

Math 8583: Theory of Partial Differential Equations: Fall 2007
Homework Assignment 3. Problems and Solutions

1. For $n = 1, 2, \dots$, denote $Q_n := \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : 0 < x_i < 1 \text{ for all } i\}$.
 Let $u_n \in C^2(Q_n) \cap C(\overline{Q_n})$ be a solution to the problem

$$\Delta u_n = -1 \quad \text{in } Q_n, \quad u_n = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial Q_n.$$

Show that

$$u_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq u_m(x_1, \dots, x_m) \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq m \leq n, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in Q_n.$$

Solution. We have $Q_n \subseteq Q_m$ for $n \geq m$. Therefore, $u_m \geq 0$ in Q_m implies $u_n = 0 \leq u_m$ on $(\partial Q_n) \subset \overline{Q_m}$. We also have $\Delta u_m = \Delta u_n = 0$ in Q_n . By the comparison principle, $u_n \leq u_m$ on $\overline{Q_n}$.

2. Evaluate the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-x^2} \cos x \, dx.$$

Solution. The Cauchy problem

$$u_t = u_{xx} \quad \text{for } t > 0, \quad -\infty < x < +\infty, \quad u(0, x) \equiv u_0(x) := \cos x$$

has a bounded solution $u(t, x) := e^{-t} \cos x$. On the other hand, this solution can be represented in the form

$$u(t, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Gamma(t, y-x) u_0(x) \, dx, \quad \text{where } \Gamma(t, x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{4t}\right).$$

In particular,

$$e^{-t} = u(t, 0) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{4t}\right) \cos x \, dx, \quad t > 0.$$

Taking $t := 1/4$, we get

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-x^2} \cos x \, dx = e^{-1/4} \sqrt{\pi}.$$

3. Let $\Omega_1 \subseteq \Omega_2$ be bounded smooth domains in \mathbb{R}^n . For $k = 1, 2$, let functions $u_k \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}_k)$ be such that

$$u_k > 0, \quad \Delta u_k + \lambda_k u_k = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_k, \quad u_k = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_k, \quad \text{where } \lambda_k = \text{const.}$$

Show that $0 < \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_1$.

Solution. Using Green's formula (Lemma 1.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \int_{\Omega_1} u_1 u_2 \, dx &= \int_{\Omega_1} [u_1 \cdot (-\lambda_2 u_2) - u_2 \cdot (-\lambda_1 u_1)] \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega_1} (u_1 \Delta u_2 - u_2 \Delta u_1) \, dx = \int_{\partial\Omega_1} \left(u_1 \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial \nu} - u_2 \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial \nu} \right) dS \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

because $u_1 = 0$ on $\partial\Omega_1$, $u_2 \geq 0$ on $\bar{\Omega}_1 \supseteq \partial\Omega_1$, and since $u_1 > 0$ in Ω_1 , the derivative in the direction of the unit outward normal $\partial u_1 / \partial \nu \leq 0$ on $\partial\Omega_1$. Since $u_1 u_2 > 0$ in Ω_1 , we must have $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$.

Remark. Let Ω be a bounded smooth domain in \mathbb{R}^n , and let $u \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ be a function satisfying

$$u > 0, \quad \Delta u + \lambda u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega; \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \quad (1)$$

One can show that

$$\lambda = \lambda(\Omega) := \inf_{v \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)} \frac{\|Dv\|_2^2}{\|v\|_2^2} > 0, \quad \text{where } \mathcal{A}(\Omega) := \{v \in C(\bar{\Omega}) : v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}. \quad (2)$$

By definition of $\lambda(\Omega)$, there exists a sequence $u_k \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, such that

$$\|u_k\|_2 = 1 \quad \text{for all } k, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda \leq \int_{\Omega} |Du_k|^2 dx \rightarrow \lambda \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Rewriting this property in the form

$$0 \leq m_k := \int_{\Omega} (|Du_k|^2 - \lambda u_k^2) dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

one can show that u_k converges in $L^2(\Omega)$ to a weak solution u of the problem (1) in the sense

$$\int_{\Omega} u (\Delta \phi + \lambda \phi) dx = 0 \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

This weak solution (possibly after modifying on a set of zero measure) is in fact a classical solution of (1), normalized by the condition $\|u\|_2 = 1$.

