Yang 1995, Projection Approximation Subspace
 Tracking; proof Delmas Cardoso 1998
- Comon, Golub survey 1990

$$
\left\|v-P_{S} v\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

- Incremental gradient methods are getting attention for their speed and convergence guarantees
- Bertsekas, Tsitsiklis 2000

(b) Received signals at array sensors

Figure 1.2 Passive sonar system
Figure from Stephen Kay, Fundamentals of Statistical Signal Processing Volume I: Estimation, p3.

## Residual with Incomplete Data

$U$ is an $n \times d$ orthogonal matrix whose columns span the $d$-dimensional subspace $S$.
$U_{\Omega}$ denotes the submatrix with rows indicated by $\Omega$, where $\Omega \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$ is the subset of indices observed.

## Full-data Residual

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{S}=U\left(U^{T} U\right)^{-1} U^{T}: \\
& v_{\perp}=v-P_{S} v
\end{aligned}
$$

Incomplete-data Residual

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Let } P_{S_{\Omega}}=U_{\Omega}\left(U_{\Omega}^{T} U_{\Omega}\right)^{-1} U_{\Omega}^{T} . \\
& v_{\perp}=v_{\Omega}-P_{S_{\Omega}} v_{\Omega}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem: Incomplete Data Residual Norm

$S$ is a known $d<n$ dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with coherence $\mu(S)$.
$v_{\Omega}$ is our observation and we wish to estimate $\left\|v_{\perp}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\left\|v_{\Omega}-P_{S_{\Omega}} v_{\Omega}\right\|_{2}^{2}$

Theorem: If $|\Omega|=O(\mu(S) d \log d)$ and $\Omega$ is chosen uniformly with replacement, then with high probability and ignoring constant factors,

$$
\frac{|\Omega|-d \mu(S)}{n}\left\|v-P_{S} v\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left\|v_{\Omega}-P_{S_{\Omega}} v_{\Omega}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{|\Omega|}{n}\left\|v-P_{S} v\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

## Subspace Tracking

Suppose we receive a sequence of incomplete vectors that lie in a $d$-dimensional subspace $S$ :

$$
v_{\Omega_{1}}, v_{\Omega_{2}}, \ldots, v_{\Omega_{t}}, \ldots
$$

Given $S_{t}$ and $v_{\Omega_{t}}$, how do we generate $S_{t+1}$ ?

Choose $S_{t+1}$ to decrease the error $\left\|v_{\Omega}-P_{S_{\Omega}} v_{\Omega}\right\|_{2}^{2}$.


## GROUSE

- Given step size $\eta_{t}$, subspace basis $U_{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, observations $v_{\Omega_{t}}$
- Calculate Weights:

$$
w=\arg \min _{a}\left\|U_{\Omega_{t}} a-v_{\Omega_{t}}\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

- Predict full vector: $v_{\|}=U_{t} w$
- Compute Residual on observed entries: $v_{\perp}=v_{\Omega_{t}}-\left(v_{\|}\right)_{\Omega_{t}}$ and zero-pad.
- Update subspace:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{t+1}=U_{t}+\left(\sin \left(\sigma \eta_{t}\right) \frac{v_{\perp}}{\left\|v_{\perp}\right\|}+\left(\cos \left(\sigma \eta_{t}\right)-1\right) \frac{v_{\|}}{\left\|v_{\|}\right\|}\right) \frac{w^{T}}{\|w\|} \\
& \text { where } \sigma=\left\|v_{\perp}\right\|\left\|v_{\|}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

- One iteration involves a projection and an outer product.
- The algorithm is simple and fast.


## GROUSE Performance: Matrix Completion



- To use GROUSE, we pass over the columns of the matrix a few times in random order, doing an update for every column.
- We compared against other state of the art MC algorithms on reconstruction error and computation time.


## Robust Low-Rank Modeling (Robust PCA)

- Sparse + Low-Rank Model


Matrix of corrupted observations


Underying low-rank matrix


Sparse ervor matrix image from Nuit Blanche

- Several algorithms have been developed to find such a decomposition from a matrix observation
- convex optimization and approximations


## GROUSE to GRASTA with Jun He and Arthur Szlam

$F_{\text {grouse }}(\mathcal{S} ; t)=\min _{w}\left\|U_{\Omega_{t}} w-v_{\Omega_{t}}\right\|_{2}^{2}$

$F_{\text {grasta }}(\mathcal{S} ; t)=\min _{w}\left\|U_{\Omega_{t}} w-v_{\Omega_{t}}\right\|_{1}$

$U_{t+1}=U_{t}+\left(\left(\cos \left(\sigma \eta_{t}\right)-1\right) U_{t} \frac{w_{t}}{\left\|w_{t}\right\|}+\sin \left(\sigma \eta_{t}\right) \frac{\Gamma}{\|\Gamma\|}\right) \frac{w_{t}^{T}}{\left\|w_{t}\right\|}$

