# Factorizations of *k*-Nonnegative Matrices

Neeraja Kulkarni, Joe Suk, Ewin Tang JMM 2018

# Background

An matrix is *totally nonnegative* (TNN) if all of its minors are nonnegative.

An matrix is *totally nonnegative* (TNN) if all of its minors are nonnegative.

• This property appears in stochastic processes, planar networks, Pólya frequency sequences, etc.

An matrix is *totally nonnegative* (TNN) if all of its minors are nonnegative.

- This property appears in stochastic processes, planar networks, Pólya frequency sequences, etc.
- The space of invertible totally nonnegative matrices form a semigroup

An matrix is *totally nonnegative* (TNN) if all of its minors are nonnegative.

- This property appears in stochastic processes, planar networks, Pólya frequency sequences, etc.
- The space of invertible totally nonnegative matrices form a semigroup
- Fomin & Zelevinsky nicely characterize and parametrize the semigroup via factorization-based cells

The subsemigroup of invertible TNN upper unitriangular matrices has generating set  $\{e_i(a) \mid i \in [n-1], a > 0\}$  (a is the parameter):

$$\begin{aligned} e_i(a)e_i(b) &= e_i(\alpha) \\ e_i(a)e_{i+1}(b)e_i(c) &= e_{i+1}(\alpha)e_i(\beta)e_{i+1}(\gamma) \\ e_i(a)e_j(b) &= e_j(\alpha)e_i(\beta) \qquad |i-j| > 1 \end{aligned}$$

The conversion expression for all parameters is *subtraction-free*, and for the latter two, *bijective*.

# **Defining Factorizations**

Define the free word monoid  $\mathcal{A} = \langle e_i \mid i \in [n-1] \rangle$  and define an equivalence relation generated by

 $e_i e_i = e_i$  $e_i e_{i+1} e_i = e_{i+1} e_i e_{i+1}$  $e_i e_j = e_j e_i \qquad |i-j| > 1$ 

# **Defining Factorizations**

Define the free word monoid  $\mathcal{A} = \langle e_i \mid i \in [n-1] \rangle$  and define an equivalence relation generated by

 $e_i e_i = e_i$  $e_i e_{i+1} e_i = e_{i+1} e_i e_{i+1}$  $e_i e_j = e_j e_i \qquad |i-j| > 1$ 

Define a length function  $\ell:\mathcal{A}\to\mathbb{N}$  to be the number of letters in a word.

Define the free word monoid  $\mathcal{A} = \langle e_i \mid i \in [n-1] \rangle$  and define an equivalence relation generated by

 $e_i e_i = e_i$  $e_i e_{i+1} e_i = e_{i+1} e_i e_{i+1}$  $e_i e_j = e_j e_i \qquad |i-j| > 1$ 

Define a *length function*  $\ell : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{N}$  to be the number of letters in a word. Define the *parameter map* for a word  $w \in \mathcal{A}$  by

$$x_w: \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\ell(w)} \to GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \qquad x_w(a_1, \ldots, a_{\ell(w)}) = w_1(a_1) \cdots w_{\ell(w)}(a_{\ell(w)})$$

Thus, the image of the parameter map is the set of matrices with w as a factorization.

# **Forming Cells**

Call a word *reduced* if it has minimal length among its equivalence class.

## **Forming Cells**

Call a word *reduced* if it has minimal length among its equivalence class. For  $u, w \in A$ ,

$$u \equiv w \iff \operatorname{Im}(x_u) = \operatorname{Im}(x_w)$$
  
 $u \not\equiv w \iff \operatorname{Im}(x_u) \cap \operatorname{Im}(x_w) = \emptyset$ 

Let  $U(w) := Im(x_w)$  (called Bruhat cells). The set of U(w) for distinct, reduced w partition the semigroup.

# **Forming Cells**

Call a word *reduced* if it has minimal length among its equivalence class. For  $u, w \in A$ ,

$$u \equiv w \iff \operatorname{Im}(x_u) = \operatorname{Im}(x_w)$$
$$u \neq w \iff \operatorname{Im}(x_u) \cap \operatorname{Im}(x_w) = \emptyset$$

Let  $U(w) := Im(x_w)$  (called Bruhat cells). The set of U(w) for distinct, reduced w partition the semigroup.