The equality (2) means that λ is the maximal constant such that

$$\lambda \cdot \|v\|_2^2 := \lambda \cdot \int_{\Omega} v^2 dx \leq \|Dv\|_2^2 := \int_{\Omega} |Dv|^2 dx,$$

or equivalently, $N := \lambda^{-1/2}$ is the best (smallest) constant in the Poincaré inequality

$$\|v\|_2 \leq N \cdot \|Dv\|_2, \quad v \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega).$$

Note that each function $v \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ can be approximated, with respect to the norm $\|v\|_2 + \|Dv\|_2$, by functions $v^\varepsilon \in C_0^1(\Omega)$. For example, one can take

$$v^\varepsilon := \Phi_\varepsilon(v_+) - \Phi_\varepsilon(v_-), \quad \text{where } v_\pm := \max(0, \pm v),$$

and Φ_ε are functions used in the proof of Growth Lemma 1.20. Therefore,

$$\lambda(\Omega) = \inf_{v \in C_0^1(\Omega)} \frac{\|Dv\|_2^2}{\|v\|_2^2} > 0. \quad (3)$$

We assume that functions $v \in C_0^1(\Omega)$ are extended as $v \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$. Then for $\Omega_1 \subseteq \Omega_2$, we have $C_0^1(\Omega_1) \subseteq C_0^1(\Omega_2)$, and from (3) it follows $\lambda(\Omega_1) \geq \lambda(\Omega_2) > 0$.

4. Show that arbitrary vector field $\mathbf{F} = (F_1, F_2, F_3) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{\neq})$ can be decomposed into two: $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{G} + \mathbf{H}$, such that

$$\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{G} := \nabla \times \mathbf{G} \equiv 0, \quad \operatorname{div} \mathbf{H} := \nabla \cdot \mathbf{H} \equiv 0.$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{F} \equiv 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{F} = \operatorname{grad} U$ for some scalar function (potential) U , and $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{F} \equiv 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{F} = \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{V}$ for some vector field \mathbf{V} .

Solution. Using formula

$$\operatorname{curl}(\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}) = \operatorname{grad}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}) - \Delta \mathbf{A} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{A} := \Gamma * \mathbf{F},$$

where Γ is the fundamental solution for the Laplacian, we can write

$$\mathbf{F} = \Delta(\Gamma * \mathbf{F}) = \Delta \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{G} + \mathbf{H},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G} &:= \operatorname{grad}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}) \quad \text{satisfies} \quad \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{G} = 0, \\ \mathbf{H} &:= -\operatorname{curl}(\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}) \quad \text{satisfies} \quad \operatorname{div} \mathbf{H} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

because $\operatorname{curl}(\operatorname{grad}) = 0$ and $\operatorname{div}(\operatorname{curl}) = 0$.

If $\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{F} := \nabla \times \mathbf{F} = 0$, then

$$\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A} := \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \nabla \times (\Gamma * \mathbf{F}) = \Gamma * (\nabla \times \mathbf{F}) = \mathbf{0},$$

which implies $\mathbf{H} = 0$. Then $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{G} = \operatorname{grad} U$, where $U := \operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}$.

If $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{F} := \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = 0$, then

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A} := \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = \nabla \cdot (\Gamma * \mathbf{F}) = \Gamma * (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}) = 0,$$

which implies $\mathbf{G} = 0$. Then $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{H} = \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{V}$, where $\mathbf{V} := -\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}$.

5. Let $u \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$ satisfy

$$u \geq 0, \quad \Delta u \equiv 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}_+^n := \{x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n > 0\}, \quad u(x', 0) \equiv 0.$$

Show that $u(x', x_n) \equiv \operatorname{const} \cdot x_n$ in \mathbb{R}_+^n .

Solution. We will use the estimate

$$\sup_{B_r^+} \frac{u(x)}{x_n} \leq N \cdot \inf_{B_r^+} \frac{u(x)}{x_n}, \quad \text{where} \quad B_r^+ := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < r, x_n > 0\},$$

with a constant N depending only on n . From this estimate it follows

$$M := \sup_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{u(x)}{x_n} = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{B_r^+} \frac{u(x)}{x_n} \leq \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{B_r^+} \frac{u(x)}{x_n} = N \cdot m, \quad \text{where} \quad m := \inf_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{u(x)}{x_n}.$$

Note that the function $v(x) := u(x) - m \cdot x_n$ also satisfies all the assumptions $v \geq 0$, $\Delta v = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^n , and $v(x', 0) \equiv 0$. Applying the above estimate to this function, we get

$$0 \leq M - m = \sup_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{v(x)}{x_n} \leq N \cdot \inf_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{v(x)}{x_n} = 0,$$

i.e. $M = m$, and $u(x) \equiv m \cdot x_n$ in \mathbb{R}_+^n .