

## 18.704 Problem Set 4 Solutions

1.  $S_3$  has the following 6 subgroups:

$$\{e\}, \{e, (12)\}, \{e, (13)\}, \{e, (23)\}, \{e, (123), (132)\}, S_3.$$

Here are their character tables. (The three groups of size 2 all have the same character tables.) Write  $H = \{e, (12)\}$  and  $K = \{e, (123), (132)\}$ .

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \{e\} & e \\ \hline \mathbf{1} & 1 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} H & e & (12) \\ \hline \mathbf{1} & 1 & 1 \\ \epsilon & 1 & -1 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} K & e & (123) & (132) \\ \hline \mathbf{1} & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \chi_2 & 1 & \omega & \omega^2 \\ \chi_3 & 1 & \omega^2 & \omega \end{array}$$

(Here  $\omega = e^{\pi i/3}$ .)

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} S_3 & e & (12) & (123) \\ \hline \mathbf{1} & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \text{sgn} & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ T & 2 & 0 & -1 \end{array}$$

Here are the characters of the induced representations to  $S_3$ .

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} S_3 & e & (12) & (123) \\ \hline \text{Ind}_{\{e\}}^{S_3}(\mathbf{1}) & 6 & 0 & 0 \\ \text{Ind}_H^{S_3}(\mathbf{1}) & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ \text{Ind}_H^{S_3}(\epsilon) & 3 & -1 & 0 \\ \text{Ind}_K^{S_3}(\mathbf{1}) & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ \text{Ind}_K^{S_3}(\chi_2) & 2 & 0 & -1 \\ \text{Ind}_K^{S_3}(\chi_3) & 2 & 0 & -1 \end{array}$$

Writing these characters as sums of irreducible characters, we get the following.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}_{\{e\}}^{S_3} &= \mathbf{1} + \text{sgn} + 2T \\ \text{Ind}_H^{S_3}(\mathbf{1}) &= \mathbf{1} + T \\ \text{Ind}_H^{S_3}(\epsilon) &= \text{sgn} + T \\ \text{Ind}_K^{S_3}(\mathbf{1}) &= \mathbf{1} + \text{sgn} \\ \text{Ind}_K^{S_3}(\chi_2) &= T \\ \text{Ind}_K^{S_3}(\chi_3) &= T \end{aligned}$$

2. If  $f_1, f_2 \in W, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ , then we have the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\alpha f_1 + f_2)(hx) &= \alpha f_1(hx) + f_2(hx) \\
 &= \alpha \rho(h)f_1(x) + \rho(h)f_2(x) \\
 &= \rho(h)(\alpha f_1(x) + f_2(x)) \\
 &= \rho(h)(\alpha f_1 + f_2)(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\alpha f_1 + f_2 \in W$ , as desired.

If  $g \in G, f \in W$ , then we have the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (g \cdot f)(hx) &= f(hxg^{-1}) \\
 &= \rho(h)f(xg^{-1}) \\
 &= \rho(h)(g \cdot f)(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $g \cdot f \in W$ .

To show that this gives a representation of  $G$  on  $W$ , we need to show the identities  $e \cdot f = f$  and  $g \cdot (g' \cdot f) = (gg') \cdot f$ . Since

$$(e \cdot f)(x) = f(xe^{-1}) = f(x),$$

we have  $e \cdot f = f$ . Also, we have the following.















$$\begin{aligned}
 (g \cdot (g' \cdot f))(x) &= (g' \cdot f)(xg^{-1}) \\
 &= f(xg^{-1}(g')^{-1}) \\
 &= f(x(g'g)^{-1}) \\
 &= (g'g) \cdot f(x).
 \end{aligned}$$


At this point, we realize that I made a **MISTAKE** when I assigned this pset; this doesn't give an action of  $G$  on  $W$  because it is backwards! Instead, we should have defined the group action via















$$(g \cdot f)(x) = f(xg)$$







instead!















3. Here is the starting point for our character table, whose rows are the characters of  $S_5$  acting on tabloids.






							
	(1)	(10)	(15)	(20)	(20)	(30)	(24)
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	5	3	1	2	0	1	0
	10	4	2	1	1	0	0
	20	6	0	2	0	0	0
	30	6	2	0	0	0	0
	60	6	0	0	0	0	0
	120	0	0	0	0	0	0















First, we kill copies of the trivial representation , which occur once in each lower row.





							
	(1)	(10)	(15)	(20)	(20)	(30)	(24)
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	4	2	0	1	-1	0	-1
	9	3	1	0	0	-1	-1
	19	5	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
	29	5	1	-1	-1	-1	-1
	59	5	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
	119	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1

Then we kill off copies of the now-irreducible representation , which occurs once in , twice in , twice in , three times in , and four times in .

							
	(1)	(10)	(15)	(20)	(20)	(30)	(24)
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	4	2	0	1	-1	0	-1
	5	1	1	-1	1	-1	0
	11	1	-1	-1	1	-1	1
	21	1	1	-3	1	-1	1
	47	-1	-1	-4	2	-1	2
	103	-9	-1	-5	3	-1	3

Next, the irreducible  occurs once in  , twice in  , three times in  , and five times in .

							
	(1)	(10)	(15)	(20)	(20)	(30)	(24)
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	4	2	0	1	-1	0	-1
	5	1	1	-1	1	-1	0
	6	0	-2	0	0	0	1
	11	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	1
	32	-4	-4	-1	-1	2	2
	78	-14	-6	0	-2	4	3

The irreducible  occurs once in  , three times in  , and six times in .

	(1)	(10)	(15)	(20)	(20)	(30)	(24)
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	4	2	0	1	-1	0	-1
	5	1	1	-1	1	-1	0
	6	0	-2	0	0	0	1
	5	-1	1	-1	-1	1	0
	14	-4	2	-1	-1	2	-1
	42	-14	6	0	-2	4	-3

The irreducible occurs twice in and five times in .

	(1)	(10)	(15)	(20)	(20)	(30)	(24)
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	4	2	0	1	-1	0	-1
	5	1	1	-1	1	-1	0
	6	0	-2	0	0	0	1
	5	-1	1	-1	-1	1	0
	4	-2	0	1	1	0	-1
	17	-9	1	5	3	-1	-3

Finally, the representation occurs four times in .

	(1)	(10)	(15)	(20)	(20)	(30)	(24)
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	4	2	0	1	-1	0	-1
	5	1	1	-1	1	-1	0
	6	0	-2	0	0	0	1
	5	-1	1	-1	-1	1	0
	4	-2	0	1	1	0	-1
	1	-1	1	1	-1	-1	1

(And the chances of us getting the sign representation down at the bottom by *accident* are pretty low, so I think the arithmetic worked out.)