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## Math 5651. Lecture 001 (V. Reiner) Final Exam Friday, December 17, 2010

This is a 120 minute exam. No books, notes, calculators, cell phones or other electronic devices are allowed. There are a total of 100 points. To get full credit for a problem you must show the details of your work. Answers unsupported by an argument will get little credit. Do all of your calculations on this test paper.
Problem Score

1. $\qquad$
2. $\qquad$
3. $\qquad$
4. $\qquad$
5. $\qquad$
6. $\qquad$
Total: $\qquad$

Problem 1. (15 points total; 5 points each) Recall that a Poisson random variable $X$ with mean $\lambda$ is one that has probability function $f(k)=e^{-\lambda} \cdot \frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!}$, and that its variance is also $\lambda$.

Assume that traffic accidents occur at a certain intersection according to a Poisson process that averages one accident every 10 days.
a. (5 points) What is the probability of no accidents in a given year?
b. (5 points) What is the probability of at least two accidents in a given year. Express your answer without any summation symbols.
c. (5 points) What is the standard deviation in the number of accidents that occur in a given year?

Problem 2. (15 points total)
a. (8 points) Let $X$ be the sum of 100 rolls of a fair 6 -sided die having $1,2,3,4,5,6$ on its sides. Compute the central limit theorem's approximation to the probability that this sum is at least 400. Express your answer in terms of the (cumulative) distribution function $\Phi(x)$ for a standard normal random variable.
(Hint: $1+2+3+4+5+6=21$ and $1^{2}+2^{2}+3^{2}+4^{2}+5^{2}+6^{2}=91$.)
b. (7 points) If $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$ are independent and identically distributed normal random variables of mean 10 and standard deviation 1 , what is the probability that $2 X_{1}+4 X_{2}>5 X_{3}$ ? Again, express your answer in terms of $\Phi(x)$.

Problem 3. (15 points total; 5 points each) Recall that an exponentially distributed random variable $X$ with parameter $\beta$ has probability density function $f(x)=\beta e^{-\beta x}$ for $x>0$ and 0 for $x \leq 0$.
a. (5 points) Compute the median for such a random variable, as a function of the parameter $\beta$.
b. (5 points) Assume $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are independent and identically distributed random variables having such an exponential distribution with parameter $\beta$, and let $Y=\max \left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}$. Compute the cumulative distribution function $F(y)=\operatorname{Pr}(Y \leq y)$ explicitly, as a function of $y, n, \beta$.
c. (5 points) Compute the probability density function $f(y)$ for $Y$ explicitly, as a function of $y, n, \beta$.

Problem 4. (15 points) Recall that a random variable $X$ having a gamma distribution with parameters $\alpha, \beta$ has moment generating function $\psi_{X}(t)=\left(\frac{\beta}{\beta-t}\right)^{\alpha}$.
a. (7 points) Compute the third moment $\mu_{3}(X)=E\left(X^{3}\right)$ for such a random variable, as a function of $\alpha, \beta$.
b. (8 points) Recall that the $\alpha=1$ special case of the gamma distribution is the exponential distribution with parameter $\beta$.

Prove that if $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are independent and identically distributed with exponential distribution of parameter $\beta$, then their sample mean $\bar{X}_{n}=\frac{1}{n}\left(X_{1}+\cdots+X_{n}\right)$ has a gamma distribution with some parameters $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}$. Say exactly what these parameters $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}$ are explicitly in terms of $n$ and $\beta$.

Problem 5. (15 points) Prove the following statement, called the Robbins Lemma, for a Poisson ${ }^{1}$ random variable $X$ of mean $\lambda$ : any random variable of the form $f(X)$ for a function $f$ will satisfy

$$
E(X \cdot f(X-1))=\lambda \cdot E f(X)
$$

(NOTE: During the exam, there was a typo that omitted the factor of $X$ on the left side, making the statement entirely wrong, and so I did not grade this problem. Unfortunately, during the exam when people were pointing out that something had to be wrong, I didn't notice what the real problem was, and I tried to fix it incorrectly. It was only after the exam that we discovered the real problem.)

