Coincidences Among Skew Grothendieck Polynomials

Ethan Alwaise Shuli Chen Alexander Clifton Rohil Prasad Madeline Shinners Albert Zheng

University of Minnesota REU, July 2016

 A partition λ of a positive integer n is a weakly decreasing sequence of positive integers λ₁ ≥ λ₂ ≥ · · · ≥ λ_k whose sum is n.

- A partition λ of a positive integer n is a weakly decreasing sequence of positive integers λ₁ ≥ λ₂ ≥ · · · ≥ λ_k whose sum is n.
- The Young diagram of a partition λ is a collection of left-justified boxes where the *i*-th row from the top has λ_i boxes. For example, the Young diagram of λ = (5, 2, 1, 1) is



Skew Shapes

• Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$ be two partitions with $k \leq m$ and $\mu_i < \lambda_i$. We define the skew shape λ/μ by $\lambda/\mu = (\lambda_1 - \mu_1, \dots, \lambda_k - \mu_k, \lambda_{k+1}, \dots, \lambda_m)$.

Skew Shapes

- Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$ be two partitions with $k \leq m$ and $\mu_i < \lambda_i$. We define the skew shape λ/μ by $\lambda/\mu = (\lambda_1 \mu_1, \dots, \lambda_k \mu_k, \lambda_{k+1}, \dots, \lambda_m)$.
- We form the Young diagram of a skew shape λ/μ by superimposing the Young diagrams of λ and μ and removing the boxes which are contained in both. For example, the Young diagram of the skew shape where (6,3,1)/(3,1) is



• A SSYT is a filling of the boxes of a Young diagram with positive integers such that numbers weakly increase left to right across rows and strictly increase top to bottom down columns.

• A SSYT is a filling of the boxes of a Young diagram with positive integers such that numbers weakly increase left to right across rows and strictly increase top to bottom down columns.



• Given a SSYT T, we associate a monomial x^T given by

$$x^{T}=\prod_{i\in\mathbb{N}}x_{i}^{m_{i}},$$

where m_i is the number of times the integer *i* appears as an entry in T.



$$x_1^4 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4 x_5$$

• We define the Schur function $s_{\lambda/\mu}$ by

$$s_{\lambda/\mu} = \sum_{T} x^{T},$$

where the sum is across all semistandard Young tableau of shape λ/μ .

Stable Grothendieck Polynomials

- We can also create a set valued tableuax by filling the boxes of the shape λ/μ with nonempty sets of positive integers such that the entries weakly increase from left to right across rows and strictly increase from top to bottom down columns.
- For two sets of positive integers A and B, we say that A ≤ B if max A ≤ min B. We define the size |T| of T to be the sum of the sizes of the sets appearing as entries in T.
- For example,

is a set-valued tableau of shape $\lambda/\mu = (4,3,2)/(1,1)$ and size 11 with associated monomial $x_2 x_3^3 x_4 x_5 x_6 x_7^2 x_8 x_9$.

• We define the stable Grothendieck polynomial $G_{\lambda/\mu}$ by

$$G_{\lambda/\mu} = \sum_{T} (-1)^{|T|-|\lambda|} x^{T},$$

where the sum is across all set-valued tableau of shape λ/μ . • Notice that $G_{\lambda/\mu} = s_{\lambda/\mu}$ + higher order terms.

Dual Stable Grothendieck Polynomials

• A reverse plane partition of shape λ/μ is a filling of the boxes of the Young diagram of λ/μ with positive integers such that the entries weakly increase from left to right across rows and weakly increase from bottom to top down columns. For example,

is a reverse plane partition of shape $\lambda/\mu = (4,3,2)/(1,1)$.

Dual Stable Grothendieck Polynomials

• A reverse plane partition of shape λ/μ is a filling of the boxes of the Young diagram of λ/μ with positive integers such that the entries weakly increase from left to right across rows and weakly increase from bottom to top down columns. For example,



is a reverse plane partition of shape $\lambda/\mu = (4,3,2)/(1,1).$

• Given a reverse plane partition T, the associated monomial x^T is given by

$$x^{T} = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} x_{i}^{m_{i}},$$

where m_i is the number of columns of T which contain the integer i as an entry.

