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## Outline

I. Chevalley, Mitchell and the the theorem we could prove.
II. Springer and the conjecture we really want to prove
III. (Why might one care?)

## I. Chevalley, Mitchell

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an arbitrary field, and $V$ an $n$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}$.

We'll define a reflection group to be a finite subgroup

$$
W \subset G L(V) \cong G L_{n}(\mathbb{F})
$$

for which the $W$-action on the symmetric algebra

$$
S:=\operatorname{Sym}\left(V^{*}\right) \cong \mathbb{F}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]
$$

has invariant subring $S^{W}$ a polynomial algebra:

$$
S^{W}=\mathbb{F}\left[f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right] .
$$

Theorem(Serre 1967)
For finite subgroups $W \subset G L_{n}(\mathbb{F})$, $S^{W}$ polynomial implies $W$ is generated by reflections.

Here reflection means the codimension of its fixed space is 1 .

Examples:
$W=\mathfrak{S}_{n}=$ symmetric groups
$W=G(d, e, n)=$ monomial groups
$W=G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)=$ finite general linear groups

For $|W| \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$, Chevalley (and Shephard-Todd) had shown the converse also holds, plus an interesting feature of the coinvariant algebra

$$
S /\left(S_{+}^{W}\right)=S /\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)
$$

Theorem (Chevalley 1955)
For $S^{W}$ polynomial and $|W| \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$, one has an isomorphism of $W$-representations

$$
S /\left(S_{+}^{W}\right) \underset{\mathbb{F}[W]-\bmod }{\cong} \mathbb{F}[W]
$$

Proof idea:
Apply the Normal Basis Theorem to the Galois extension

$$
\operatorname{Frac}\left(S^{W}\right)=\operatorname{Frac}(S)^{W} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Frac}(S)
$$

So what? There are few groups $W$ with $S^{W}$ polynomial, after all...

Well, when $|W| \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$, the isomorphism

$$
S /\left(S_{+}^{W}\right) \underset{\mathbb{F}[W]-\bmod }{\cong} \mathbb{F}[W] .
$$

gives a consequence for any subgroup $W^{\prime} \subset W$ : restrict to the $W^{\prime}$-fixed subspaces...

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(S /\left(S_{+}^{W}\right)\right)^{W^{\prime}} & \mathbb{F}\left[N_{W}\left(W^{\prime}\right)\right]-\bmod & \mathbb{F}[W]^{W^{\prime}} \\
\| & \| \\
S^{W^{\prime}} /\left(S_{+}^{W}\right) & & \mathbb{F}\left[W / W^{\prime}\right]
\end{array}
$$

where $N_{W}\left(W^{\prime}\right)$ is the normalizer of $W^{\prime}$ in $W$.

Sounds good, but what about when $|W| \notin \mathbb{F}^{\times}$, like $W=G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ ?

Theorem(Mitchell 1985)
For $S^{W}$ polynomial one has a Brauer-isomorphism of $W$-representations

$$
S /\left(S_{+}^{W}\right)_{\mathbb{F}[W]-\bmod }^{\approx} \mathbb{F}[W]
$$

In other words, they have the same composition factors.

Unfortunately, given only a Brauer-isomorphism, you can't equate $W^{\prime}$-fixed spaces.

Also, since $W^{\prime} \subset W$ might have $S^{W^{\prime}}$ not Cohen-Macaulay, one shouldn't look only at

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{W^{\prime}} /\left(S_{+}^{W}\right) & =S^{W^{\prime}} \otimes_{S} \mathbb{F} \\
& =\operatorname{Tor}_{0}^{S^{W}}\left(S^{W^{\prime}}, \mathbb{F}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbb{F}:=S^{W} /\left(S_{+}^{W}\right)$ as $S^{W}{ }_{- \text {module }}$.
One should look at the rest of $\mathrm{Tor}_{i}$ !

Theorem(-, Smith, Webb)
For $S^{W}$ polynomial and any subgroup $W^{\prime} \subset W$, one has a virtual-Brauer-isomorphism of $N_{W}\left(W^{\prime}\right)$-representations

$$
\operatorname{Tor}_{*}^{S^{W}}\left(S^{W^{\prime}}, \mathbb{F}\right)_{\mathbb{F}\left[\lambda_{W}\left(\tilde{W}^{\prime}\right)\right]-\bmod } \mathbb{F}\left[W / W^{\prime}\right]
$$

where virtual means the left side is

$$
\sum_{i \geq 0}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{S^{W}}\left(S^{W^{\prime}}, \mathbb{F}\right)
$$

in an appropriate Grothendieck group.