[^0]Problem 6. (20 points total) Recall that a random variable $X$ having a beta distribution with parameters $\alpha, \beta$ has probability density function $f(x)=\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1}$ for $x \in(0,1)$, and 0 for $x \notin(0,1)$

Your friend pulls a coin from her pocket, and claims that it was produced in a factory where the heads probability $P=p$ of the coins produced follows a beta distribution with parameters $\alpha=\beta=2$. She tells you that she intends to flip the coin 100 times and count the number of heads as a random variable $X$.
a. (4 points) What is the marginal p.d.f. $f_{1}(p)$ for $P$ ?
b. (4 points) What is the conditional p.d.f $g_{2}(x \mid p)$ for $X$ given $P=p$ ?
c. (4 points) What is the joint p.d.f. $f(p, x)$ for $(P, X)$ ?
d. (8 points) She then flips the coin 100 times, and heads appears 20 times total. Show that the conditional density $g_{1}(p \mid X=20)$ for the heads probability $P$ given that $X=20$ again has a beta distribution for some parameters $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}$, and explicitly identify these parameters.

## Brief solutions

1.The number of accidents $X$ per year should be Poisson with mean $\lambda=\frac{1}{10} \cdot 365=36.5$. Hence one has...
(a) $\operatorname{Pr}(X=0)=e^{36.5 \frac{36.5^{0}}{0!}}=e^{36.5}$.
(b)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}(X \geq 2) & =1-\operatorname{Pr}(X=0)-\operatorname{Pr}(X=1) \\
& =1-e^{-36.5} \frac{36.5^{0}}{0!}-e^{-36.5} \frac{36.5^{1}}{1!} \\
& =1-e^{-36.5} 37.5
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) $X$ has variance also $\lambda=36.5$, so its standard deviation is $\sqrt{36.5}$.
2.(a) $X=X_{1}+\cdots+X_{100}$ where the $X_{i}$ are i.i.d. with

$$
\begin{aligned}
E X_{i} & =\frac{1}{6}(1+2+3+4+5+6)=\frac{21}{6}=\frac{7}{2} \\
E\left(X_{i}^{2}\right) & =\frac{1}{6}\left(1^{2}+2^{2}+3^{2}+4^{2}+5^{2}+6^{2}\right)=\frac{91}{6} \\
\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right) & =E\left(X_{i}^{2}\right)-\left(E X_{i}\right)^{2}=\frac{91}{6}-\left(\frac{7}{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{35}{12}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
E X=100 \cdot \frac{7}{2}=350
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Var}(X)=100 \cdot \frac{35}{12}, \quad \sigma(X)=10 \sqrt{\frac{35}{12}}=5 \sqrt{\frac{35}{3}}
$$

The central limit theorem says $X$ is approximately normally distributed, with the above mean and standard deviation, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}(X \geq 400) & =\operatorname{Pr}(X-350 \geq 50) \\
& =\operatorname{Pr}\left(\frac{X-350}{5 \sqrt{\frac{35}{3}}} \geq \frac{50}{5 \sqrt{\frac{35}{3}}}\right) \\
& \approx \operatorname{Pr}\left(Z \geq \frac{50}{5 \sqrt{\frac{35}{3}}}\right) \text { for a standard normal } Z \\
& =1-\operatorname{Pr}\left(Z \leq \frac{10}{\sqrt{\frac{35}{3}}}\right) \\
& =1-\Phi\left(\frac{10}{\sqrt{\frac{35}{3}}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) $\operatorname{Pr}\left(2 X_{1}+4 X_{2}>5 X_{3}\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left(2 X_{1}+4 X_{2}-5 X_{3}>0\right)$. So define

$$
Y=2 X_{1}+4 X_{2}-5 X_{3}
$$

which is normal with

$$
\begin{gathered}
E Y=2 \cdot 10+4 \cdot 10-5 \cdot 10=10 \\
\operatorname{Var}(Y)=2^{2} \cdot 1+4^{2} \cdot 1+(-5)^{2} \cdot 1=45, \quad \sigma(Y)=\sqrt{45}=3 \sqrt{5}
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}(Y \geq 0) & =\operatorname{Pr}(Y-10 \geq-10) \\
& =\operatorname{Pr}\left(\frac{Y-10}{3 \sqrt{5}} \geq \frac{-10}{3 \sqrt{5}}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Pr}\left(Z \geq \frac{-10}{3 \sqrt{5}}\right) \text { for a standard normal } Z \\
& =1-\operatorname{Pr}\left(Z \leq \frac{-10}{3 \sqrt{5}}\right) \\
& =1-\Phi\left(\frac{-10}{3 \sqrt{5}}\right)\left(=\Phi\left(\frac{10}{3 \sqrt{5}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