• The above RPP has associated monomial $x_2^2 x_3 x_4^2$.

ullet We define the dual-stable Grothendieck polynomial $g_{\lambda/\mu}$ by

$$g_{\lambda/\mu} = \sum_{T} x^{T},$$

where the sum is across all reverse plane partitions of shape λ/μ . • Notice that $g_{\lambda/\mu} = s_{\lambda/\mu}$ + lower order terms. Question: For what shapes is it true that

$$egin{aligned} G_{\lambda/\mu} &= G_{\gamma/
u} \ g_{\lambda/\mu} &= g_{\gamma/
u} \end{aligned}$$

Let λ/μ have *m* rows and *n* columns.

Let λ/μ have *m* rows and *n* columns.

Idea: compute terms in $g_{\lambda/\mu}$ of the form $x_1^i x_2^j$ of degree n+1.

These terms correspond to fillings of λ/μ that have i - 1 columns containing only 1, j - 1 columns containing only 2, and 1 column containing both 1 and 2.

		2	2
1	1	2	
1	1	2	
1	2		

Fillings with only 1's and 2's correspond to lattice paths from the top right corner of λ/μ to the bottom left corner.



Lattice Paths

Fillings with only 1's and 2's correspond to lattice paths from the top right corner of λ/μ to the bottom left corner.



Interior horizontal edges correspond to rows containing both 1's and 2's.

E.Alwaise, M.Shinners, A.Zheng

Coincidences Among Skew Grothendieck Polynomials

 $x_1^i x_2^{n-i+1}$

Example:
$$n = 8$$
, $x_1^4 x_2^5$.





 $x_1^i x_2^{n-i+1}$

Example:
$$n = 8$$
, $x_1^4 x_2^5$.





 $x_1^i x_2^{n-i+1}$

Example:
$$n = 8$$
, $x_1^4 x_2^5$.





Example:
$$n = 8$$
, $x_1^4 x_2^5$.



Each lattice path giving the monomial $x_1^4 x_2^5$ uses one of the red interior horizontal edges. There are m - 1 such edges, where m is the number of rows. Each red edge is used by exactly one lattice path, unless it touches both boundaries.

Definition

Bottleneck edges are interior horizontal edges touching both boundaries. The number of bottleneck edges in column *i* is

$$b_i := |\{1 \le j \le m - 1 \mid \mu_j = i - 1, \lambda_{j+1} = i\}|.$$



Example:
$$n = 8$$
, $x_1^4 x_2^5$.





Example:
$$n = 8$$
, $x_1^4 x_2^5$.



Proposition

The coefficient of $x_1^i x_2^{n-i+1}$ is

$$(m-1)+(b_2+b_{n-1}) \ +2(b_3+b_{n-2}) \ +3(b_4+b_{n-3}) \ +\cdots \ +(i-1)(b_i+b_{n-i+1}) \ +\cdots \ +(i-1)(b_k+b_{n-k+1})$$

Theorem

Suppose $g_{\lambda/\mu} = g_{\gamma/\nu}$ for skew shapes λ/μ and γ/ν with m rows and n columns. Then for i = 1, ..., n the sums $b_i + b_{n-i+1}$ are the same for the two shapes.

Higher Terms

Theorem

Terms of degree n + 1 are determined by m and the sums $b_2 + b_{n-1}, \ldots, b_k + b_{n-k+1}$.



E.Alwaise, M.Shinners, A.Zheng

Higher Terms

Proposition

The coefficient of $x_1^2 x_2^n$ is

$$\binom{m}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{b_i+1}{2}.$$

Proposition

The coefficient of $x_1x_2x_3^n$ is

$$(m-1)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{b_i+1}{2}.$$

Corollary

Suppose $g_{\lambda/\mu} = g_{\gamma/\nu}$. Then $b_1^2 + \cdots + b_n^2$ is the same for the two shapes.

Definition

A **bottleneck of width** w is a segment of w adjacent interior horizontal edges touching both boundaries. The number of bottlenecks of width w at column i is

$$b_i^{(w)} \coloneqq |\{1 \le j \le m-1 \mid \mu_j = i-1, \lambda_{j+1} = i+w-1\}|.$$



Higher Terms

The coefficient of $x_1^3 x_2^{n-1}$ in $g_{\lambda/\mu}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \binom{m}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{b_{i}^{(1)} + 1}{2} \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} \binom{b_{i}^{(2)} + 1}{2} + (m-2) \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} b_{i}^{(1)} \\ - \left(b_{2}^{(1)}(m - \mu_{1}' - 1) + b_{n-1}^{(1)}(\lambda_{n}' - 1) + \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} b_{i}^{(1)}b_{i+1}^{(1)} \right).$$

