

(1)

ECCO 2018 Vic Reiner Lecture 4 Exercises

- ① We want to understand the coinvariant algebra for the dihedral group $G = I_2(m) \xrightarrow{\rho_{\text{ref}}} GL_2(\mathbb{C})$

Recall from the lecture 2 Exercise 2 that $\rho_{\text{ref}} \cong \rho^{(1)}$

where $G = I_2(m) \xrightarrow{\rho^{(1)}} GL_2(\mathbb{C}) = GL(V)$ where V has basis $\{xy, xy^m\}$

$$\begin{array}{l} \langle s, r \mid s^2r^m = e, srs = f^{-1} \rangle \\ \text{sends } s \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 1 \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{i.e. } s(x) = y \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad s(y) = x \\ r \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} * & y \\ g & 0 \\ y & 0 & g^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{aligned} r(x) &= gx \\ r(y) &= g^{-1}y \end{aligned} \\ g := e^{2\pi i/m} \end{array}$$

$$(a) \text{ Check that } \mathbb{C}[x,y]^G \supset \mathbb{C}[xy, \underset{f_1}{\underset{\parallel}{x^m + y^m}}, \underset{f_2}{\underset{\parallel}{x^m + y^m}}]$$

degrees: $d_1=2 \quad d_2=m$

It can be shown that the inclusion above is actually an equality, but let's just assume this.

- (b) Explain why the coinvariant algebra

$$\mathbb{C}[x,y]/(f_1, f_2) = \mathbb{C}[x,y]/(xy, \underset{f_1}{\underset{\parallel}{x^m + y^m}}, \underset{f_2}{\underset{\parallel}{x^m + y^m}})$$

has the following \mathbb{C} -basis in various degrees:

| degree | 0 | 1 | 2 | ... | $m-1$ | m |
|---------------------|---|--------|------------|-----|--------------------|--------------------|
| \mathbb{C} -basis | 1 | x, y | x^2, y^2 | | x^{m-1}, y^{m-1} | x^m $(=-y^m)$ |

- (c) Prove these fake degree formulas $f^4(q)$:

$$f^1(q) = 1, \quad f^{\det}(q) = q^m, \quad f^{P_s}(q) = q^{\frac{m}{2}} = f^{P_t}(q) \text{ for } m \text{ even}$$

$$f^{P_w}(q) = q^j + q^{m-j} \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor$$

- (d) Check that the answers in (c) are consistent for $m=3$ with our previous calculations of $f^4(q)$ for $G_3 = I_2(3)$.

(2)

② Let ζ be a primitive d^{th} root of unity, such as $\zeta = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d}}$

(a) Show that for positive integers a, b having $a \equiv b \pmod d$,

one has $\lim_{q \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{[a]_q}{[b]_q} = \begin{cases} \frac{a}{b} & \text{if } a \equiv b \equiv 0 \pmod d \\ 1 & \text{if } a \equiv b \not\equiv 0 \pmod d. \end{cases}$

(b) We want to understand how a general q -binomial coefficient $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$ behaves when one sets $q = \zeta$.

Write $n = n'd + n''$ uniquely with $n', n'' \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq n'' \leq d-1$
 $k = k'd + k''$ uniquely with $k', k'' \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq k'' \leq d-1$

that is, let n', k' be the quotients
and n'', k'' be the remainders
when dividing n, k by d .

Prove that $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q=\zeta} = \binom{n'}{k'} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} n'' \\ k'' \end{bmatrix}_{q=\zeta}$

(and hence one only needs to understand
how $\begin{bmatrix} n' \\ k' \end{bmatrix}_{q=\zeta}$ behave when $0 \leq k'', n'' \leq d-1$)

(c) Use part (b) to prove the CSP result for

$$X = \left(\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \right) \in C = \langle (1, 2, \dots, n) \rangle \text{ and } X(q) = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$$

via brute force evaluation of $[X(q)]_{q=\zeta^{kk}}$,

and brute force enumeration of $|\{x \in X : \phi^k(x) = x\}|$!

③ Prove that the two statements in Springer's Theorem
are equivalent: the isomorphism of $G \times C$ -representations

versus $X_p(c) = [f^p(q)]_{q=\zeta}$.