## GRASTA Performance on RPCA with Jun He

- Simulated $2000 \times 2000$ matrix, rank=5
- Compare GRASTA to Inexact Augmented Lagrange Multiplier Method for RPCA

| \% outliers | noise <br> var | GRASTA 100\% <br> sampled | GRASTA 30\% <br> sampled | IALM FOR RPCA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10 \%$ | $0.5 \mathrm{e}-3$ | $3.64 \mathrm{e}-4 / 58.31 \mathrm{sec}$ | $6.07 \mathrm{e}-4 / 20.79 \mathrm{sec}$ | $16.7 \mathrm{e}-4 / 93.16 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| $10 \%$ | $1.0 \mathrm{e}-3$ | $7.643-4 / 59.55 \mathrm{sec}$ | $12.3 \mathrm{e}-4 / 20.66 \mathrm{sec}$ | $36.4 \mathrm{e}-4 / 117.76 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| $30 \%$ | $0.5 \mathrm{e}-3$ | $6.13 \mathrm{e}-4 / 67.19 \mathrm{sec}$ | $12.6 \mathrm{e}-4 / 22.63 \mathrm{sec}$ | $26.4 \mathrm{e}-4 / 324.26 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| $30 \%$ | $1.0 \mathrm{e}-3$ | $9.87 \mathrm{e}-4 / 69.06 \mathrm{sec}$ | $19.3 \mathrm{e}-4 / 22.85 \mathrm{sec}$ | $56.2 \mathrm{e}-4 / 362.62 \mathrm{sec}$ |

## GRASTA Performance on Background Subtraction with Jun He


$t=600$

$t=1$

| Dataset | Resolution | Total Frames | Training Time | Tracking and <br> Separating Time | FPS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Airport Hall | $144 \times 176$ | 3584 | 11.3 sec | 20.9 sec | 171.5 |
| Shopping Mall | $320 \times 256$ | 1286 | 33.9 sec | 27.5 sec | 46.8 |
| Lobby | $144 \times 176$ | 1546 | 3.9 sec | 71.3 sec | 21.7 |
| Hall with Virtual Pan (1) | $144 \times 88$ | 3584 | 3.8 sec | 191.3 sec | 18.7 |
| Hall with Virtual Pan (2) | $144 \times 88$ | 3584 | 3.7 sec | 144.8 sec | 24.8 |

## GRASTA Performance on Background Subtraction

 with Jun He
$t=100$


## GRASTA demo

- Written by Arthur Szlam at CUNY in Open CV


## For more information: http://sunbeam.ece.wisc.edu/

Incremental Gradient on the Grassmannian for Background and Foreground Separation in Subsampled Video
Jun He, Laura Balzano, and Arthur Szlam. To appear at CVPR, June 2012.

Online Robust Subspace Tracking from Partial Information
Jun He, Laura Balzano, Arthur Szlam, and John C.S. Lui. In preparation for Trans PAMI.
http://arxiv.org/abs/1109.3827
Online Identification and Tracking of Subspaces from Highly Incomplete Information Laura Balzano, Robert Nowak, and Benjamin Recht. Allerton, September 2010.

High-Dimensional Matched Subspace Detection when Data are Missing Laura Balzano, Benjamin Recht, and Robert Nowak. ISIT, June 2010.

## THANK YOU! Questions?

## Theorem: Incomplete Data Residual Norm

Full Theorem: If $|\Omega| \geq \frac{8}{3} \mu(S) d \log (2 d / \delta)$ and $\Omega$ is chosen uniformly with replacement, then with probability $1-4 \delta$,

$$
\frac{|\Omega|(1-\alpha)-d \mu(S) \frac{(1+\beta)^{2}}{(1-\gamma)}}{n}\left\|v-P_{S} v\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left\|v_{\Omega}-P_{S_{\Omega}} v_{\Omega}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq(1+\alpha) \frac{|\Omega|}{n}\left\|v-P_{S} v\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

where we write $v=x+y, \quad x \in S, y \in S_{\perp}$,
$\alpha=\sqrt{\frac{2 \mu(y)^{2}}{|\Omega|} \log \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)}, \beta=\sqrt{2 \mu(y) \log \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)}$, and $\gamma=\sqrt{\frac{8 d \mu(S)}{3|\Omega|} \log \left(\frac{2 d}{\delta}\right)}$.

Lemma 1: $\left\|y_{\Omega}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq(1-\alpha) \frac{|\Omega|}{n}\|y\|_{2}^{2}$
McDiarmid's inequality with sum of RVs

Lemma 2: $\left\|U_{\Omega}^{T} y_{\Omega}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq(1+\beta)^{2} \frac{|\Omega|}{n} \frac{d \mu(S)}{n}\|y\|_{2}^{2} \quad$ McDiarmid's inequality.

Lemma 3: \| $\left(U_{\Omega}^{T} U_{\Omega}\right)^{-1} \|_{2} \leq \frac{n}{(1-\gamma)|\Omega|}$
Non-commutative Bernstein inequality

## Descent on the Grassmannian

- Idea: Stochastic gradient descent to minimize the incomplete project residual one vector at a time. (Subspace Tracking in Signal Processing is done this way, using the complete-data residual.)
- Since we are estimating a subspace, we can perform gradient descent directly on the Grassman manifold $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{d})$ and follow its geodesics.
- (There are explicit formulas for a gradient descent step that follows the Grassmannian geodesic.)
A. Edelman, T. A. Arias, and S. T. Smith. The geometry of algorithms with orthogonality constraints. SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications, 20(2):303-353, 1998.