3. (a) We need to solve for $m$ in

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} & =\int_{-\infty}^{m} \beta e^{-\beta x} d x=\left[e^{-\beta x}\right]_{-\infty}^{m}=1-e^{-\beta m} \\
\text { so one has } \quad \frac{1}{2} & =e^{-\beta m}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) & =-\beta m \\
m & =\frac{\log \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{-\beta}=\frac{\log 2}{\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

(b)

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(y) & =\operatorname{Pr}(Y \leq y) \\
& =\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{1} \leq y \text { and } \cdots \text { and } X_{n} \leq y\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{1} \leq y\right) \cdots \operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{n} \leq y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{i} \leq y\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{y} \beta e^{-\beta x} d x=1-e^{-\beta y}
$$

for $y \geq 0$ and 0 otherwise, so

$$
F(y)=\left(1-e^{-\beta y}\right)^{n}
$$

for $y \geq 0$ and 0 otherwise.
(c) $Y$ has pdf

$$
f(y)=\frac{d}{d y} F(y)=n\left(1-e^{-\beta y}\right)^{n}\left(\beta e^{-\beta y}\right)
$$

4. 

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{X}(t) & =\left(\frac{\beta}{\beta-t}\right)^{\alpha}=\beta^{\alpha} \cdot(\beta-t)^{-\alpha} \\
\psi_{X}^{\prime}(t) & =\alpha \beta^{\alpha} \cdot(\beta-t)^{-\alpha-1} \\
\psi_{X}^{\prime \prime}(t) & =\alpha(\alpha+1) \beta^{\alpha} \cdot(\beta-t)^{-\alpha-2} \\
\psi_{X}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) & =\alpha(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2) \beta^{\alpha} \cdot(\beta-t)^{-\alpha-3} \\
\text { so that } \mu_{3}(X) & =E\left(X^{3}\right)=\psi_{X}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t=0) \\
& =\alpha(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2) \beta^{\alpha}(\beta)^{-\alpha-3} \\
& =\frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)}{\beta^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(b)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{\bar{X}_{n}}(t) & =\Psi_{\frac{1}{n} X_{1}+\cdots+X_{n}}(t) \\
& =\Psi_{X_{1}+\cdots+X_{n}}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) \\
& =\Psi_{X_{1}}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) \cdots \Psi_{X_{n}}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{\beta}{\beta-\frac{t}{n}}\right)^{n} \\
& =\left(\frac{n \beta}{n \beta-t}\right)^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the moment generating function for a gamma distribution with parameters $\bar{\alpha}=n$ and $\bar{\beta}=n \beta$.
5. This problem was not graded, but here is the solution to the corrected statement:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(X f(X-1)) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k f(k-1) e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k f(k-1) e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!} \quad \text { since the } k=0 \text { term vanishes } \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(k-1) e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda \cdot \lambda^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \\
& =\lambda \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} f(\ell) e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{\ell!} \quad \text { reindexing } \ell:=k-1 \\
& =\lambda E f(X)
\end{aligned}
$$

6. (a)

$$
f_{1}(p)=\frac{\Gamma(2+2)}{\Gamma(2) \Gamma(2)} p^{2-1}(1-p)^{2-1} f_{1}(p)=\frac{\Gamma(4)}{\Gamma(2) \Gamma(2)} p(1-p)
$$

for $p$ in $(0,1)$, and 0 otherwise.
(b)

$$
g_{2}(x \mid p)=\binom{100}{x} p^{x}(1-p)^{100-x}
$$

for $x=0,1,2, \ldots, 100$.
(c)

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(p, x) & =g_{2}(x \mid p) f_{1}(p) \\
& =\frac{\Gamma(4)}{\Gamma(2) \Gamma(2)} p(1-p) \cdot\binom{100}{x} p^{x}(1-p)^{100-x} \\
& =\frac{\Gamma(4)}{\Gamma(2) \Gamma(2)}\binom{100}{x} p^{x+1}(1-p)^{101-x}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $p$ in $(0,1)$ and $x=0,1,2, \ldots, 100$, and 0 otherwise.
(d)

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{1}(p \mid x=20) & =\frac{f(p, x)}{f_{2}(20)} \\
& =\frac{\Gamma(4)}{\Gamma(2) \Gamma(2) f_{2}(20)}\binom{100}{20} p^{21}(1-p)^{81}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $p$ in $(0,1)$. Since this conditional density is proportional to a beta distribution having parameters $\hat{\alpha}=22$ and $\hat{\beta}=82$, it must actually equal such a distribution, that is, the constant in front must normalize it properly.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$... whose definition was recalled in Problem 1.