By noticing the identification  $e_i \mapsto (i, i + 1) \in S_n$  which generate  $S_n$  as a Coxeter group, we see:

- the cells are naturally indexed by elements in  $S_n$
- the cells form a CW-complex
- the corresponding closure poset is isomorphic to the Bruhat poset on  $S_n$

A matrix M is k-nonnegative (kNN) if all minors of order k or less are nonnegative.

• This notion has garnered interest from other scholars, and is a natural generalization to consider

- This notion has garnered interest from other scholars, and is a natural generalization to consider
- Invertible k-nonnegative matrices form a semigroup

- This notion has garnered interest from other scholars, and is a natural generalization to consider
- Invertible k-nonnegative matrices form a semigroup
- Our new work attempts to generalize TNN results to the *k*NN case

- This notion has garnered interest from other scholars, and is a natural generalization to consider
- Invertible k-nonnegative matrices form a semigroup
- Our new work attempts to generalize TNN results to the kNN case
- We succeed in two cases: (n − 1)NN matrices, and (n − 2)NN unitriangular matrices

# Results

#### Theorem

The semigroup of (n - 2)NN upper unitriangular matrices is generated by the  $e_i$ 's and the T-generators.

The T-generators have the following form.

$$T(\vec{a}, \vec{b}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a_1 & a_1 & b_1 \\ 1 & a_2 + b_1 & a_2 & b_2 \\ & 1 & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & \ddots & a_{n-3} + b_{n-4} & a_{n-3} & b_{n-2} \\ & & & 1 & b_{n-3} & b_{n-2} \\ & & & 1 & b_{n-2} \\ & & & & 1 & b_{n-2} \\ & & & & 1 & b_{n-2} \\ & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Y = b_1 \cdots b_{n-3} \qquad X = |T_{[2,n-3],[3,n-2]}|$$

Adding T leads to additional relations. The following is a complete list (indices are mod n - 1):

• 
$$e_i(x)T(\vec{a},\vec{b}) = T(\vec{A},\vec{B})e_{i+2}(x')$$

• 
$$e_{n-1}e_{n-2}T =$$
  
 $e_{n-2}e_{n-1}T \sqcup e_{n-2}\cdots e_1e_{n-1}\cdots e_2 \sqcup e_{n-2}\cdots e_1e_{n-1}\cdots e_1$ 

These relations are *bijective* and *subtraction-free* as desired.

Adding T leads to additional relations. The following is a complete list (indices are mod n - 1):

• 
$$e_i(x)T(\vec{a},\vec{b}) = T(\vec{A},\vec{B})e_{i+2}(x')$$

• 
$$e_{n-1}e_{n-2}T =$$
  
 $e_{n-2}e_{n-1}T \sqcup e_{n-2}\cdots e_1e_{n-1}\cdots e_2 \sqcup e_{n-2}\cdots e_1e_{n-1}\cdots e_1$ 

These relations are *bijective* and *subtraction-free* as desired.

We can extend the parameter map  $x_w$  and thus U(w) to add T.

Consider the alphabet  $\mathcal{B} = \langle e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1}, T \rangle$  modulo all relations.

#### Theorem

Let  $w_{0,[n-2]} = (n-2, n-3, ..., 1, n-1, n)$  in one-line notation. Then all words with at most one T are equal to one of the following distinct reduced words:

$$\begin{cases} v\lambda & v \leq w_{0,[n-2]}, \\ \lambda \in \{T, e_{n-1}T, e_{n-2}T, e_{n-2}e_{n-1}T\} \\ w & w \in S_n \end{cases}$$

# **Cell Topology**

### Theorem (Disjointness)

For reduced words v and w, if  $v \neq w$  then  $U(v) \cap U(w) = \emptyset$ .

# **Cell Topology**

### Theorem (Disjointness)

For reduced words v and w, if  $v \neq w$  then  $U(v) \cap U(w) = \emptyset$ .