Proof idea
Re-work homologically Chevalley's proof via Normal Basis Theorem.
II. Springer and the conjecture we want.

When $S^{W}$ is polynomial, call $c \in W$ a regular element if it has an eigenvector

$$
v \in \bar{V}:=V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}
$$

fixed by no reflections.

Call the eigenvalue $\omega \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}^{\times}$for $c$ when acting on $v$ its regular eigenvalue.

Theorem(Springer 1972)
Assume $S^{W}$ polynomial and $|W| \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$.
Let $C=\langle c\rangle$ for some regular element $c$, with regular eigenvalue $\omega^{-1}$.
Then one has an isomorphism of $W \times C$-representations

$$
S /\left(S_{+}^{W}\right)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}[W \times C]-\bmod } \underset{\mathbb{F}}{ }[W] .
$$

Here on $S /\left(S_{+}^{W}\right)$,

- $W$ acts by linear substitutions,
- $C$ acts by scalar substitutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
c\left(x_{i}\right) & =\omega x_{i} \\
c(f) & =\omega^{d} f \text { if } \operatorname{deg}(f)=d,
\end{aligned}
$$

while on $\overline{\mathbb{F}}[W]$ the groups $W, C$ act by left, right-multiplication.

When $|W| \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$, one can again take $W^{\prime}$-fixed spaces in Springer's theorem, giving an isomorphism of
$N_{W}\left(W^{\prime}\right) \times C$-representations

$$
S^{W^{\prime}} /\left(S_{+}^{W}\right) \underset{\overline{\mathbb{F}}\left[N_{W}\left(W^{\prime}\right) \times C\right]-\bmod }{\cong} \overline{\mathbb{F}}\left[W / W^{\prime}\right]
$$

Just equating the $C$-character on both sides already gives a great combinatorial consequence...

## (III. Why might we care?)

Theorem 1:
(-,Stanton,White 2004, -,Stanton,Webb 2005)
Let $W \subset G L(V)$ be finite with $S^{W}$ polynomial, and $C$ the cyclic subgroup generated by a regular element. Assuming $|W| \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$, the triple $(X, X(q), C)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=\text { any set with transitive } W \text {-action, } \\
& \text { say } X=W / W^{\prime} \\
& X(q)=\frac{\operatorname{Hilb}\left(S^{W^{\prime}}, q\right)}{\operatorname{Hilb}\left(S^{W}, q\right)} \\
& C \text { translating the cosets } w W^{\prime} \text { in } X
\end{aligned}
$$

exhibits the cyclic sieving phenomenon:
for any $c \in C$ and $\omega$ a root-of-unity one has

$$
\left|X^{c}\right|=[X(q)]_{q=\omega} .
$$

We suspect one does not need $|W| \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$. This would follow from...

Conjecture: When $S^{W}$ is polynomial, for any subgroup $W^{\prime} \subset W$, one has a virtual Brauer-isomorphism of $N_{W}\left(W^{\prime}\right) \times C$-representations

$$
\operatorname{Tor}_{*}^{S^{W}}\left(S^{W^{\prime}}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}\right) \quad \underset{\overline{\mathbb{F}}[ }{ } \underset{\mathbb{F}\left[W / W^{\prime}\right] .}{\approx}
$$

$\overline{\mathbb{F}}\left[N_{W}\left(W^{\prime}\right) \times C\right]-\bmod$

Known:

- without $C$-action (the earlier theorem).
- for $W^{\prime}=1$ (-,Stanton,Webb, 2005)

Whence the combinatorial consequence?

$$
\begin{aligned}
X(q) & :=\frac{\operatorname{Hilb}\left(S^{W^{\prime}}, q\right)}{\operatorname{Hilb}\left(S^{W}, q\right)} \\
& =\sum_{i \geq 0}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{Hilb}\left(\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{S^{W}}\left(S^{W^{\prime}}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}\right), q\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Why might you, the invariant theorist, care?

For $W^{\prime} \subset W=G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ it's tough to compute $\operatorname{Hilb}\left(S^{W^{\prime}}, t\right)$. But believing the the conjecture, an easy, fast GAP computation using regular elements in $G L_{n}(\mathbb{F})$ gives

$$
\frac{\operatorname{Hilb}\left(S^{W^{\prime}}, t\right)}{\operatorname{Hilb}\left(S^{W}, t\right)} \bmod t^{q^{n}-1}-1
$$