The coefficient of $x_1^3 x_2^n$ in $g_{\lambda/\mu}$ is

$$\binom{m+1}{3} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left((m-1)\binom{b_i^{(1)}+1}{2} - 2\binom{b_i^{(1)}}{3} - b_i^{(1)}(b_i^{(1)}-1) \right)$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\binom{b_i^{(2)}+2}{3} + (b_i^{(1)}+b_{i+1}^{(1)})\binom{b_i^{(2)}+1}{2} + b_i^{(1)}b_i^{(2)}b_{i+1}^{(1)} \right).$$

- A ribbon is a connected skew shape containing no 2x2 rectangles.
- Ribbons are in bijection with compositions by letting the number of boxes in the ith row from the bottom be the ith summand in the composition.



is a ribbon with corresponding composition (4,1,3).



is not a ribbon.

E.Alwaise, M.Shinners, A.Zheng

If α = (α₁, α₂, ..., α_k), then we define α^{*} = (α_k, ..., α₁). This is a 180 degree rotation of α.



If α = (α₁, α₂, ..., α_k), then we define α^{*} = (α_k, ..., α₁). This is a 180 degree rotation of α.



We will also use column notation [α₁, α₂, ..., α_k] where α_i is the number of boxes in column i of the Young diagram.

• Concatenation:

$$\alpha \cdot \beta = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m)$$

. Visually this attaches β on top of $\alpha.$



• Near Concatenation:

$$\alpha \odot \beta = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1}, \alpha_k + \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_m).$$

Visually this attaches β to the right of α .



Near Concatenation:

$$\alpha \odot \beta = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1}, \alpha_k + \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_m).$$

Visually this attaches β to the right of α .



• We define

$$\alpha^{\odot n} = \underbrace{\alpha \odot \cdots \odot \alpha}_{n}.$$

We can combine the two concatenation operations to define a third operation \circ , defined by

$$\alpha \circ \beta = \beta^{\odot \alpha_1} \cdots \beta^{\odot \alpha_k}.$$

Visually, the operation \circ replaces each square of α with a copy of β .



Billera, Thomas, and vanWilligenburg proved the following:

- Severy ribbon α has a unique irreducible factorization $\alpha = \alpha_m \circ \cdots \circ \alpha_1$.
- **②** Two ribbons α and β are Schur equivalent if and only if α and β have irreducible factorizations

$$\alpha = \alpha_m \circ \cdots \circ \alpha_1$$
 and $\beta = \beta_m \circ \cdots \circ \beta_1$,

where each β_i is equal to either α_i or α_i^* .

Ribbon Bottlenecks

In the case of ribbons, every interior horizontal edge is a bottleneck. Thus the bottleneck number b_i is the size of column *i* minus 1.



Then by the bottleneck condition, if $\alpha = [\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k]$ and $\beta = [\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k]$ are ribbons such that $g_\alpha = g_\beta$, we have

$$\alpha_i + \alpha_{k-i+1} = \beta_i + \beta_{k-i+1}.$$

We will prove the following theorem:

Theorem

Let α, β be ribbons. Then $g_{\alpha} = g_{\beta}$. if and only if α equals β or β^* .

We will require the following lemma:

Lemma

Suppose α and β are distinct ribbons such that $g_{\alpha} = g_{\beta}$, and there exist ribbons σ , τ , μ such that $\alpha = \sigma \circ \mu$ and $\beta = \tau \circ \mu$. Then $\mu = \mu^*$.

Let μ = [μ₁,...,μ_t], α = [α₁,...,α_k], β = [β₁,...,β_k]. Let m and M be the minimal and maximal indices, respectively, such that α_m ≠ β_m and α_M ≠ β_M.

We have

$$\alpha_m + \alpha_{k-m+1} = \beta_m + \beta_{k-m+1}$$

$$\alpha_M + \alpha_{k-M+1} = \beta_M + \beta_{k-M+1}.$$

If $k - m + 1 \neq M$, then $\alpha_m = \beta_m$ or $\alpha_M = \beta_M$, a contradiction. Therefore k - m + 1 = M, hence

$$\alpha_m + \alpha_M = \beta_m + \beta_M.$$

Proof of Lemma (cont.)