### Theorem (Homeomorphic to Open Balls)

U(w) is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)}$ .

Theorem (Disjointness)

For reduced words v and w, if  $v \neq w$  then  $U(v) \cap U(w) = \emptyset$ .

Theorem (Homeomorphic to Open Balls)

U(w) is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)}$ .

### Theorem (Well-behaved Closure Order)

The closure of a cell  $\overline{U(w)}$  is the disjoint union of all cells in the interval between  $\emptyset$  and U(w) subject to the subword order on  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Theorem (Disjointness)

For reduced words v and w, if  $v \neq w$  then  $U(v) \cap U(w) = \emptyset$ .

Theorem (Homeomorphic to Open Balls)

U(w) is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)}$ .

### Theorem (Well-behaved Closure Order)

The closure of a cell  $\overline{U(w)}$  is the disjoint union of all cells in the interval between  $\emptyset$  and U(w) subject to the subword order on  $\mathcal{B}$ .

### Corollary (CW-complex)

The set of U(w) form a CW-complex, with closure relations described above.

We can still describe the cell closure poset with a subword order. To do this, we extend the Bruhat order on  $S_n$  by defining the subwords of T.

- $m < \lambda \in \{T, e_{n-1}T, e_{n-2}T, e_{n-2}e_{n-1}T\}$  precisely when  $m \le \alpha = e_{n-2} \cdots e_1 e_{n-1} \cdots e_1$  and satisfies the following:
- $m(1) \neq n$ ; if  $\lambda$  has no  $e_{n-1}$ , then  $m(2) \neq n$  is relaxed; if  $\lambda$  has no  $e_{n-2}$ , then  $m(1) \neq n-1$ .

This description still defines a valid subword order.

Thanks to:

- The School of Mathematics at UMN, Twin Cities
- NSF RTG grant DMS-1148634
- NSF grant DMS-1351590
- Sunita Chepuri, Pavlo Pylyavskyy, Victor Reiner, Elizabeth Kelley, Anna Brosowsky, Alex Mason

See our preprint at arXiv:1710.10867 for more information.

#### Theorem

The poset on  $\{U(w)\}$  given by the subword order on reduced words is graded.

What other properties does the closure poset attain? More knowledge would lead to understanding the shape of the space.

We know that the space is not a sphere, since the poset is not Eulerian.

General TNN matrices are generated by  $e_i(a)$ 's,  $e_i(a)^T$ 's, and diagonal matrices. They are parametrized via *double Bruhat cells*. The poset of closure relations between double Bruhat cells is isomorphic to Bruhat order on the Coxeter group  $S_n \times S_n$ .

# Generators of (n-1)-Nonnegative $n \times n$ Matrices

#### Theorem

The semigroup of (n - 1)-nonnegative matrices is generated by the  $e_i$ 's,  $e_i^T$ 's, diagonal matrices, and the K-generators.

The K-generators have the following form.

 $K(\vec{a}, \vec{b}) = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_1b_1 \\ 1 & a_2 + b_1 & a_2b_2 \\ & 1 & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & \ddots & a_{n-2} + b_{n-3} & a_{n-2}b_{n-2} \\ & & & 1 & b_{n-2} & b_{n-1}Y \\ & & & & 1 & b_{n-1}X \end{bmatrix}$  $Y = b_1 \cdots b_{n-2}$  $X = \left| \mathcal{K}_{[2,n-2],[2,n-2]} \right| = \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \left( \prod_{\ell=2}^{k} b_{\ell-1} \prod_{\ell=k+1}^{n-2} a_{\ell} \right)$ 

# Cells of (n-1)-Nonnegative Matrices

- *K* behaves well with other generators, giving similar relations as before
- A similar reduced word scheme can be made using the alphabet

$$S = \{1, \ldots, n-1, \textcircled{1}, \ldots, \textcircled{n}, \overline{1}, \ldots, \overline{n-1}, K\}$$

- This gives cells homeomorphic to open balls which partition the space and whose closure relations are equivalent to taking subwords
- The space does not form a CW-complex, since it consists of two connected components: matrices with positive determinant and matrices with negative determinant