• We examine columns 1 through *m* and *M* through *k* of α and β :

$$\alpha = (*, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{t-1}, \mu_t \diamond \mu_1, \dots, \mu_t \diamond' \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{t-1}, *')$$

$$\beta = (*, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{t-1}, \mu_t \star \mu_1, \dots, \mu_t \star' \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{t-1}, *').$$

• We use the equation

$$\alpha_{m} + \alpha_{M} = \beta_{m} + \beta_{M}$$

to reduce to the case where $\alpha_m = \mu_t$ and $\alpha_M = \mu_1 + \mu_t$. Then the above equation is

$$\mu_1 + 2\mu_t = 2\mu_1 + \mu_t,$$

hence $\mu_1 = \mu_t$. We examine columns m+1 through M-1 to see that

$$\mu_i + \mu_{t-i} = \mu_{i+1} + \mu_{t-i+1},$$

thus $\mu_{i+1} = \mu_{t-i}$ by induction.

We have a bijection of reverse plane partitions of a ribbon α with reverse plane partitions of α^* :



Since g is symmetric it follows that $g_{\alpha} = g_{\alpha^*}$.

Proof.

Since $g_{\alpha} = g_{\beta}$ we have $s_{\alpha} = s_{\beta}$. Then we have irreducible factorizations

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \alpha_m \circ \cdots \circ \alpha_1 \\ \beta &= \beta_m \circ \cdots \circ \beta_1, \end{aligned}$$

where β_i equals α_i or α_i^* . Assume by induction that $\beta_{r-1} \circ \cdots \circ \beta_1$ equals $\alpha_{r-1} \circ \cdots \circ \alpha_1$ or $(\alpha_{r-1} \circ \cdots \circ \alpha_1)^*$. By letting $\mu = \alpha_{r-1} \circ \cdots \circ \alpha_1$, and applying the lemma to α and β or β^* , we have

$$\alpha_{r-1} \circ \cdots \circ \alpha_1 = (\alpha_{r-1} \circ \cdots \circ \alpha_1)^*$$

by the lemma. Since α_r equals β_r or β_r^* we are done.

Further Explorations

Conjecture

Suppose $g_A = g_B$. Then $g_{A^t} = g_{B^t}$.

Conjecture

Suppose $G_A = G_B$. Then $G_{A^t} = G_{B^t}$.

Ribbon Staircases



Theorem (RSvW)

Skew shapes that can be decomposed into the same α that have opposite nestings are Schur equivalent.



Question

For which ribbons α and nestings \mathcal{N} will the shape with decomposition into α with nesting \mathcal{N} match the shape with decomosition into α and nesting \mathcal{N}^* ?

Conjecture: $\alpha = (1, 2)$

For any μ contained in the staircase partition $\delta_n = (n - 1, \dots, 1)$ we have

$$g_{\delta_n/\mu} = g_{\delta_n/\mu^t}$$

$$G_{\delta_n/\mu} = G_{\delta_n/\mu^t}$$

Conjecture: $\alpha = (2,3)$

Let A be the shape with nesting \mathcal{N} and B the shape with nesting \mathcal{N}^* . Then $G_A = G_B$ iff \mathcal{N} contains only vertical slashes "|" and dots "."

E.Alwaise, M.Shinners, A.Zheng

Conjecture

$$G_{\alpha} = G_{\beta}$$
 for ribbons α and β iff $\alpha = \beta$ or $\alpha = \beta^*$.

Littlewood-Richardson Coefficients

$${\it G}_{\lambda/\mu} = \sum_{
u} {\it a}_{\lambda/\mu,
u} {\it G}_{
u}$$

Definition

 $A \leq B$ if $a_{A,\nu} \leq a_{B,\nu}$ for all ν .





Conjecture

For fixed $\lambda,$ the set of ribbons which are permutations of λ has both a least and a greatest element.

Conjecture

Conjugation acts as an isomorphism.

Question

Permutations of a fixed λ follow the general pattern that ribbons where larger rows are in the middle are larger. In what way can this be made formal?

Question

Are there ribbons α and β such that $s_{\alpha} = s_{\beta}$ and $G_{\alpha} \neq G_{\beta}$ but α and β are incomparable?

E.Alwaise, M.Shinners, A.Zheng

This research was carried out as part of the 2016 summer REU program at the School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, Twin Cities, and was supported by NSF RTG grant DMS-1148634.

We would also like to thank Rebecca Patrias and Sunita Chepuri for their mentorship and many helpful comments.